Rossendale

Lancashire

19<sup>th</sup> September 2014

Licensing Unit

Rossendale Borough Council

Rawtenstall Town Centre Office

Lord Street

u street

Rossendale

BB47LZ

FOTONES PARK

NGWCHURGH RO BACUP

OU3 OBB



Representation against application for premises license Ground Floor 227 Bacup Road

Dear Sir,

I am writing on behalf on my family to make representation against the above proposed licence application at the above address. I do this on grounds of (1) the prevention of public nuisance, (2) public safety and (3) the protection of children from harm. I will now raise the relevant issues that I wish you to consider when making a decision.

- 1. Whilst the premises is part of a group of industrial units it is

  highlighted in green/yellow on the plans attached to the application (enclosed). There was a restaurant/ alcohol establishment in the same premises previously and the noise

  and allowing an establishment to be open until 24:00 and later into the night as requested in the application will seriously impact on the ability of This was the case previously there are
- 2. Furthermore, the door to 227
- 3. The noise level in our area is already bad enough given that there are two restaurants and two take away establishments within two terrace blocks of our house, there is nowhere to park already and a fifth eating establishment would be detrimental to the existing situation.
- 4. The area does not consist of retail units, it is a largely residential area; many of the houses in this area have families with young children in them.

- 5. I don't think it is appropriate to open a business selling alcohol from 11:30 or 9:30 in the morning until 24:00 (midnight) at any time and certainly not during public holidays especially Christmas Eve, Christmas Day, Boxing Day, New Year's Eve, New Year's Day and Valentine's Day. These are times for family and we should not have to put up with businesses opening
- 6. To my knowledge, the toilet is a communal toilet to be used by all the business owners in the complex and is not designated solely for the purpose of people visiting the restaurant.
- 7. A previous licensing application was turned down for 239 Bacup Road which is 5 properties east of 227 on the same terrace block.
- 8. Finally, the noise is already intolerable especially from early evening into the night due to the four existing eating establishments and the gym to the rear of the property. It is not uncommon for all the existing establishments to attract in excess of 50 vehicles meaning there is obstructive parking including double parking on the roads. I firmly believe that the addition of a further eating establishment has the potential to cause further risk of endangerment to the young children in the area and also to public safety.

It is for these issues above that I make representation against this application on the previously mentioned grounds of; the prevention of public nuisance, public safety and the protection of children from harm. I trust that you will seriously consider my representation and find, like my family and I, that the addition of a further establishment into the area is unwise and should be refused, not because we have an issue with a new business, but because we feel it would be inappropriate.



Please be advised that I have hed to reserve this letter as the 18 to true at on on public view at 227 Beaut Board is displaying the wong address. I have now had to pay postage twice as a result.