Appendix 2

Rossendale Council Grant

GRANT GUIDANCE AND CRITERIA FORM (2011/12) Form

If you require assistance please contact Gemma Rooke on 01706 252514 or email <u>gemmarooke@rossendalebc.gov.uk</u>.

Closing date for applications is 12 noon, 18th April 2011

Please ensure you are completing the correct form in relation to the amount of funds you are requesting:

For grants of less than £1000 for each year please use form A For grants of £1001 - £7000 for each year please use form B For grants of £7001 and above for each year please use form C

To receive a different form to the one you already have please contact Gemma Rooke (see above)

Before submitting your application, please ensure that you have:

- Answered all the questions
- Enclosed all of the required supporting material
- Signed the form

Please send the application form and all supporting documentation to either:

Email: gemmarooke@rossendalebc.gov.uk

Postal: Gemma Rooke, Rossendale Borough Council, Stubbylee Hall, Stubbylee, Bacup, OL13 0DE.



Section 1: Your Organisation

Please complete all sections and attach a signed constitution or your registered charity number, as proof of your group's status.

Section 2: Funding Request

For the year 2011-2012 you can apply for either a three year grant, or a one year grant.

Please note: That if you apply for a one year grant or are unsuccessful this year, there is no guarantee that the grants process will be open in the following years.

Section 3: Financial Information

Please note that without these details we cannot administer your grant.

Section 4: Questions

Please answer all questions in as much detail as possible. Please attach any additional information necessary, clearly stating which question it relates to.

All questions will be scored out of 5, following the criteria below.

5) The question has been answered clearly, with robust evidence that addresses all the issues raised in the question.

4) The question has been answered and evidence given; however, it does not fully address all the issues.

3) Evidence has been provided and answers given, but these are not explained clearly and fully. They only partially answer the issues raised in the question.

2) Minimal evidence has been given and the question has not been answered clearly.

1) No evidence has been given and the question has not been answered clearly.

0) The question has not been answered and no evidence has been supplied.

Each question has a priority weighting; high, medium or low and each weighting has a score from 3 to 1 respectively.

The response to each question will be marked out of five (see above) and multiplied by the high, medium or low weighting. For example:

Question 1: Weighting 3 for high priority multiplied by 5 as the question has been answered clearly, with robust evidence that addresses all the issues: Score 15

Question 1: Weighting 3 for high priority multiplied by 1 as the question has not been answered clearly and no evidence has been supplied. Score 3

Please follow the word counts given for each question, excessive use of words may lead to the answer not being considered and or the score being reduced.

Question 1: Equality and A Priority: High Weighting 3		
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
Please attach your organisations Equality Statement. Please highlight on your statement how you will implement one or all of the below aims.	If you do not have an equality statement, RBC can provide a framework for the organisation to develop one. The Equality Act 2010 sets out a clear public sector duty on equality and in particular to drive equality through procurement of its goods and services. Therefore, as we must comply with the Equalities Act, so must the groups we fund and work with. Each point means:	Higher scores will be awarded for those organisations that have an Equality Statement that is adhered to. Higher scores will also be awarded to those organisations that actively address one of the key aims and can clearly highlight this through their statement. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not recognise the diversity of their project, or
 To eliminate unlawful harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act 2010. 	1) You will not break the Equality Act law and you will act/intervene when a breach of the law has occurred. Your organisation can demonstrate how they tackle discrimination, harassment and victimisation.	cannot justify why the project only delivers to a certain group.
 Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. 	 You address how you give different people equal chances and opportunities. You will treat all people from different groups fairly and equally. 	
3) Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.	 Your organisation will work towards helping different people, from different groups, get on with each other. 	

Question 2: Project/Organisation Overview Priority: High Weighting 3			
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System	
Please give an overview of what your organisation does.	Please clearly outline what your organisation does and what the funding is required	Higher scores will be given to organisations that have a developed, well structured	
Please explain what you require funding for?	for. These can be outlined as bullet points.	idea or already existing service. Lower scores will be given to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate how the project will work and what it will actually do.	

Question 3: Link to Priori Priority: High Weighting 3		
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
Please complete the below tables. If the project benefits a specific area please state under Neighbourhood Forums , if it benefits the whole borough please identify under Corporate Priorities .	Further information about our Corporate Priorities and Neighbourhood Plans can be found at <u>www.rossendale.gov.uk</u> Please note that Neighbourhood Plans are area specific and work carried out in line with that specific plan should be	Higher scores will be awarded for delivering services/projects that deliver against priorities highlighted in the Corporate Plan or Neighbourhood Plans. Higher scores will not be a reflection of the number of boxes ticked, but the depth of how the project aligns with the plans.
	delivered in that area. Our priorities shape what we do, who we are and our services. We want to work closely with our community to ensure that services commissioned externally support our priorities and deliver against what has been identified through extensive community consultation. This will help us ensure that organisation /projects are delivered in accordance with what the community wants and needs	Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not deliver against identified priorities for the area they work in.

