

Community Impact Assessment

Checklist & Signature Sheet

This should be commenced at the start of the Community Impact Assessment (CIA) process.

Name of Strategy/Policy/	Memorials (not on a grave).
Service or Function:	

Please check the following steps have been completed before signing below:

- Sections 1 to 4 completed
- Action Plan completed (where appropriated)
- Notified all relevant Officers/Service Areas/Partners

Signed:

Job Title: Tamzin Percival

Department: Operations

Date completed: 30.4.10

Date commenced Assessment: 23.9.09

Received by and date received in the People & Policy Team:

Please sign the CIA as indicated above, retain a copy and send a copy of the full CIA, including the Action Plan, to:

Liz Murphy Head of People and Policy Rossendale Borough Council Stubbylee Hall Stubbylee, Bacup Rossendale OL13 0DE <u>lizmurphy@rossendalebc.gov.uk</u>

MANAGEMENT ACTION REQUIRED (to be completed by the Head of P&P)

- Referred back to Assessor for amendment. Date:
- Refer to Committee. Specify Committee & Date:
- Considered by Community Impact Assessment & Scrutiny Group. Date:
- Published/made publicly available on. Date

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations	Due for review	July 2011
	Manager		
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 1 of 11	



Date of Review¹: 1st July 2011

¹ This date will be set on an annual basis as default for review unless otherwise specified by you.

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations	Due for review	July 2011
	Manager		-
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 2 of 11	



Community Impact Assessment Form

Name of Strategy/Policy:	Memorials (not on a grave))		
Officer Name(s):	Tamzin Percival			
Job Title & Location:	Assistant operations manager Henrietta Street Depot.			
Department/Service Area:	Operations Team			
Telephone & E-mail	01706 252518			
Contact:	tamzinpercival@rossenda	ilebc.gov.uk		
Date Assessment:	Commenced:	Completed:		
	23.9.09	30.4.10		

1. Impact Assessment – Policy and Target Outcomes

a) Summarise the main aims/objectives of the strategy, policy, procedure, project or decision (refer to "**Notes for Guidance**" for details).

Clarify the types of memorials the council will consider if requested by a member of the public. To draw up guidelines regarding type / style of memorial, suitable locations and cost commitments. The final section covers how to deal with informal memorials, e.g. flowers in parks.

b) Is the policy or decision under review (please tick)

New/proposed⊠

Modified/adapted

Existing

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations	Due for review	July 2011
	Manager		
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 3 of 11	



- c) Main or intended groups identified as beneficiaries, targets or users of (or affected in any other way) this strategy, policy, project, procedure or decision? Please specify in box below:
 - Customers/citizens of the district
 - Targeted/specific groups of customers/citizens (indicate below in [d]).
 - Elected Members/Councillors
 - Internal colleagues/customers or other public authorities e.g. government agencies
 - Community Groups/voluntary sector groups or campaign/interest groups
 - Staff/employees (in their contractual position) and/or potential employees/trainees.
 - Any other stakeholder e.g. trade unions, contractors, suppliers, district partners, public agencies (not directly under Council control), intermediaries representing interest groups e.g. tenants, developers, legal agencies or third parties.

Main beneficiaries:

Friends and relatives of deceased people wishing to remember loved ones via an alternative form of memorial as well as or instead of a memorial stone on a grave.

d) Please detail below specific equality groups who will be the main beneficiaries, targets and users of this strategy, policy, project, procedure or decision, or who will be affected in any other way.

Key equality groups as main beneficiaries or affected in any other way (where appropriate):

None

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations Manager	Due for review	July 2011
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 4 of 11	



- e) To assist with the assessment you may need to consider collecting the following information, before completing the table in Section 2:
 - NATIONAL DATA e.g. surveys, reports, statistics, etc which point up specific areas/issues.
 - LOCAL DATA e.g. demographics, service mapping studies & relevant research.
 - MANAGEMENT INFO e.g. data collected for operational/financial or other purposes.
 - MONITORING DATA e.g. information already available or collected. For example: disability type, age band, gender, location. (ref. existing LPSIs).
 - CONSULTATION/CONTACT DATA e.g. user group feedback, representations, specific consultation events etc.
 - CUSTOMER COMPLAINT/FEEDBACK e.g. results of investigations, inquiries, elected member cases, normal complaints/compliments etc.
 - Views of LSP Officers, independent externals, contractors/suppliers, partners and academia (if relevant).
 - OTHER e.g. frontline employee feedback, other research, experiences of other agencies/local authorities, councillors mailbags/surgeries.

Please detail in the box below, the information you have considered to make this decision/recommendation regarding the communities affected by this.

Customer feedback - enquiries and requests for memorials. There have been twelve occasions since June 2008 where customers have enquired about memorials (e.g. trees) in locations outside of a cemetery. These customers have not been identified from any specific faith group. As the policy is intended to make memorials accessible to anyone regardless of faith group or if they are of no faith it was decided that consulation with key faith groups (in Rossendale being Christian and Islam) was not necessary. Feedback from colleagues - passing on requests.

Experiences - involvement in arranging memorials and therefore knowledge of what customers want.

Documented feedback from three customers we have provided memorial tree for in the past - all positive comments. Also informal "thank you's" on site.

