

## **Rossendale Borough Council**

Audit 2010/11



The Audit Commission is an independent watchdog, driving economy, efficiency and effectiveness in local public services to deliver better outcomes for everyone.

Our work across local government, health, housing, community safety and fire and rescue services means that we have a unique perspective. We promote value for money for taxpayers, auditing the £200 billion spent by 11,000 local public bodies.

As a force for improvement, we work in partnership to assess local public services and make practical recommendations for promoting a better quality of life for local people.

## Contents

Introduction	2
Responsibilities	3
Fee for the audit	4
Auditors report on the financial statements	5
Materiality	5
Identifying opinion audit risks	5
Identification of specific risks	6
Value for money risks	6
Testing strategy	7
Value for money conclusion	8
Key milestones and deadlines	9
The audit team	10
Independence and objectivity	10
Meetings	10
Quality of service	10
Planned outputs	11
Appendix 1 Basis for fee	12
Assumptions	12
Appendix 2 Independence and objectivity	13
Appendix 3 Working together	15
Meetings	15
Sustainability	15
Appendix 4 Glossary	16

## Introduction

## This plan sets out the audit work that I propose to undertake for the audit of financial statements and the value for money conclusion 2010/11.

- 1 The plan is based on the Audit Commission's risk-based approach to audit planning. It reflects:
- audit work specified by the Audit Commission for 2010/11;
- current national risks relevant to your local circumstances; and
- your local risks.

## Responsibilities

## The Audit Commission's Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and of Audited Bodies sets out the respective responsibilities of the auditor and the audited body. The Audit Commission has issued a copy of the Statement to every audited body.

2 The Statement summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body begin and end and I undertake my audit work to meet these responsibilities.

3 I comply with the statutory requirements governing our audit work, in particular:

- the Audit Commission Act 1998; and
- the Code of Audit Practice.

## Fee for the audit

# The fee for the audit is £105,545, before rebates, as indicated in my letter of 28 April 2010.

4 The Audit Commission scale fee for a Rossendale Borough Council is  $\pounds 100,750$ . The fee proposed for 2010/11 is 4.8 per cent above the scale fee but is within the normal level of variation specified by the Commission.

5 However, the Commission wrote to all audited bodies, on 9 August 2010, about its proposed new arrangements for local value for money audit work. This indicated the impact on audit fees for 2010/11 would be considered as part of the Commission's consultation on its work programme and scales of fees for 2011/12, planned for September 2010. In light of the Secretary of State's announcement on the government's intention to abolish the Commission, this consultation was delayed.

6 The proposed work programmed and scales of fees 2011/12 was issued for consultation in December 2010. In this document the Commission states that it will rebate 1.5 per cent of the 2010/11 scale fee for district councils. This is in addition to the earlier rebate of 6 per cent for the additional audit costs from the transition to International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) of £6,024 which was refunded to Rossendale Borough Council in April 2010.

- 7 In setting the fee, I have assumed that:
- the level of risk in relation to the audit of accounts is consistent with that for 2009/10;
- good quality, accurate working papers are available at the start of the financial statements audit; and
- The Council will supply good quality working papers to support the restatement of 2009/10 balances to comply with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

8 Where these assumptions are not met, I will be required to undertake additional work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee. Where this is the case, I will discuss this first with the Head of Finance and I will issue supplements to the plan to record any revisions to the risk and the impact on the fee.

9 Further information on the basis for the fee is set out in Appendix 1.

## Auditors report on the financial statements

I will carry out the audit of the financial statements in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).

**10** I am required to issue an audit report giving my opinion on whether the accounts give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council as at 31 March 2011.

## Materiality

11 I will apply the concept of materiality in both planning and performing the audit, in evaluating the effect of any identified misstatements, and in forming my opinion.

## Identifying opinion audit risks

**12** I need to understand fully the audited body to identify any risk of material misstatement (whether due to fraud or error) in the financial statements. I do this by:

- identifying the business risks facing the Council, including assessing your own risk management arrangements;
- considering the financial performance of the Council;
- assessing internal control including reviewing the control environment, the IT control environment and Internal Audit; and
- assessing the risk of material misstatement arising from the activities and controls within the Council information systems.

## Identification of specific risks

## I have considered the additional risks that are appropriate to the current opinion audit and have set these out below.

## Table 1:Significant risksSignificant opinion risks identified

Risk area	Audit response
The implementation of international financial reporting standards (IFRS) to local government accounts in 2010/11 will require good planning to ensure an efficient transition to the new financial reporting requirements.	I will continue to liaise with key finance staff on the key changes required and the plans in place to address them. I will review the Council's restated 2009/10 accounts when they are available and discuss any significant matters arising with officers before the produce the 2010/11 draft accounts.