Question 4: Value for Money and Added Benefits Priority: High Weighting 3		
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
What will you spend the money on?	Please give as much detail as possible, with a full breakdown of cost. If applying for a 3 year grant, please clearly state what money will be spent in each year.	Higher scores will be awarded to those projects that have a clear plan, with full cost breakdown and can clearly demonstrate that: The organisation is using other financial support and
What research have you done that can demonstrate that the service is being provided for the best value for money? (You may want to include other quotes you have or some research	Please include quotes from other providers and all research you have done. If you are using the grant to fund your own work, please demonstrate it is comparable to other similar organisations, this may	assistance; Their service offers value for money; There is a clear plan for how and what money will be spent. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations
around costs.)	include volunteer expenses.	that do not offer a unique service, with clear outline of spend as is proof that it is offered at good value for money.
Will you get funding from anywhere else?	Please provide as much detail as possible. The Council recognises the importance of using grants to lever in other possible grants and the added value this brings to an organisation and the Borough.	Higher scores will be awarded to organisations that clearly demonstrate where additional funds are from. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not disclose all additional funding.

Question 5: Capacity of Organisation Priority: Medium Weighting 2

Priority: Medium Weighting 2		
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
How long has your organisation been running and have you received funding for other projects before?It is i received organisation been running organisation been running organisation been running the g received lf yes, please give an example of this.It is i received organisation been running organisation been running organisation been running received before?If yes, please give an example of this.before proceived place such a ban of the commutation	mportant that surance is given that the nisation can manage grant. If you have not ived grant funding re, please explain what edures you have in the to manage the grant: as a named treasurer, nk account for sole use the organisation, a mittee that regularly ts and assesses the	Scoring System Higher scores will be awarded for organisations that can demonstrate that either: they have received and managed funding before or that they have the system in place to manage funding. An example of managing other public monies is a positive as is proof of evaluation and accounting taking place. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate what systems they have in place to support the project.

Question 6: Sustainability Priority: Medium Weightin		
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
Will the project still take	Please be clear as to what	Higher scores will be
place if funding is not	level the project will take	awarded to organisations
received? Please explain	place, also what won't be	that can clearly demonstrate
how if the answer is yes	delivered if this grant is not	future plans for the project,
	received and what will be.	with ideas that tackle
Will this project help	It is important to	funding and other resources.
generate any income for	demonstrate future funding	Lower scores will be
your organisation? (For	options and that the project	awarded to organisations
example, you may charge	does not rely on a repetition	that cannot clearly
admission; you may	of council funding. It is	demonstrate they have
increase membership or	recognised that	considered other means of
other fundraising.)	sustainability does not solely	raising funds and considered
	rely on finance, but also	the long term sustainability
	other aspects such as	of the project.
	resources and volunteers.	

Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
What evidence do you have that suggests there is a need for this work?	Please include any research you may have.	Higher scores are awarded for organisations that can clearly demonstrate there is a need for the project and have clear plans in place if the project does fail.
		Lower scores will be awarded to those organisations that cannot support their identified need with some form of proof

Question 8: Partnerships Priority: Low Weighting 1		
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
Does your group work with any other organisations? If yes, please list and give a brief description of how you work with them.	Please include all organisations; these may include the Council, other community organisations, and other statutory services. These can be outlined as bullet points.	Higher scores will be awarded to these organisations that work closely with other organisations to provide better value for money, a more developed programme and shared workloads. Higher scores will not reflect the number of partnerships, but the depth and use of each one. Medium scores will be awarded to organisations that work with other organisations, but cannot clearly demonstrate/describe the relationship. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not work with other organisations.
Would your organisation be willing to be involved with Council initiatives, consultations or RIGs (Rossendale Interest Group)?	In addition to a statutory requirement to consult with the community, the Council also works closely to shape services, deliver activities and tackle issues. We hope to be able to include your organisation in some of these processes.	We would expect all organisations to get involved in council initiatives, consultation exercises or RIGs.

