

ITEM NO. C2

Subje	ect:	Amendments to Current Scheme of Delegation for Planning and Call In	Status:	For Publication
Repo	rt to:	Policy Scrutiny Committee Constitutional Working Group Cabinet Development Control Committee Full Council	Date:	26 th November 2007 9 th October 2007 12 th December 2007 11 th December 2007 19 th December 2007
Repo	rt of:	The Executive Director of Regulato	ry Services	
Portfo Holde	-	Regenerating and Promoting Rosse	endale	
Key D	ecisio	n: Yes / No		
Forwa	ard Plar	General Exception	Special L	Irgency
1.	PURP	POSE OF REPORT		
1.1	consid	date and amend the wording of the deleter the suggested amendment to the eration at the Council.		
1.2	has be and co Devel and n	council's Scheme of Delegation is in een written having taken into account comments made previously by the De copment Control Committee asked fo umbers of applications with 3 or mor ore selected. The Appendices provi	It the views Evelopment (The figures The objections	of the Portfolio Holder Control Committee. s on numbers of call ins s. A 6 month period was
1.3	applic be on Comn applic was a	Council's Development Control Commations which members of the Commathe agenda. For example the Octobalities had a major application on the ation but being only an application follows an application with 3 objections or was on the agenda.	ittee questic per 2007 De agenda due pr substitutio	on whether they ought to evelopment Control e to it being a major ons of house types. There
1.4	more applic	ner purpose of the report is to make efficient. To ensure its time is well use ations which are of significant meritaling two committees a month dealing	sed in deali and to avoid	ng and debating I the current practice of

Version Number:

Page:

1 of 5

- 1.5 Its important to clarify that the intention of the amendments is not to remove the power to call in planning applications. This will remain for all applications.
- 1.6 Appendix 1 details the matters delegated to the Director of Regulatory Services, Appendix 2 details the matters delegated to the Development Control Committee and Appendix 3 explains the procedure for call in.

2. CORPORATE PRIORITIES

- 2.1 The matters discussed in this report are linked to and support the following corporate priorities:
 - 1. Quality Services to our customers by allowing for decisions to be made in a more timely manner.
 - 2. Improvement by amending the Scheme of Delegation we are meeting an objective of the Improvement Plan for Development Control and assisting in the efficient running of the Development Control Service.

3. RISK ASSESSMENT IMPLICATIONS

3.1 There are no specific risk issues for members to consider arising from this report.

4. BACKGROUND AND OPTIONS

- 4.1 The Development Control Service improvement plan which was agreed in July 2006 highlighted as an action the requirement to review our current scheme of delegation.
- 4.2 Comprehensive Performance Assessment highlighted as an issue the importance of performance management and the meeting of BVPI targets.
- 4.3 Its important that members appreciate it is not the intention to take away decision making for the Development Control Committee. The changes seek to ensure that the more straightforward matters are delegated to the Director of Regulatory Services.
- 4.4 The Council must obtain a balance between the use of the call in procedure and meeting BVPI targets. Due to the dates of Committee and the requirement to determine planning applications within 8 weeks for minors and others and 13 weeks for majors (BVPI targets) the effect of the use of the call in procedure has been that BVPI targets have not been met.
- 4.5 The call in procedure should be considered by members very carefully and only in cases in which there are planning reasons for the call in. Members are reminded that developers have the right to appeal against decisions made by the Council. The majority of call ins by members over the last year have been on behalf of developers rather than members of the public.

Version Number: Page: 2 of 5

4.6 The report updates the current Scheme of Delegation and seeks to introduce clearer procedures for call in.

Comments of the Constitutional Working Group

- 4.7 The meeting discussed the draft Scheme of Delegation for Planning. The Executive Director of Regulatory Services reported that a draft had been previously considered by the Cabinet and the Development Control Committee and a number of observations had been made. Further work had been carried out as a result of comments made by both meetings the working group analysed call-ins.
- 4.8 It was reported that the draft Scheme would improve the way the planning service was delivered and the proposals were closely linked to the improvement plan within Development Control.
- 4.9 It was reported that systems of monitoring performance were in place to maximise the planning delivery grant.
- 4.10 The Working Group discussed a number of proposals within the scheme as summarised below
 - Increasing objectors from three to six for call-in purposes. A question
 was raised in respect of those areas where it may not be possible to
 obtain six objections and it was noted that ward members could call-in
 applications in those cases if they were minded to do so.
 - Refusing applications if insufficient information is provided and declining to accept previous submissions.
 - Changing the definition of a major application from 10 to 15.
 - Ward Members only being able to call-in an item.
- 4.11 The Executive Director of Regulator Services agreed to clarify Appendix 2 (no.5) If 6 or more objections received and officers recommending refusal as a delegated decision then this would not need to go to Committee.
- 4.12 The Executive Director of Regulatory Services agreed to put the timescales for call-in and objections within the procedure.
- 4.13 The meeting discussed including a procedure where call-in could be revoked by a member. The Executive Director of Regulatory Services reported that this had been included in No. 10 of the procedure. It was recommended that a further statement be included to state that the member calling in the application should have an informal discussion with the Executive Director of Regulatory Services prior to calling the item in.

Version Number: Page: 3 of 5

- 4.14 The meeting discussed delegating change of house types to officers or a group of members. It was considered that any such changes should go back to Committee. The Executive Director of Regulatory Services advised that the outline application would go to Committee however officers could deal with the reserved matters for applications up to 15 units.
- 4.15 It was noted that the previous Scheme included a statement on the Council giving permission to build on their own land. The Executive Director of Regulatory Services agreed to pick up this action for inclusion in the draft scheme.

It was agreed:

That the draft scheme be agreed subject to the amendments discussed at the meeting and set out in the Minutes of this meeting.

5. COMMENTS OF THE HEAD OF FINANCE

5.1 By meeting BVPI targets the Council will be better placed to access performance delivery grant funding. This will allow us to invest further in improvements within the development control service.

6. COMMENTS OF THE HEAD OF PEOPLE AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

6.1 There are no Human Resources implications arising from this report.

7. SUMMARY

- 7.1 The amendments of the Constitutional Working Group have been included within the Appendices to this report with the exception of substitute of house types.
- 7.2 In order to continually improve the performance of the Development Control Team the amendments to the Scheme of Delegation are required. The amendment will allow the Development Control Team to offer an improved Customer Service in dealing with determining planning application.
- 7.3 The main amendment to the call in procedure is to require a ward member and one of the nominated call in representatives to agree to the call in any application.
- 7.4 The main amendments to the Scheme of Delegation are to allow officers to determine applications for up to 15 dwellings and to allow officers to refuse planning applications in cases were the applicant has submitted insufficient information. At the request of members amendments to substitution of plot types has also been delegated to officers. Applications will only proceed to committee in cases in which six or more objections have been made. This was previously three.

	Version Number:	F	Page:	4 of 5	
--	-----------------	---	-------	--------	--

8. RECOMMENDATION(S)

8.1 Portfolio Holder.

Constitutional Working Group.

Previous comments received from Leader of the Council and Leader of the Opposition.

Cabinet

Development Control staff

9. CONSULTATION CARRIED OUT

9.1 Chief Executive, Deputy Chief Executive, Executive Directors and Service Heads.

Contact Officer	
Name	Linda Fisher
Position	Executive Director of Regulatory Services
Service / Team	Legal
Telephone	01706 252447
Email address	lindafisher@rossendalebc.gov.uk

No background papers

Version Number: Page: 5 of 5