## Value for money risks

**13** I will undertake my risk assessment for the vfm conclusion later in the year and communicate with you further then.

## **Testing strategy**

# On the basis of risks identified above I will produce a testing strategy which will consist of testing key controls and/or substantive tests of transaction streams and material account balances at year-end.

14 I can carry out the testing both before and after the draft financial statements have been produced (pre- and post-statement testing).

**15** Wherever possible, I will complete some substantive testing earlier in the year before the financial statements are available for audit. I have identified the following areas where substantive testing could be carried out early.

- Review of accounting policies.
- Bank reconciliation.
- Fixed asset ownership.
- Year-end feeder system reconciliations.

Where I identify other possible early testing, I will discuss it with officers.

**16** I will seek to rely on the work of other auditors and experts, as appropriate, to meet my responsibilities. For 2010/11, I plan to rely on the work of other auditors in the following area.

- FRS17 reliance will be placed on figures supplied by the actuary to the Lancashire County Council Pension Fund. The Pension Fund is subject to audit by the Audit Commission, and liaison takes place at a national level between the Audit Commission and the leading actuary firms.
- 17 I also plan to rely on the work of experts in the following area.
- Fixed assets valuations and impairment review. This has been carried out in recent years by Athertons, the Council's external valuers.

## Value for money conclusion

## I am required to give a statutory VFM conclusion on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

**18** This is based on two criteria, specified by the Commission, related to your arrangements for:

- securing financial resilience focusing on whether the Council is managing its financial risks to secure a stable financial position for the foreseeable future; and
- challenging how the Council secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness – focusing on whether the Council is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets and improving productivity and efficiency.

**19** I will plan a programme of VFM audit work based on my risk assessment.

## Key milestones and deadlines

## The Council is required to prepare the financial statements by 30 June 2011. I am required to complete the audit and issue the opinion and value for money conclusion by 30 September 2011.

**20** The key stages in producing and auditing the financial statements are in Table 2.

**21** I will agree with you a schedule of working papers required to support the entries in the financial statements. The agreed fee is dependent on the timely receipt of accurate working papers.

22 Every week, during the final accounts audit, the audit team will meet with the key contact and review the status of all queries. I can arrange meetings at a different frequency depending on the need and the number of issues arising.

Activity	Date
Control and early substantive testing	March-April 2011
Receipt of accounts	30 June 2011
Sending audit working papers to the auditor	July 2011
Start of detailed testing	July 2011
Final accounts progress meetings	Weekly
Present report to those charged with governance at the Audit and Accounts Committee	6 September 2011
Issue opinion and value for money conclusion	Early September 2011

#### Table 2: Proposed timetable

## The audit team

# Table 3 shows the key members of the audit team for the 2010/11 audit.

Table 3: Audit team

Name	Contact details	Responsibilities
Clive Portman District Auditor	<u>c-portman@audit-</u> <u>commission.gov.uk</u> 0844 798 7300	Responsible for the overall delivery of the audit including the quality of outputs, signing the opinion and conclusion, and liaison with the Chief Executive.
Tony Hough Audit Manager	<u>t-hough@audit-</u> <u>commission.gov.uk</u> 0844 798 7001	Manages and coordinates the different elements of the audit work. Key point of contact for the Head of Finance.

## Independence and objectivity

**23** I am not aware of any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the District Auditor and the audit staff, which I am required by auditing and ethical standards to communicate to you.

**24** I comply with the ethical standards issued by the APB and with the Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity as summarised in Appendix 2.

## **Meetings**

**25** The audit team will ensure we have knowledge of your issues to inform our risk-based audit through regular liaison with key officers. Our proposals are set out in Appendix 3.

## **Quality of service**

**26** I aim to provide you with a fully satisfactory audit service. If, however, you are unable to deal with any difficulty through me and my team please contact Chris Westwood, Director of Professional Practice, Audit Practice, Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ (<u>c-westwood@audit-commission.gov.uk</u>) who will look into any complaint promptly and to do what he can to resolve the position.

**27** If you are still not satisfied you may of course take up the matter with the Audit Commission's Complaints Investigation Officer (The Audit Commission, Westward House, Lime Kiln Close, Stoke Gifford, Bristol BS34 8SR).

## **Planned outputs**

**28** My team will discuss and agree reports with the relevant officers before issuing them to the Audit and Accounts Committee.

#### Table 4: Planned outputs

Planned output	Indicative date
Annual governance report	September 2011
Auditor's report giving an opinion on the financial statements	Early September 2011
Auditor's VFM conclusion	Early September 2011
Annual audit letter	December 2011

## Appendix 1 Basis for fee

The Audit Commission is committed to targeting its work where it will have the greatest effect, based upon assessments of risk and performance. This means planning work to address areas of risk relevant to our audit responsibilities and reflecting this in the audit fees.