Question 9: Opportunity for individuals and groups Priority: Low Weighting 1		
Questions	Guidance	Scoring System
How many people/groups will benefit from this project?	Please include all people that will benefit from the project, including the recipients of the service, volunteers for the organisation and any other groups you may work with. Please be as detailed as possible, in the table provided.	Higher scores awarded for organisations that offer volunteering opportunities, although it is recognised that some organisations cannot take on a number of volunteers, which is why this has been given a low priority.

Section 5: Check List

Please tick everything you have completed/attached.

Section 6: Signatures

Please note this form can be signed electronically and submitted by email.

Other formats available on request. Tel: 01706 217777 or contact: Rossendale Borough Council PO BOX 74, Bacup, OL13 0WU



Appendix 2

Rossendale Council Grant

B

GRANT GUIDANCE AND CRITERIA FORM (2011/12) Form

If you require assistance please contact Gemma Rooke on 01706 252514 or email <u>gemmarooke@rossendalebc.gov.uk</u>.

Closing date for applications is 12 noon, 18th April 2011

Please ensure you are completing the correct form in relation to the amount of funds you are requesting:

For grants of less than £1000 for each year please use form A For grants of £1001 - £7000 for each year please use form B For grants of £7001 and above for each year please use form C

To receive a different form to the one you already have please contact Gemma Rooke (see above)

Before submitting your application, please ensure that you have:

- Answered all the questions
- Enclosed all of the required supporting material
- Signed the form

Please send the application form and all supporting documentation to either:

Email: gemmarooke@rossendalebc.gov.uk

Postal: Gemma Rooke, Rossendale Borough Council, Stubbylee Hall, Stubbylee, Bacup, OL13 0DE.



Section 1: Your Organisation

Please complete all sections and attach a signed constitution or your registered charity number, as proof of your group's status.

Section 2: Funding Request

For the year 2011-2012 you can apply for either a three year grant, or a one year grant.

Please note: That if you apply for a one year grant or are unsuccessful this year, there is no guarantee that the grants process will be open in the following years.

Section 3: Financial Information

Please note that without these details we cannot administer your grant.

Section 4: Questions

Please answer all questions in as much detail as possible. Please attach any additional information necessary, clearly stating which question it relates to.

All questions will be scored out of 5, following the criteria below.

5) The question has been answered clearly, with robust evidence that addresses all the issues raised in the question.

4) The question has been answered and evidence given; however, it does not fully address all the issues.

3) Evidence has been provided and answers given, but these are not explained clearly and fully. They only partially answer the issues raised in the question.

2) Minimal evidence has been given and the question has not been answered clearly.

1) No evidence has been given and the question has not been answered clearly.

0) The question has not been answered and no evidence has been supplied.

Each question has a priority weighting; high, medium or low and each weighting has a score from 3 to 1 respectively.

The response to each question will be marked out of five (see above) and multiplied by the high, medium or low weighting. For example:

Question 1: Weighting 3 for high priority multiplied by 5 as the question has been answered clearly, with robust evidence that addresses all the issues: Score 15

Question 1: Weighting 3 for high priority multiplied by 1 as the question has not been answered clearly and no evidence has been supplied. Score 3

Please follow the word counts given for each question, excessive use of words may lead to the answer not being considered and or the score being reduced.

Question 1: Equality and Acc	ess to Services	
Priority: High Weighting 3	Cuidanae	Cooring Custom
Question Please attach your organisations Equality Statement. Please clearly demonstrate how	Guidance If you do not have an equality statement, RBC can provide a framework for the organisation to develop one.	Scoring System Higher scores will be awarded for those organisations that have an Equality Statement that is adhered to.
the grant will help your organisation/ the project deliver, promote or enhance equality.	The Equality Act 2010 sets out clear public sector duty on equality and in particular to drive equality through procurement of its goods and services. Therefore, as we must comply with the Equalities Act, so must the groups we fund and work with.	Higher scores will also be awarded to those organisations that actively address one of the key aims and can clearly highlight this through their statement. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not recognise the
	Please clearly outline how you will address one or more of the included aims.	diversity of their project, or cannot justify why the project only delivers to a certain group.
Please consider the below aims: 1) To eliminate unlawful harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act.	Each aim means: 1) You will not break the Equality Act law and you will act/intervene when a breach of the law has occurred. Your organisation can demonstrate how they tackle discrimination, harassment and victimisation.	
2) Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.	 You address how you give different people equal chances and opportunities. You will treat all people from different groups fairly and equally. 	
3) Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.	 Your organisation will work towards helping different people, from different groups, get on with each other. 	

