



Subject: Community Cohesion Statement Status: of Commitment			For Publication	
Report to: Cabinet Da			13 th December 2006	
Repo	rt of: Head of Community and Partner	ships		
Portfolio Holder: Member Champion for Cohesion / Leader of the Council				
Key D	Decision:	Yes		
Forward Plan X General Exception Special Urgency				
1.	PURPOSE OF REPORT			
1.1	The purpose of the report is to agree th Statement of Community Cohesion.	e Council's (Commitment to the	
2.	CORPORATE PRIORITIES			
2.1	The matters discussed in this report are linked to and support the following corporate priorities: Embedding our Customers Promise (Customers) Making Rossendale a great place to live and visit			
3.	RISK ASSESSMENT IMPLICATIONS			
3.1	Failure to deliver against the community increase in tension within the Borough a communities.	<i>*</i>	•	
4.	BACKGROUND AND OPTIONS			
4.1	The Government White Paper "Strong a recent guidance on the development of strategies for local councils (Sustainable need for local authorities to take a key recohesion agenda. This includes the Eig Cohesion.	the next gene e Communit ole in driving	neration of community y Strategies) both detail the g forward the community	
4.2	The Rossendale Partnership has an ac	tive and wel	l attended cohesion working	

group. The group consist of all of the LSP partners who have developed an

- action plan which is being delivered as an aide to increasing community cohesion and reducing social isolation.
- 4.3 The most recent citizens panel survey asked a range of community cohesion questions both which was a repeat of the 2002 Living and Working in East Lancashire Survey. A number of these questions relate directly to the quality of life indicators which have been established by the audit commission. The results are still being analyzed and will be made available in the early new year by the East Lancashire E-Consultation Partnership.
- 4.4 Rossendale Community Cohesion partnership has agreed a statement of intent in relation to community cohesion (See appendix) which members are asked to endorse as the Council's approach to cohesion.

5. COMMENTS OF THE HEAD OF FINANCIAL SERVICES

5.1 The activities identified within the strategy are already provided for within existing budgets.

6. COMMENTS OF THE HEAD OF LEGAL AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES

6.1 There are no direct legal implications.

7. COMMENTS OF THE HEAD OF HUMAN RESOURCES

7.1 There are no direct Human Resource implications.

8. CONCLUSION

8.1 The strategy will be monitored through the Council's Performance Management Framework. Each Head of Service is responsible for producing a Business Plan which shows how the service will overall contribute to the values of the Council in relation to community cohesion. Monitoring will also take place via the Rossendale Partnership Community Cohesion Group.

9. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 9.1 The statement of intent on Community Cohesion be adopted by the Council.
- 9.2 That Community Cohesion remains an issue for all Heads of Service to consider within their business plans.

10. CONSULTATION CARRIED OUT

- 10.1 LSP Executive
- 10.2 Community Network
- 10.3 Leader
- 10.4 Member Champion for Community Cohesion
- 10.5 Rossendale Partnership Community Cohesion Working Group

Contact Officer	
Name	Ilona Snow Miller
Position	Head of Community and Partnerships
Service / Team	Community and Partnerships
Telephone	01706 252413
Email address	Ilonasnow-miller@rossendalebc.gov.uk

Background papers

Rossendale Partnership Delivery Plan
Rossendale Partnership Community Strategy
Local Area Agreement
Rossendale Partnership Community Cohesion Action Plan
Strong and Prosperous Communities DCLG October 2006
Definitions and Components of Sustainable Communities
Living and Working in East Lancashire 2002

Living and working survey 2006

Community Cohesion Statement of Intent

Rossendale Borough Council is proud of the diversity of the people and communities of Rossendale. We want everyone in Rossendale to have an equal chance to fulfil his or her potential and to enjoy a good quality of life.

We know that people face barriers to social and economic inclusion, to good health and housing, and to learning and access to services based on who they are, where they live, their age, disability, faith, ethnic origin, gender and sexual orientation.

Our communities are diverse, containing different communities with different heritages and different aspirations. Community cohesion is recognised as communities living and working confidently alongside each other, recognising differences but sharing a sense of belonging and working towards a common prosperity, where everyone counts.

