**Rossendale Borough Council Internal Audit Service** Monitoring report for the period ended 31 January 2009





# 1 Purpose of this report

- 1.1 The Annual Audit Plan for 2008/09 was approved by the Audit Committee on 5 June 2008. The plan reflects the approach agreed last year, which appointed Lancashire Audit Service as Rossendale Borough Council's (RBC) internal auditors for the period 2006/07 2008/09. This report details the progress to date in undertaking the agreed coverage, and highlights any significant issues identified from the audit work performed in this period.
- 1.2 This report covers the period 1 April 2008 to 31 January 2009.

## Acknowledgements

1.3 We are grateful for the assistance that has been provided to us by RBC staff during the course of our work.

# 2 Key issues and themes arising during the period

- 2.1 From the work undertaken to date, an issue has been identified in the design of the Housing Benefit Appeals system whereby notifications processed by the Assessment team which result in a revision to the benefit entitlement are not currently recorded within the appeals section of the Northgate system. As such these revisions will not currently be identified and picked up for reporting purposes to the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) as part of national indicator PM17. Whilst there is no financial implication there is a potential reputational risk to the authority as performance is currently being understated.
- 2.2 We also highlighted an issue as part of our work around business continuity planning. Each Business Continuity Plan (BCP) states that the plan should be tested at least annually with the results reported and fed into the review of the plan. Most BCPs were developed in 2006/07, but there has not as yet been a documented exercise to test the plans. There have been two "real" incidents affecting the Council's activities, but managers did not activate their plans on these occasions or record the incidents as tests. We were advised however that, subsequent to our audit fieldwork, the BCPs for all departments were tested on the 22 December 2008. A debrief is planned and the results will be included in the revision in April 2009. Annual testing is to be carried out each October, subject to the approval of Senior Management Team.
- 2.3 Internal audit work was undertaken to provide assurance on treasury management arrangements and controls around investments, in light of the recent losses suffered by UK local authorities as a result of investments in Icelandic Banks. Whilst RBC did not suffer any of the above mentioned losses it was felt that this was a good opportunity to specifically review the arrangements in place. The management of the Authority's investments is generally well controlled however we noted that there is no restriction on the amount that can be invested with any one particular institution potentially resulting in significant financial loss to the Authority should one of these institutions face liquidation. We appreciate that Sector Consulting, the Authority's treasury management consultants, supply a list of recognised Banks and Building Societies. It is RBC policy that investment opportunities are limited to institutions listed on the recognised list provided by Sector.

### 3 Internal audit work undertaken

#### Internal audit plan 2008/09

- 3.1 Work carried out during this period was in accordance with the agreed Audit Plan. Details of the progress to date, including assurance provided and key issues identified for each of the areas completed to date, are set out in the 'Summary of findings and Assurance' table which forms part of Section 4. Currently, this shows that 227 days have been spent in the ten months since the start of the financial year to deliver the audit plan. This equates to 81% of the total audit activity of 280 days planned for the year.
- 3.2 However it should be noted that part of this time relates to the finalisation of 2007/08 audits.
- 3.3 In respect of the balance of the 2008/09 plan, work has been programmed over the remainder of the year to ensure that all the areas identified are covered.

### Resource input

3.4 The staff resource input for the ten months to 31 January 2009 is as follows:

	Audit plan Days		
	Days	Target %	Actual %
Head of Internal Audit	0	3	0
Principal Auditor	18	12-20	8
Senior Auditor (including IT)	67.5	25-35	30
Audit team members (including IT)	141.5	50-55	62
Total	227		100

## 4 Summary of findings

### Overall summary and assurance provided

- 4.1 We have set out in the table on the following pages a brief summary of each review undertaken during the period and the areas to be covered in the remainder of the year. This sets out the planned and actual days we have spent on each review, the variance between the days reported, and a summary of the assurance we have been able to provide in relation to each system or operational area of your business where work has been finalised. The key issues identified encapsulate the significant issues and areas where key recommendations were made. They reflect the findings at the time the work was carried out.
- 4.2 As the plan progresses, we will distil the assurance into an assessment of the adequacy of each system, and its effectiveness in operation.