Question 2: Project/Organisation Overview Priority: High Weighting 3		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
Please give an overview of what your organisation does.	Please clearly outline what your organisation does and what the funding is required	Higher scores will be given to organisations that have a developed, well structured
Please explain what you require funding for.	for. These can be outlined as bullet points.	idea or already existing service. Lower scores will be given to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate how the project will work and what it will actually do.
What will be the outcomes and how will you report/evaluate these to us?	Please give no more than three outcomes, where possible, each outcome must be SMART (specific, measureable, achievable, realistic and time bound). Please state clearly how you will report these to us, e.g: through evaluations, reports, documentation.	Higher scores will be given to stronger outcomes, which the Council can measure the organisation against and where there is a clear method of reporting to the Council. Lower scores will be given to organisations that do not give clear outcomes and cannot clearly demonstrate how these will be measured and evaluated. Lower scores will also be awarded to organisations that cannot demonstrate how they will report these to Rossendale Borough Council.

Question 3: Link to Priorities Priority: High Weighting 3

Priority: High Weighting 3		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
Please complete the tables	Further information about our	Higher scores will be
below.	Corporate Priorities and	awarded for delivering
If the project benefits a specific	Neighbourhood Plans can be	services/projects that deliver
area please state under	found at	against priorities highlighted
Neighbourhood Forums, if it	www.rossendale.gov.uk	in the Corporate Plan or
benefits the whole borough	Please note that	Neighbourhood Plans.
please identify on Corporate	Neighbourhood Plans are	Higher scores will not be a
Priorities . PLEASE NOTE: only	area specific and work	reflection of the number of
fill in either the Neighbourhood	carried out in line with that	boxes ticked, but the depth
Forum section OR the	specific plan should be	of how the project aligns with
Corporate Priorities Section.	delivered in that area.	the plans.
		Lower scores will be
		awarded to organisations
Please explain how your project	Our priorities shape what we	that do not deliver against
links in to the Neighbourhood	do, who we are and our	identified priorities for the
Plan or Corporate priorities.	services. We want to work	area they work in.
	closely with our community	
	to ensure that services	
	commissioned externally	
	support our priorities and	
	deliver against what has	
	been identified through	
	extensive community	
	consultation. This will help us	
	ensure that	
	organisation/projects are	
	delivered in accordance with	
	what the community wants	
	and needs.	

Question 4: Value for Money Priority: High Weighting 3	v and Added Benefits	
Question Please include a total breakdown of costs, with a clear outline of what this grant will fund and how additional costs will be funded. If you are applying for three year funds, please give a clear brake down of how costs will differ each year, considering cost savings, use of resources, set up costs, staffing costs and others.	Guidance The Council recognises the importance of using grants to lever in other possible grants and the added value this brings to an organisation and the Borough.	Scoring System Higher scores will be awarded to those projects that have a clear plan, with full cost breakdown and can clearly demonstrate that: The organisation is using other financial support and assistance; Their service offers value for money; The project is unique to the area. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not offer a unique
Can you demonstrate: That the same services could not be achieved for less?	Please include quotes from other providers and all research you have done. If you are using the grant to fund your own work, please demonstrate it is fair in relation to other similar organisations.	service, do not have a clear outline of spend and proof that it is offered at good value for money. Higher scores will be awarded to organisations that clearly demonstrate
Can you demonstrate: The added value the project will bring with it, for example through volunteer time?	The service maybe unique to Rossendale, it may utilise local volunteers, or it may benefit additional groups or businesses. These can be listed as bullet points.	where additional funds are from. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not disclose all additional funding. Higher scores may be
		awarded to organisations that can clearly demonstrate that the amount of funding they request for each year is reflective of the service and efficiencies delivered.

Question 5: Capacity of Organisation Priority: Medium Weighting 2		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
What are your organisations/the projects strengths and weaknesses in delivering this service?	Please recognise what your strength are, this might be your experience, knowledge of the user group and also what your weaknesses are. These can be outlined as bullet points.	Higher scores will be awarded to organisations that recognise their weaknesses, in addition to their strengths. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not consider both of these.
What is your experience of managing funding that you have successfully bid for previously?	It is important that along with a thorough understanding of the project, reassurance is given that the organisation can monitor and evaluate their spend and impact/difference made, please give examples of how you will do this. Please state examples of other grants received in the past, the amount and where they are from.	Higher scores will be awarded for organisations that can demonstrate clear structures in place to administer and monitor funding. An example of managing other public monies is a positive as is proof of evaluation and accounting taking place. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate what systems they have in place to support the project.