- f) Is further consultation, data collection or research still required?
 - Yes 🖂

No

(If yes then complete Action Plan)

Key Actions (note responsible officer(s)):

Initial review of the CIA resulted in the group advising that that wider community consultation was required regarding the options available to customers around forms of memorial. The policy and CIA was subsequently taken to the Executive Managment meeting by the Assistant Operations Manager in December 2009 where this advice was discussed. The management team felt that wider consultation would not be appropriate or practical as the service proposed is open to all customers and

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations Manager	Due for review	July 2011
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 5 of 11	

Rossendalealiye

all requests would be considered on an indivudal basis anyway. Therefore getting a common opinion would be unlikely, as these opinions would be as wide ranging as the memorials themselves - which in any case would then be dealt with individually. The team also minuted that all informal tributes should be dealt with on an individual basis as and when an incidence requires and commented at the meeting that trying to consult widely to get a common agreement any more specifically would probably not be possible.

The policy itself was circulated within the Communities Team to gain their support in dealing with situations around informal tributes. With some minor adjustment to wording this support has been given.

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations Manager	Due for review	July 2011
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 6 of 11	



2. Impact – Evidence

Using the table below please tick whether you have evidence that the policy/strategy/decision has a negative, positive or neutral impact from an equalities perspective on any of the equality groups listed below. Throughout this document please also give consideration to the wider community cohesion impacts within and between the groups identified.

			Posi Impa could bene	act – (It I	Negative Impact – (It could disadvantage			Neutral Impact (Neither)
Gender	Women							\square
	Men							\boxtimes
Race (Ethnicity or Nationality)	Asian or Asi	an British people						
	Black or black	ck British people						\boxtimes
	Chinese or o	other ethnic people						\square
	Irish people							\square
	White people	e						\square
	Chinese peo	ple						
	Other minor above (pleas	ty communities not listed se state)						
Disability	Physical/lea	rning/mental health				memorial i can easliy	a person to place a in a location that they access rather than go to a prescribed n.	
Sexuality	Lesbians, ga	ay men and bisexuals						
Gender	Transgende	r people						
Identity								
Age	Older people							\square
	Younger peo	ople (17-25), and children						\square
Responsible Sec	tion/Team	Operations Team	Versio	n	4			
Responsible Aut		Assistant Operations Manager		r review	J	uly 2011		
Date last amende	ed	24 June 2010	Page 7	' of 11				



		Positive Impact – (It could benefit)	Negative Impact – (It could disadvantage)	Reason	Neutral Impact (Neither)
Belief	Faith groups *			Can allow forms of memorials not connected to traditonal places of worship.	
Other Groups (e.g. carers, rurally isolated, gypsies & roma travellers, people on low incomes)				The memorial may be a lower cost alternative to a headstone and can be loacted at any special place.	

Notes:

* Faith groups cover a wide range of groupings, the most common of which are Muslims, Buddhists, Jews, Christians, Sikhs, Hindus. Consider faith categories individually and collectively when considering positive and negative impacts

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations Manager	Due for review	July 2011
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 8 of 11	

Issued by: Head of People and Policy



3. Impact – Nature/Type

a) Could you further improve the strategy, project, policy, procedure or decision's <u>positive or neutral</u> impact? If "Yes", this should be detailed in the Action Plan.

YES 🗌	NO	\boxtimes
-------	----	-------------

b) You need to think about how you can mitigate any adverse or <u>negative</u> impact(s) of the proposal, or how you might use the policy, strategy, project, procedure or decision to promote a <u>positive</u> impact. You must consider whether you have identified that this proposal has a:

High Impact: that the policy, project or decision will have a high, negative impact i.e. that it may be or is unlawfully discriminating against some groups, you will have to take immediate action to mitigate this.

OR:

Lower Impact: the policy, project or decision will have a negative or adverse impact (that may not be lawful). You will also need to consider what changes you could make to remove this impact.

If you have identified adverse impact you must determine whether you will recommend that the Council should:

- Change the policy/decision, stating what the changes should be
- Revise the policy/decision, stating the revisions
- Consult further if you feel that you do not have enough information.

Actions arising from the impact assessment should form part of the Service Planning Process.

Key Actions: None required.

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations	Due for review	July 2011
	Manager		
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 9 of 11	

Rossendalealize

4. Impact Assessment - Summary

Key Findings

Please list the major outcomes/results/findings of this assessment in relation to equality which require <u>action</u> by the Council detailing these in the Action Plan at the back at this document. If no specific actions have been identified please detail your key findings below:

Key Findings:

Incidences of informal memorials have caused conflict and these conflicts were resolved by the installation of a more permanent feature.

There are occasional requests for memorials of varying types at various locations already occuring.

The issue regarding a long term shrine type memorial in Stubbylee park was considered and currently how there is no procedure on handling situations such as this.

5. Impact Assessment – Further Action

Please give the details of the monitoring/evaluation/review process that has/will be set up to check the successful implementation of the policy, project, strategy or decision including improved outcomes/impact and identify the review date.

Evaluation/ monitoring/ review process:

Keep records of requests for memorials and the number of memorials subsequently in place. Annual evaluation summarising the above and if there were any issues arising from how the procedures were carried out.

Review Date: July 2011

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations Manager	Due for review	July 2011
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 10 of 11	



6. IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACTION PLAN

Please list below any recommendations for action that you plan to take as a result of this impact assessment (refer to Sections 3 & 4).

Issue	Action required	Lead officer	Timescale	Resource implications	Comments

Responsible Section/Team	Operations Team	Version	4
Responsible Author	Assistant Operations Manager	Due for review	July 2011
Date last amended	24 June 2010	Page 11 of 11	

Issued by: Head of People and Policy