4.3 The table indicates briefly with simple ticks (✓) and crosses (x) our overall assessment of each system where reviews have been finalised during the period and the assurance you may take from its operation in supporting effective internal control. A dash (-) indicates an area where work is in progress or where we are unable to give an assessment because of the reason given.

### Summary of our findings and assurance

Review area		Audit day	S	Assu	rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Core financial system	ns			·		
Housing Benefits Investigation Unit	15	15	0	The controls adequate and operating effective	in place are were generally vely as intended.	The final audit report in respect of this review was issued in November 2008. Controls were generally operating effectively as intended, although in some instances the effectiveness of controls was reduced as a result of limited staffing resources.
Housing Benefits appeals	10	9	1	internal contr operation of the in general, has a to achieve its co However we deficiencies in performance to particular PM17	appeals process, idequate controls ontrol objectives. did note the reporting of the DWP, in which does not sions processed. are generally	The final audit report was issued in December 2008 and comprised three low and 3 medium priority recommendations. The three medium priority recommendations were in relation to findings which highlighted that reported performance figures could not be reconciled to internal records and a true and fair view of all revisions processed was not provided in reported figures.

System adequacy: We have defined a system as adequate if its design enables it to achieve its core control objectives which, if operating as intended, serve to manage its inherent risks.

Review area		Audit day	S	Assu	rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Core financial system	ns (contd)					
Housing Benefits Performance	5	7	(2)	×	~	The final audit report in respect of this review was issued in November 2008. Controls were
Indicators - Interventions				The controls adequate ar effectively as inte	1 0	found to be operating effectively as intended. This review focused on the processes and procedures surrounding the appropriate collation, input, internal monitoring and quality checking of data around NI 180 and NI 181. One low priority recommendation relating to internal performance targets has been raised and agreed by management.
National Non Domestic Rates (NNDR)	10	10.5	(0.5)	-	-	Audit fieldwork in respect of this review is now complete and a draft report will be issued for management response in due course.

Review area		Audit day	S	Assu	rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Core financial system	ns (contd)					
General ledger and Budgetary control	10	11	(1)	✓	~	The final audit report was issued in February 2009 and contained 2 medium priority
				internal control of ledger and bu within the Author controls overall its control objective controls were operating effective are areas where be strengthened the integrity of system is m	the system of over the general dget monitoring ity has adequate to fully achieve ectives. These found to be ively but there e controls could I to ensure that the accounting naintained and are correctly	recommendations. Internal audit highlighted issues around control account reconciliations and access restrictions to the general ledger.

Review area		Audit days			rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Core financial system	ns (contd)					
Treasury Management	5	6	(1)	internal contro operation management w generally adequ achieve its object however some the effectivene	of treasury	The final audit report was issued in February 2009. RBC does not currently hold any borrowing and we found that the management of the Authority's investments is generally well controlled. The Authority's Financial Strategy requires review to improve clarity around the definition for long term investments and RBC's policy on investments with any one institution.
Asset Management	10	18	(8)	-	-	Audit fieldwork on this review was completed in January 2009 and a draft report subsequently issued for management response. A finalised report will be compiled and issued upon receipt of responses from management.

Review area	eview area Audit days Assurar		rance	Key issues/Comments		
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Core financial system	ns (contd)					
Procurement	5	5	0	<ul> <li>recommendation of the Procureme in 2008 has sh progress has implementing recommendation the current stat recommendation follows:</li> <li>One (33.3% implemente</li> <li>One (33.3 partly implete</li> </ul>	hown that good been made in these s. In summary, us of the three s raised is as b) has been fully d, %) has been mented; and c) of these is no idered	Two new recommendations have been raised to strengthen the controls around the use of unapproved suppliers where there is selected contractor in place for the Council. These findings relate to exception reports, designed to identify supplies purchased from contractors other than those with whom the Council has an agreed contract, not being run and reviewed on a regular basis. Also, undertaking a periodic review of petty cash transactions to highlight the use of non-contracted suppliers for goods and services.
Cash collection and banking	10	5	5	-	-	Audit fieldwork in respect of this review is currently in progress and upon completion a draft report will be issued for management response.
Payroll	10	9	1	-	-	Audit fieldwork is now complete and a draft report is due to be issued imminently.