Question 6: Sustainability Priority: Medium Weighting 2		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
What will happen once the	It is important to demonstrate	Higher score awarded for
funding has expired?	future funding options and	projects/organisations that
	that the project does not rely	can identify a clear exit
	on a repetition of council	strategy to take place at the
	funding. It is recognised that	end of the funding, how they
	sustainability does not solely rely on the finance, but also	will receive further funding and how they might tackle
	other aspects, such as use of	potential issues that arise
	resources and training	throughout the project, which
	staff/volunteers.	may require additional
What is the organisations	Please clearly state how you	support, including financial.
strategy for seeking future	will generate/access other	Higher scores may also be
funding or generating self	funds.	awarded for organisations
sustaining incomes?		that can generate some form
		of self sustaining income,
		including resources or
		trained/developed staff/volunteers.
		Lower scores will be
		awarded to organisations
		that cannot clearly
		demonstrate they have
		considered other means of
		raising funds and considered
		the long term sustainability of
		the project.

Question 7: Project Development and Potential Risks Priority: Medium Weighting 2		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
What evidence do you have that suggests there is a need for this work?	Please include any research you may have.	Higher scores are awarded for organisations that can clearly demonstrate there is
Is there a risk if this project is not delivered/funded? Please explain	Please explain what the consequences may be if the project is not delivered, or the organisation does not receive the funding. Please clearly state these as bullet points.	a need for the project and have clear plans in place if the project does fail. Higher scores will also be awarded to organisations that can clearly identify what the risks will be if the project isn't delivered, considering the community, the organisation and the Borough. Lower scores will be awarded to those organisations that cannot support their identified need with some form of proof.

Question 8: Partnerships Priority: Low Weighting 1		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
Does your organisation have any other partners it works with?	Please give an overview of who your partners are and how you work with them to	Higher scores will be awarded to those organisations that work
Please list and explain how you work with them.	improve the delivery of services; these may include the Council, other community organisations, and other statutory services. These can be outlined as bullet points.	closely with other organisations to provide better value for money, a more developed programme and shared workloads. Higher scores will not reflect the number of partnerships, but the depth and use of each one. Medium scores will be awarded to organisations that work with other organisations, but cannot clearly demonstrate/describe the relationship. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not work with other organisations.
Would your organisation be willing to be involved with Council initiatives, consultations or RIGs (Rossendale Issues Group)? Please give an explanation of how you would get involved, or the reason for not getting involved.	In addition to a statutory requirement to consult with the community, the Council also works closely to shape services, deliver activities and tackle issues. We hope to be able to include your organisation in some of these processes.	We would expect all organisations to get involved in council initiatives, consultation exercises or RIGs or provide a clear explanation as to why you cannot.

Question 9: Opportunity for Priority: Low Weighting 1	individuals and groups	
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
How many people will benefit from the project?	Please include all people that will benefit from the project, including the recipients of the service, volunteers for the organisation and any other groups you may work with. Please be as detailed as possible.	Higher scores awarded for organisations that offer volunteering programmes, with suitable training and development. It is recognised that some organisations cannot take on a number of volunteers, which is why this
How have service users and/or volunteers been utilised in developing this service?	Please note that if the project is identified through community members who	has been given a low priority. Organisations/projects that actively seek hard to reach
What opportunities does the organisation/project give to volunteers?	are part of the group, please clearly state this. Please clearly state how service users have helped identify a need for the service and been a part of its development. If you wish for more support for volunteers, or finding suitable training and development opportunities for them, you can register on the Help Direct Volunteer Management Service on 0303 333 1111	groups/ under-represented groups may receive higher scores. Higher scores will be awarded for organisations that work with the target group of the project to shape and develop their service. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate the project has been developed utilising service users and/or volunteers.

Section 5: Check List

Please tick everything you have completed/attached.

Section 6: Signatures

Please note this form can be signed electronically and submitted by email.



Appendix 2

Rossendale Council Grant

GRANT GUIDANCE AND CRITERIA FORM (2011/12) Form

If you require assistance please contact Gemma Rooke on 01706 252514 or email <u>gemmarooke@rossendalebc.gov.uk</u>.