The risk assessment process starts with the identification of the significant financial and operational risks applying to the Council with reference to:

- my cumulative knowledge of the Council;
  - planning guidance issued by the Audit Commission;
  - the specific results of previous and ongoing audit work;
- interviews with Council officers; and
- liaison with Internal Audit.

## Assumptions

In setting the fee, I have assumed that:

- the level of risk in relation to the audit of the financial statements is not significantly different from that identified for 2009/10;
- you will inform me of significant developments impacting on the audit;
- Internal Audit meets the appropriate professional standards;
- you provide:
  - good quality working papers and records to support the financial statements;
  - information asked for within agreed timescales;
  - prompt responses to draft reports; and
- there is no allowance for extra work needed to address questions or objections raised by local government electors.

Where these assumptions are not met, I will be required to undertake additional work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee.

## Appendix 2 Independence and objectivity

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission are required to comply with the Commission's Code of Audit Practice and Standing Guidance for Auditors, which defines the terms of the appointment. When auditing the financial statements, auditors are also required to comply with auditing standards and ethical standards issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).

The main requirements of the Code of Audit Practice, Standing Guidance for Auditors and the standards are summarised below.

International Standard on Auditing (UK and Ireland) 260 (Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance) requires that the appointed auditor:

- discloses in writing all relationships that may bear on the auditor's objectivity and independence, the related safeguards put in place to protect against these threats and the total amount of fee that the auditor has charged the client; and
- confirms in writing that the APB's ethical standards are complied with and that, in the auditor's professional judgement, they are independent and their objectivity is not compromised.

The standard defines 'those charged with governance' as 'those persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity'. In your case, the appropriate addressee of communications from the auditor to those charged with governance is the Audit and Accounts Committee. The auditor reserves the right, however, to communicate directly with the Council on matters which are considered to be of sufficient importance.

The Commission's Code of Audit Practice has an overriding general requirement that appointed auditors carry out their work independently and objectively, and ensure that they do not act in any way that might give rise to, or could reasonably be perceived to give rise to, a conflict of interest. In particular, appointed auditors and their staff should avoid entering into any official, professional or personal relationships which may, or could reasonably be perceived to, cause them inappropriately or unjustifiably to limit the scope, extent or rigour of their work or impair the objectivity of their judgement.

The Standing Guidance for Auditors includes a number of specific rules. The key rules relevant to this audit appointment are as follows.

- Appointed auditors should not perform additional work for an audited body (ie work over and above the minimum required to meet their statutory responsibilities) if it would compromise their independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be compromised. Where the audited body invites the auditor to carry out risk-based work in a particular area that cannot otherwise be justified as necessary to support the auditor's opinion and conclusions, it should be clearly differentiated within the Audit and Inspection Plan as being 'additional work' and charged for separately from the normal audit fee.
- Auditors should not accept engagements that involve commenting on the performance of other auditors appointed by the Commission on Commission work without first consulting the Commission.
- The District Auditor responsible for the audit should, in all but the most exceptional circumstances, be changed at least once every seven years, with additional safeguards in the last two years.
- The District Auditor and senior members of the audit team are prevented from taking part in political activity on behalf of a political party, or special interest group, whose activities relate directly to the functions of local government or NHS bodies in general, or to a particular local government or NHS body.

The District Auditor and members of the audit team must abide by the Commission's policy on gifts, hospitality and entertainment.

## Appendix 3 Working together

## **Meetings**

The audit team will ensure we have knowledge of your issues to inform our risk-based audit through regular liaison with key officers.

My proposal for the meetings is as follows.

#### Table 5: Proposed meetings with officers

Council officers	Audit Commission staff	Timing	Purpose
Chief Executive	DA and AM	Quarterly	<ul> <li>General update plus:</li> <li>audit plan;</li> <li>accounts progress; and</li> <li>annual governance report.</li> </ul>
Head of Finance Head of Internal Audit	AM and TL AM and TL	Quarterly 6 monthly	Update on audit issues Update on audit issues
Audit and Accounts Committee	DA and AM, with TL as appropriate	As determined by the Committee	<ul> <li>Formal reporting of:</li> <li>audit plan;</li> <li>annual governance report; and</li> <li>other issues as appropriate.</li> </ul>

## **Sustainability**

The Audit Commission is committed to promoting sustainability in our working practices and I will actively consider opportunities to reduce our impact on the environment. This will include:

- reducing paper flow by encouraging you to submit documentation and working papers electronically;
- use of video and telephone conferencing for meetings as appropriate; and
- reducing travel.