### Lancashire Audit Service: Rossendale Borough Council Internal Audit monitoring report for the period ended 31 January 2009

Review area	Audit days			Assu	rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Core financial system	ns (contd)					
Debtors	5	0	5	-	-	Audit scheduled to be undertaken in Q4.
Creditors	5	0	5	-	-	Audit scheduled to be undertaken in Q4.
Focussed reviews			I	I		
Use of Resources / KLOE	20	15	5	-	-	Time to date has been spent on initial assessment work around the Use of Resources and the areas of our audit work that these impact on.
Data Quality	20	0	20	-	-	Initial discussions have been held with RBC management to consider coverage within the data quality review for 2008/09. We will also liaise with the Audit Commission to determine scope and coverage for this audit.
Planning	15	18.5	(3.5)	-	-	Audit fieldwork in this area has now been completed and a draft report is due to be issued imminently.
Health and Safety 10	10	10 12	12 (2)	1	~	This audit report was finalised and issued in October 2008. We noted that the core service
		The controls adequate a effectively as inte	in place are nd operating ended.	requirements which make up the consultancy agreement are being adhered to. Reports from the health and safety audits performed have been issued to all Service Unit Managers informing them of the recommendations made.		

System adequacy: We have defined a system as adequate if its design enables it to achieve its core control objectives which, if operating as intended, serve to manage its inherent risks.

### Lancashire Audit Service: Rossendale Borough Council Internal Audit monitoring report for the period ended 31 January 2009

Review area		Audit days			rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Focussed reviews (c	ontd)					
Client side management arrangements – Leisure Trust	5	0.5	4.5	-	-	An update has been obtained on the current progress with recommendations and a further more detailed follow up exercise is scheduled to be undertaken in Q4.
National Fraud Initiative	15	12.5	2.5	-	-	Time spent to date by NFI key contact in arranging Fair Processing Notices and liaising with Authority contacts regarding the provision of required datasets.
Business Continuity Planning	15	17	(2)	In our opinion internal control continuity planr Authority has ac overall to fully ac objectives. Se have identified th priorities and p been put in place review BCPs for activity based Municipal (ZM) m	over business ning within the dequate controls chieve its control enior managers he Council's key rocedures have to develop and or each priority on the Zurich	A final report was issued in January 2009. Four medium and two low priority recommendations were raised as part of this review. The Authority's restructure of the organisation has resulted in changes affecting the ownership and maintenance of some BCPs. Responsibility for some key areas may also have altered. All BCPs need, therefore, to be reviewed to ensure that responsibility for each "Mission Critical Activity" (MCA) is correctly identified. Each BCP states that the plan should be tested at least annually with the results reported and fed into the review of the plan. Most BCPs were developed in 2006/07, but there has not as yet been a documented exercise to test the plans. There have been two "real" incidents affecting the Council's activities, but managers did not activate their plans on these occasions or record the incidents as tests.

System adequacy: We have defined a system as adequate if its design enables it to achieve its core control objectives which, if operating as intended, serve to manage its inherent risks.

### Lancashire Audit Service: Rossendale Borough Council Internal Audit monitoring report for the period ended 31 January 2009

Review area		Audit days			rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Focussed reviews(c	ontd)				·	•
Contingency	6	0	6	-	-	
Specialist areas	1	1				
Response to fraud/ impropriety	10	0	10	-	-	
IT Controls – Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard	15	1.5	13.5	-	-	At the request of RBC management this review has been deferred and is planned to be undertaken in June 2009.
2007/08 audits carrie	ed forward				1	1
Anti-fraud and Corruption Policy	0	0 5.5	5.5 (5.5)	~	✓	A final audit report has been issued in respect of this review.
Contraption Policy				generally adeq main, operatin	in place are uate and in the g effectively as nded.	
Cash and Banking	0	0.5	(0.5)	✓	✓	The time relates to the finalisation of work on this area which was reported in the Annual Audit Report 2007/08.
				adequate a	in place are nd operating s intended.	