Closing date for applications is 12 noon, 18th April 2011

Please ensure you are completing the correct form in relation to the amount of funds you are requesting:

For grants of less than £1000 for each year please use form A For grants of £1001 - £7000 for each year please use form B For grants of £7001 and above for each year please use form C

To receive a different form to the one you already have please contact Gemma Rooke (see above)

Before submitting your application, please ensure that you have:

- Answered all the questions
- Enclosed all of the required supporting material
- Signed the form

Please send the application form and all supporting documentation to either:

Email: gemmarooke@rossendalebc.gov.uk

Postal: Gemma Rooke, Rossendale Borough Council, Stubbylee Hall, Stubbylee, Bacup, OL13 0DE.



Section 1: Your Organisation

Please complete all sections and attach a signed constitution or your registered charity number, as proof of your group's status.

Section 2: Funding Request

For the year 2011-2012 you can apply for either a three year grant, or a one year grant.

Please note: That if you apply for a one year grant or are unsuccessful this year, there is no guarantee that the grants process will be open in the following years.

Section 3: Financial Information

Please note that without these details we cannot administer your grant.

Section 4: Questions

Please answer all questions in as much detail as possible. Please attach any additional information necessary, clearly stating which question it relates to.

All questions will be scored out of 5, following the criteria below.

5) The question has been answered clearly, with robust evidence that addresses all the issues raised in the question.

4) The question has been answered and evidence given; however, it does not fully address all the issues.

3) Evidence has been provided and answers given, but these are not explained clearly and fully. They only partially answer the issues raised in the question.

2) Minimal evidence has been given and the question has not been answered clearly.

1) No evidence has been given and the question has not been answered clearly.

0) The question has not been answered and no evidence has been supplied.

Each question has a priority weighting; high, medium or low and each weighting has a score from 3 to 1 respectively.

The response to each question will be marked out of five (see above) and multiplied by the high, medium or low weighting. For example:

Question 1: Weighting 3 for high priority multiplied by 5 as the question has been answered clearly, with robust evidence that addresses all the issues: Score 15

Question 1: Weighting 3 for high priority multiplied by 1 as the question has not been answered clearly and no evidence has been supplied. Score 3

Please follow the word counts given for each question, excessive use of words may lead to the answer not being considered and or the score being reduced.

Question 1: Equality and Acc	ess to Services	
Priority: High Weighting 3	Cuidanaa	Searing System
Question Please attach your	Guidance If you do not have an	Scoring System Higher scores will be
organisations Equality	equality statement, RBC can	awarded for those
Statement. Please clearly demonstrate how	provide a framework for the organisation to develop one.	organisations that have an Equality Statement that is adhered to.
your project /service supports or promotes equality of opportunity and/or address specific inequalities?	The Equality Act 2010 sets out clear public sector duty on equality and in particular to drive equality through procurement of its goods and services.	Higher scores will also be awarded to those organisations that actively address one of the key aims and can clearly highlight this through their statement.
	Please clearly outline how you will address one or more of the included aims. As we must comply with the Equalities Act, so must the groups we fund and work with.	Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not recognise the diversity of their project, or cannot justify why the project only delivers to a certain group.
Please consider the below aims: 1) To eliminate unlawful harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act.	Each aim means: 1) You will not break the Equality Act law and you will act/intervene when a breach of the law has occurred. Your organisation can demonstrate how they tackle discrimination, harassment and victimisation.	
 Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. 	 You address how you give different people equal chances and opportunities. You will treat all people from different groups fairly and equally. 	
 Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. 	 Your organisation will work towards helping different people, from different groups, get on with each other. 	

Question 2: Project/Organisation Overview Priority: High Weighting 3		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
Please give an overview of what your project/organisation does. Please explain what you require funding for.	Please clearly outline what your organisation does and what the funding is required for. These can be outlined as bullet points.	Higher scores will be given to organisations that show innovation and have a developed, well structured idea or already existing service. Lower scores will be given to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate how the project will work and what it will actually do.
What will be the outcomes and how will you report/evaluate these to the Council.	Please give no more than five outcomes, where possible each outcome must be SMART (specific, measureable, achievable, realistic and time bound) Please state clearly how you will report these to us, e.g: through evaluations, reports, documentation.	Higher scores will be given to stronger outcomes, which the Council can measure the organisation against and a clear method of reporting is provided. Lower scores will be given to organisations that do not give clear outcomes and cannot clearly demonstrate how these will be measured and evaluated. Lower scores will also be awarded to organisations that cannot demonstrate how they will report these to Rossendale Borough Council.

