

MIQ response from Kaye Abbott 5331 re Matter 9 (Loveclough)

From:

Sent: 30 August 2019 16:01

To: Tony Blackburn

Subject: Response to local plan MIQs

I am writing in response to matter 9- housing site allocations: Rawtenstall, Crawshawbooth, Goodshaw and Loveclough. The Taylor Wimpey development in Rawtenstall is I believe 3 and 4 bedroom houses, which at the planning meeting it was confirmed that these were not required in the valley as there were already enough.

The proposed allocations will create at least 400 new residents. They will require doctors, dentists and school places. Crawshawbooth primary is already operating at capacity. Are there plans to extend the school? What impact will this have on the education of existing pupils? Please can you confirm how much Section 106 funding will come from the proposed developments and how this is to be utilised? Also can you confirm what is being done around secondary school capacity across the valley and in particular for the Loveclough and Crawshawbooth areas. Are there plans to extend Alder Grands school and how will this impact on the surrounding area and road infrastructure?

The road particularly through Loveclough and Crawshawbooth is impassible due to cars from the estates double parking on the road. This is considerably worse and very dangerous during the winter period.

H5: i, Goodshaw Lane is a single track road with no pavements. It is enjoyed safely by existing residents as a place to walk and ride bikes. The traffic created by an additional 124 houses would make this lane unsafe and take away a valued area within the community. If a widened access can be achieved from Burnley road would a private access to Broad Ing house, Broad Ing cottage and Broad Ing croft still be available? This road floods and as a resident I would be against granting any access. All the trees have preservation orders along the private access road to the brooding houses, with lots of wildlife in the area, so I would expect these to be protected.

ii, The proposed allocation of 124 houses would have a major impact on draining and flooding, in particular for those living at Broad Ing Croft, house, and cottage and those living opposite on Burnley Road. The path between Loveclough Park and the site floods regularly and flows onto Burnley Road opp Commercial Street. This creates hazardous conditions for passing traffic and pedestrians, particularly during winter period. The culvert is already overflowing into the road on several occasions during the year, and the development will make this worse and increase flooding risk for the local area.

In response to matter 18- transport.

I do not believe that Burnley Road and Rawtenstall roundabout can cope with the amount of traffic that the proposed plans will create. The journey from Loveclough to Rawtenstall should take no more than 10 minutes in a car, yet it already takes up to 25 minutes during rush hour (7.30 -9.30 am). The proposed plan will result in 200+ extra cars using Burnley road, potentially travelling towards Rawtenstall.

Parking in Rawtenstall and Crawshawbooth is already an issue. Will more car parks be created to address this and will these take away yet more of our green spaces?

I hope you will consider my concerns and answer some of the questions I have raised.

Yours Sincerely,
Miss K Abbott