**System adequacy**: We have defined a system as adequate if its design enables it to achieve its core control objectives which, if operating as intended, serve to manage its inherent risks. **System effectiveness**: We have defined a system as operating effectively if, after testing or other supporting evidence has been found, it is operating as intended

Review area		Audit day	'S	Assu	rance	Key issues/Comments	
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness		
2007/08 audits carrie	d forward (c	ontd)					
Council Tax	0	0.5	(0.5)	~	~	The time relates to the finalisation of work on this area which was reported in the Annual Audit	
					in place are nd operating s intended.	Report 2007/08.	
Debtors	0	6.5	(6.5)	~	~	A final report has been issued in September 2008 following receipt of management	
				The controls in place are generally adequate and operating effectively as intended.		responses. Of the eight recommendations agreed at the previous audit, three have been fully implemented, one is considered to be on- going and four remain outstanding. From discussions with key personnel and testing in th appropriate areas, five new recommendations have been raised.	
Follow-up reviews	0	5	(5)	~		A final report was issued in September 2008. Appropriate action was found to have been	
				adequate ar	in place are nd operating s intended.	taken or was ongoing to implement recommendations agreed in previous audit reviews.	

**System adequacy**: We have defined a system as adequate if its design enables it to achieve its core control objectives which, if operating as intended, serve to manage its inherent risks. **System effectiveness**: We have defined a system as operating effectively if, after testing or other supporting evidence has been found, it is operating as intended

Review area		Audit day	'S	Assu	rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
2007/08 audits carrie	d forward (c	ontd)				·
IT Controls - NNDR	0	0	0	-	-	A draft report has been issued for management response and a subsequent meeting was held with management to discuss the findings and agree the recommendations. This report will be finalised following receipt of the completed action plan.
Payroll	0	5.5	(5.5)	√	~	A final report has been issued. All of the 11 recommendations made at the previous review
				adequate a	in place are nd operating as intended.	in September 2007 have now been implemented or are being adequately addressed. There is still a requirement to ensure the Disaster Recovery Plan is fully tested.
Procurement	0	3	(3)	$\checkmark$	✓	The time relates to the finalisation of work on this area which was reported in the Annual Audit Report 2007/08.
					in place are nd operating s intended.	

Review area	Audit days			Assu	rance	Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
2007/08 audits carried	forward (c	ontd)		·	·	
Risk Management	0	2.5	(2.5)	~	$\checkmark$	The time relates to the finalisation of work on this area which was reported in the Annual Audit
				The controls in p adequate and ge operating effective	nerally	Report 2007/08.
Other areas						
Follow up reviews	5	2	3	-	-	A schedule containing recommendations raised during 2007/08 audits has been produced for subsequent follow up during 2008/09.
Risk assessment and strategic planning	5	2.5	2.5	N/A	N/A	This time relates to the day-to-day management of the Authority's audit plan.
Committee and other meetings	6	3.5	2.5	N/A	N/A	This time covers Audit Committee preparation and attendance.
Central reporting (annual and periodic progress)	10	8	2	N/A	N/A	This allocation covers the time required for the Committee reporting process as well as preparing the monitoring reports for the Head of Financial Services.

**System adequacy**: We have defined a system as adequate if its design enables it to achieve its core control objectives which, if operating as intended, serve to manage its inherent risks. **System effectiveness**: We have defined a system as operating effectively if, after testing or other supporting evidence has been found, it is operating as intended

Review area	Audit days			Assurance		Key issues/Comments
	Planned	Actual	Variation	Adequacy	Effectiveness	
Other areas (contd)						
Liaison with senior management	14	7	7	N/A	N/A	This time covers the monthly update meetings with the Head of Finance as well as meetings with relevant Senior Managers.
Liaison with Audit Commission	3	1	2	N/A	N/A	This time relates to regular liaison meetings with the Audit Commission.
Ad hoc advice and support	6	1.5	4.5	N/A	N/A	This allocation covers ad hoc advice and assistance to the Authority when requested.
Total Days	280	227	53			