Question 3: Link to Priorities Priority: High Weighting 3

Priority: High Weighting 3		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
Please complete the tables	Further information about our	Higher scores will be
below.	Corporate Priorities and	awarded for delivering
If the project benefits a specific	Neighbourhood Plans can be	services/projects that deliver
area please state below, under	found at	against priorities highlighted
Neighbourhood Forums, if it	www.rossendale.gov.uk	in the corporate plan or
benefits the whole borough	Please note that	neighbourhood plans.
please identify on Corporate	Neighbourhood Plans are	Higher scores will not be a
Priorities . PLEASE NOTE: only	area specific and work	reflection of the number of
fill in either the Neighbourhood	carried out in line with that	boxes ticked, but the depth
Forum section OR the	specific plan should be	of how the project aligns with
Corporate Priorities Section.	delivered in that area.	the plans.
		Lower scores will be
	Our priorities shape what we	awarded to organisations
Please explain how your project	do, who we are and our	that do not deliver against
links in to the above	services. We want to work	identified priorities for the
Neighbourhood Plan or	closely with our community	area they work in.
Corporate priorities.	to ensure that services	
	commissioned externally	
	support our priorities and	
	deliver against what has	
	been identified through	
	extensive community	
	consultation. This will help us	
	ensure that organisation	
	/projects are delivered in	
	accordance with what the	
	community wants and needs.	

Question 4: Value for Money and Added Benefits Priority: High Weighting 3

Question	Cuidanaa	Sooring System
Please include a total	Guidance The Council recognises the	Scoring System
	3	Higher scores will be
breakdown of costs, with a clear	importance of using grants to	awarded to those projects
outline of what this grant will fund and how additional costs	lever in other possible grants and the added value this	that have a clear plan, with
		full cost breakdown and can
will be funded. Also indicate if	brings to an organisation and	clearly demonstrate that: The
this grant will help to draw in further funds.	the Borough.	organisation is using other
	Places clearly state what	financial support and
If you are applying for three year	Please clearly state what	assistance; The service
If you are applying for three year funds, please give a clear brake	money will be spent on, with a clear breakdown	offers value for money; The project is unique to the area.
down of how costs will differ		project is unique to the area.
each year, considering cost		If applying for a three year
savings, use of resources, set		grant higher scores will also
up costs, staffing costs and		be awarded to those
others.		organisations that can clearly
Can you demonstrate: That the	Please include quotes from	demonstrate they have
same services could not be	other providers and all	considered their yearly cost
achieved for less, including	research you have done. If	and aimed to decrease the
delivery by the council, please	you are using the grant to	reliance on Council grant
explain.	fund your own work, please	funding.
	demonstrate it is fair in	- all and a sign
	relation to other similar	Lower scores will be
	organisations.	awarded to organisations
Can you demonstrate: The	The service maybe unique to	that do not offer a unique
added value the project will	Rossendale, it may utilise	service, have no clear outline
bring with it, for example	local volunteers, or it may	of spend and proof that it is
through volunteer time?	benefit additional groups or	offered at good value for
	businesses. These can be	money.
	listed as bullet points.	
Can you demonstrate: That the	Please clearly demonstrate	Higher scores will be
project provides a unique	why your organisation/project	awarded to organisations
'selling point' for Rossendale	is unique to the area and	that clearly demonstrate
and potentially beyond.	what you deliver that others	where additional funds are
	do not or cannot. Please	from.
	clearly outline which other	
	services you may be similar	
	to.	Lower scores will be
		awarded to organisations
		that do not disclose all
		additional funding.
		Higher points may be
		Higher points may be awarded to organisations
		5
		that can clearly demonstrate that the amount of funding
		they request for each year is
		reflective of the service and
		efficiencies delivered.
<u> </u>		

Question 5: Capacity of Organisation Priority: Medium Weighting 2		
Question What are your organisations/the projects strengths and weaknesses in delivering this service and how will you counter your weaknesses?	Guidance Please recognise what your strengths are, this might be your experience, knowledge of the user group and also your weaknesses. These can be outlined as bullet points. Please add information about how you will tackle each weakness identified.	Scoring System Higher scores will be awarded to organisations that recognise their weaknesses, in addition to their strengths. Higher scores will be awarded where having considered their weaknesses the origination has tried to tackle these. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that do not consider both of these.
What is your experience of managing funding that you have successfully bid for previously?	It is important that along with a thorough understanding of the project, reassurance is given that the organisation can monitor and evaluate their spend and impact/difference made. Please give examples of how you will do this. Please state examples of other grants received in the past, the amount and where they are from.	Higher scores will be awarded for organisations that can demonstrate clear structures in place to administer and monitor funding. An example of managing other public monies is a positive as well as proof of evaluation and accounting taking place. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate what systems they have in place to support the project.