## Appendix 4 Glossary

#### Annual audit letter

Report issued by the auditor to an audited body that summarises the audit work carried out in the period, auditors' opinions or conclusions (where appropriate) and significant issues arising from auditors' work.

#### Audit of the accounts

The audit of the accounts of an audited body comprises all work carried out by auditors in accordance with the Code to meet their statutory responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act 1998.

#### Audited body

A body to which the Audit Commission is responsible for appointing the external auditor, comprising both the members of the body and its management (the senior officers of the body). Those charged with governance are the members of the audited body. (See also 'Members' and 'Those charged with governance'.)

#### Auditing Practices Board (APB)

The body responsible in the UK for issuing auditing standards, ethical standards and other guidance to auditors. Its objectives are to establish high standards of auditing that meet the developing needs of users of financial information and to ensure public confidence in the auditing process.

#### Auditing standards

Pronouncements of the APB, which contain basic principles and essential procedures with which auditors are required to comply, except where otherwise stated in the auditing standard concerned.

#### Auditor(s)

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission.

#### Code (the)

The Code of Audit Practice.

#### Commission (the)

The Audit Commission for Local Authorities and the National Health Service in England.

#### **Ethical Standards**

Pronouncements of the APB that contain basic principles that apply to the conduct of audits and with which auditors are required to comply, except where otherwise stated in the standard concerned.

#### **Financial statements**

The annual statement of accounts or accounting statements that audited bodies are required to prepare, which summarise the accounts of the audited body, in accordance with regulations and proper practices in relation to accounts.

#### Internal control

The whole system of controls, financial and otherwise, that is established in order to provide reasonable assurance of effective and efficient operations, internal financial control and compliance with laws and regulations.

#### Materiality (and significance)

The APB defines this concept as 'an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of the financial statements as a whole. A matter is material if its omission would reasonably influence the decisions of an addressee of the auditor's report; likewise a misstatement is material if it would have a similar influence. Materiality may also be considered in the context of any individual primary statement within the financial statements or of individual items included in them. Materiality is not capable of general mathematical definition, as it has both qualitative and quantitative aspects'.

The term 'materiality' applies only in relation to the financial statements. Auditors appointed by the Commission have responsibilities and duties under statute, in addition to their responsibility to give an opinion on the financial statements, which do not necessarily affect their opinion on the financial statements.

The concept of 'significance' applies to these wider responsibilities and auditors adopt a level of significance that may differ from the materiality level applied to their audit in relation to the financial statements. Significance has both qualitative and quantitative aspects.

#### Members

The elected, or appointed, members of local government bodies who are responsible for the overall direction and control of the audited body. (See also 'Those charged with governance' and 'Audited body'.)

#### Regularity (of expenditure and income)

Whether, subject to the concept of materiality, the expenditure and income of the audited body have been applied for the purposes intended by parliament, and whether they conform with the authorities that govern them.

#### **Annual Governance Statement**

Local government bodies are required to publish a statement on internal control (SIC) with their financial statements (or with their accounting statements in the case of small bodies). The disclosures in the SIC are supported and evidenced by the body's assurance framework. At local authorities the SIC is known as the Annual Governance Statement and is prepared in accordance with guidance issued by CIPFA. Police authorities also produce a SIC in accordance with relevant CIPFA guidance. Local probation trusts are required to prepare a SIC in accordance with the requirements specified by HM Treasury in Managing Public Money.

#### Those charged with governance

Those charged with governance are defined in auditing standards as 'those persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity'.

In local government bodies, those charged with governance, for the purpose of complying with auditing standards, are:

 the full council, Audit and Accounts Committee (where established) or any other committee with delegated responsibility for approval of the financial statements.

Audit and Accounts Committees are not mandatory for local government bodies, other than police authorities and local probation trusts. Other bodies are expected to put in place proper arrangements to allow those charged with governance to discuss audit matters with both internal and external auditors. Auditors should satisfy themselves that these matters, and auditors' reports, are considered at the level within the audited body that they consider to be most appropriate. If you require a copy of this document in an alternative format or in a language other than English, please call: **0844 798 7070** 

© Audit Commission 2011.

Design and production by the Audit Commission Publishing Team. Image copyright © Audit Commission.

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body. Reports prepared by appointed auditors are addressed to non-executive directors, members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body. Auditors accept no responsibility to:

- any director/member or officer in their individual capacity; or
- any third party.



Audit Commission

1st Floor Millbank Tower Millbank London SW1P 4HQ

Telephone: 0844 798 3131 Fax: 0844 798 2945 Textphone (minicom): 0844 798 2946

www.audit-commission.gov.uk