Rossendale Partnership is committed to removing the barriers that lead to disadvantage and inequality. Partners will deliver services in a way, which encourages those from all backgrounds and circumstances to have similar life opportunities, and to live and work confidently alongside each other. They will not exclude people, nor make access to services more difficult for any community or interest group. Partners will effectively promote strong and positive relationships between people in schools, in work places, in leisure and cultural activities and in neighbourhoods in general.

We will celebrate difference and challenge unacceptable behaviour in everything we do

Equality Impact Assessment Form

A copy of this form is available on the Intranet.

Screening

5
Name of strategy, project or policy:
Community Cohesion Statement Of Intent
Officer completing assessment:
Miladur Rahman
Telephone:
01706 252413
What is the main purpose of the strategy, project or policy?
To agree the Council's Commitment to the Statement of Community Cohesion.
2. List the main activities of the project, policy (for strategies list the main policy areas)
The Community Cohesion working group of the Rossendale Partnership has agreed a statement of intent in relation to community cohesion. The group is encouraging all partners to adopt to the statement. The Council is asked to adopt the statement of intent its is approach to community cohesion.
3. Who will be the main beneficiaries of the strategy, project or policy?
All residents in Rossendale as the Council will ensure that it's policies and procedures are inclusive and everyone has an equal opportunities.
4. Use the table below to tick:

- (a) Where you think that the strategy, project or policy could have a negative impact on any of the equality groups i.e. it could disadvantage them.
- (b) Where you think that the strategy, project, policy could have a positive impact on any of the groups or contribute to promoting equality, equal opportunities or improving relations within equality target groups.

		Positive Impact – it could benefit	Negative Impact – it could disadvantag e	Reason
Gender	Women	*		By endorsing the statement the Council will strive to ensure that all its policies and procedures promote equality and enhance social inclusion in the borough.
	Men	√		See above
Race	Asian or Asian British people	~		The Council will strive to ensure that people of all different backgrounds have equal opportunities and all policies, procedures promote equality.
	Black or black British people	√		See above
	People of mixed race	√		See above
	Irish people	√		See above
	White people	✓		
	Chinese people and other minority ethnic communities not listed above	✓		See above
Disability	Physical/learning/mental health	✓		The Council will strive towards equality of access for all disabled people and comply with DDA.
Sexuality	Lesbians, gay men and bisexuals	√		The Council will strive to

			ensure that
			everyone has
			an equal
			chance
			through its
			policies and
			procedures
			irrelevant of
			sexuality.
Gender	Transgender people	✓	See above
Identity			
Age	Older people (60+)	✓	See above
_			
	Younger people (17-25),	✓	See above
	and children		200 45010
	and annual and		
Belief	Faith groups *	✓	See above
Equal		✓	See above.
opportuniti			
es			
and/or			
improved			
relations			
eg Rural			

Notes:

5 If you have indicated there is a negative impact on any group, is that impact	ct:	
Legal? YES NO		
(i.e. it is not discriminatory under anti-discriminatory legislation)		
Intended? YES NO		
Level of impact HIGH LOW		

If the negative impact is possibly discriminatory and not intended and/or of high impact you must complete section two of this form. If not, complete the rest of section one below and consider if completing section two would be helpful in making a thorough assessment.

6 a) Could you minimise or remove any negative impact that is of low significance?

^{*} Faith groups cover a wide range of groupings, the most common of which are Muslims, Buddhists, Jews, Christians, Sikhs, Hindus. Consider faith categories individually and collectively when considering positive and negative impacts.

Explain how:		
a) Cou	d you improve the strategy, project or policy's positive impact?	
Explain how:		
You may wish to	use the action sheet at the end of Section two.	
	is no evidence that the strategy, policy or project promotes equality, equal nities or improved relations – could it be adapted so that it does?	
How?		
Please sign and Resources.	date this form, keep one copy and send one copy to the Head of Human	
Signed:	Miladur Rahman	
Date: 27 November 06		