Question 6: Sustainability Priority: Medium Weighting 2		
Priority: Medium Weighting 2 Question What is the projects exit strategy or what is the organisations strategy for seeking future funding or generating self sustaining incomes.	Guidance It is important to demonstrate future funding options and that the project does not rely on a repetition of council funding. It is recognised that sustainability does not solely rely on the finance, but also other aspects, such as use of resources and training staff/volunteers. Please clearly state how you will generate/access other funds.	Scoring System Higher score awarded for projects/organisations that can identify a clear exit strategy to take place at the end of the funding, how they will receive further funding and how they might tackle potential issues that arise throughout the project, which may require additional support, including financial. Higher scores may also be awarded for organisations that can generate some form of self sustaining income, including resources or trained/developed staff/volunteers. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate they have considered other means of raising funds and considered the long term sustainability of
		the project.

Question 7: Project Development and Potential Risks				
Priority: Medium Weighting 2 Question What evidence do you have that	Guidance Please include any research	Scoring System Higher scores are awarded		
suggests there is a need for this work?	you may have.	for organisations that can clearly demonstrate there is		
Is there a risk if this project is not delivered/funded? Please explain	Please explain what the consequences may be if the project is not delivered, or the organisation does not receive the funding. Please clearly state these as bullet points.	a need for the project and have clear plans in place if the project does fail. Higher scores will also be awarded to organisations that can clearly identify what the risks will be if the project isn't delivered, considering the community, the		
What would be the risk if the project fails and how do you intend to mitigate/manage against that risk?	Please clearly state how you will manage the above identified risk and what your organisations responsibility will be.	organisation and the Borough. Lower scores will be awarded to those organisations that cannot support their identified need with some form of proof.		

Question 8: Partnerships		
Priority: Low Weighting 1		
Question	Guidance	Scoring System
Which other partners does the	Please give an overview of	Higher scores will be
project/organisation work with?	what these partnerships	awarded to these
	entail and how you work	organisations that work
Please give brief details of each	with them to improve the	closely with other
partnership link and explain how	delivery of services; these	organisations to provide
each partnership works.	may include the Council,	better value for money, a
	other community	more developed programme
	organisations, and other	and shared workloads.
	statutory services. These	Higher scores will not reflect
	can be outlined as bullet	the number of partnerships,
	points.	but the depth and use of
		each one.
		Medium scores will be
		awarded to organisations that work with other
		organisations, but cannot
		clearly
		demonstrate/describe the
		relationship.
		Lower scores will be
		awarded to organisations
		that do not work with other
		organisations.
Would your organisation be	In addition to a statutory	We would expect all
willing to be involved with	requirement to consult with	organisations to get involved
Council initiatives, consultations	the community, the Council	in council initiatives,
or RIGs (Rossendale Issues	also works closely with the	consultation exercises or
Groups)?	community to shape	RIGs or provide reasons for
Please give an explanation of	services, deliver activities	not being able to.
how you would get involved, or	and tackle issues. We hope	
the reason for not getting	to be able to include your	
involved.	organisation in some of	
	these processes.	

Question 9: Opportunity for individuals and groups Priority: Low Weighting 1				
Question	Guidance	Scoring System		
How many people will benefit from this project?	Please include all people that will benefit from the project, including the recipients of the service, volunteers for the organisation and any other groups you may work with. Please be as detailed as possible.	Higher scores awarded for organisations that offer volunteering programmes, with suitable training and development. It is recognised that some organisations cannot take on a number of volunteers, which is why this		
How have service users and / or volunteers been utilised in developing this service?	Please note that if the project is identified through community members who are part of the group, please	has been given a low priority. Organisations/projects that actively seek hard to reach groups/ under-represented		
What opportunities does the organisation/project give to volunteers and what training and support do you offer these volunteers?	clearly state this. Please state how service users have helped identify a need for the service and been a part of its development. If you wish for more support for volunteers, or finding suitable training and development opportunities for them, you can register on the Help Direct Volunteer Management Service on 0303 333 1111.	groups may receive higher scores. Higher scores will be awarded for organisations that work with the target group of the project to shape and develop their service. Lower scores will be awarded to organisations that cannot clearly demonstrate the project has been developed utilising service users and/or volunteers.		

Section 5: Check List

Please tick everything you have completed/attached.

Section 6: Signatures

Please note this form can be signed electronically and submitted by email.

Other formats available on request. Tel: 01706 217777 or contact: Rossendale Borough Council PO BOX 74, Bacup, OL13 0WU

