

### **Community Impact Assessment Form**

Name of Strategy/Policy:	The process of grant allocation 2011 - 2013		
Officer Name(s):	Liz Sandiford		
Job Title & Location:	Head of People and Policy Stubbylee Hall		
Department/Service Area:	People and Policy		
Telephone & E-mail Contact:	01706 252452		
Date Assessment:	Commenced:	Completed:	
31.5.2011	31.5.2011	18.6.2011	

#### 1. Impact Assessment – Policy and Target Outcomes

a) Summarise the main aims/objectives of the strategy, policy, procedure, project or decision (refer to "**Notes for Guidance**" for details).

The Council has agreed a new process of allocating grants for 2011-2013, this has been subjected to a Community Impact Assessment, to ensure that all measures are undertaken to mitigate any negative impact.

However, due to the volume of grant applications there is a need to further assess any impact on Equality Groups in relation to the process used to prioritise the funding available.

b) Is the policy or decision under review (please tick)

New/proposed

Modified/adapted 🛛

Existing

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	Version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 1 of 9	



- c) Main or intended groups identified as beneficiaries, targets or users of (or affected in any other way) this strategy, policy, project, procedure or decision? Please specify in box below:
  - Customers/citizens of the district
  - Targeted/specific groups of customers/citizens (indicate below in [d]).
  - Elected Members/Councillors
  - Internal colleagues/customers or other public authorities e.g. government agencies
  - Community Groups/voluntary sector groups or campaign/interest groups
  - Staff/employees (in their contractual position) and/or potential employees/trainees.
  - Any other stakeholder e.g. trade unions, contractors, suppliers, district partners, public agencies (not directly under Council control), intermediaries representing interest groups e.g. tenants, developers, legal agencies or third parties.

The grant allocation process allocated grants to the following organisations: Citizens Advice Bacup Family Centre The STAR Centre Bacup Consortium Trust Credit Union St Peters Church Landside The White Horse project Incredible Edible The Magdelene Project Rossendale Basketball Club Horse and Bamboo Theatre Crawshawbooth Community Association The main beneficiaries being customers/citizens of the District and Community Groups

d) Please detail below specific equality groups who will be the main beneficiaries, targets and users of this strategy, policy, project, procedure or decision, or who will be affected in any other way.

Key equality groups as main beneficiaries or affected in any other way (where<br/>appropriate):<br/>Citizens Advice: Men, Women, People who are BAME, Disabled, Older People<br/>Bacup Family Centre: Men, Women, People who are BAME, Disabled, Younger People,<br/>Children<br/>The STAR Centre: Women, Children<br/>Bacup Consortium Trust: Men, Women, People with Disabilities<br/>Credit Union: Men, Women, People with Disabilities, Older People, People who are<br/>BAME<br/>St Peters Church Landside: Men, Women, BAME, Disabled, Older<br/>The White Horse project: Younger People<br/>Incredible Edible: Men WomenPeople and PolicyVersion1

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 2 of 9	

## Rossendalealize

The Magdelene Project: Women Horse and Bamboo Theatre: People with Disabilities, Younger People Rossendale basket Ball Club: Men, Women, Young People

e) To assist with the assessment you may need to consider collecting the following information, before completing the table in Section 2:

Please detail in the box below, the information you have considered to make this decision/recommendation regarding the communities affected by this.

Overview and scrutiny Committee Report of the Value for Money Task and Finish Group
29.1.2010
Consultation which has previously taken place with the CAB, Credit Unions, Help Direct,
Family Centres inrelation to grant funding.
Regular feedback from the STAR Centre.
Information contained within the application forms

 $\boxtimes$ 

f) Is further consultation, data collection or research still required?

Yes	No

(If yes then complete Action Plan)

Key Actions (note responsible officer(s)):

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	Version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 3 of 9	



#### 2. Impact – Evidence

Using the table below please tick whether you have evidence that the policy/strategy/decision has a negative, positive or neutral impact from an equalities perspective on any of the equality groups listed below. Throughout this document please also give consideration to the wider community cohesion impacts within and between the groups identified.

		Posit Impac could benefi	<b>ct</b> – (It	Negative Impact – (It could disadvantage)	Reason	Neutral Impact (Neither)
Gender	Women				The funding applied for is less than requested.	
	Men			$\square$		
Race (Ethnicity or Nationality)	Asian or Asian British people					
	Black or black British people			$\square$		
	Chinese or other ethnic people			$\square$		
	Irish people			$\square$		
	White people			$\square$		
	Chinese people			$\square$		
	Other minority communities not listed above (please state)					
Disability	Physical/learning/mental health					
Sexuality	Lesbians, gay men and bisexuals					$\boxtimes$
Gender Identity	Transgender people					
Age	Older people (60+)			$\square$		
	Younger people (17-25), and children			$\square$		

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	Version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 4 of 9	



		Positive Impact – (It could benefit)	Negative Impact – (It could disadvantage)	Reason	Neutral Impact (Neither)
Belief	Faith groups *				
Other Groups (e.g. carers, rurally isolated, gypsies & roma travellers, people on low incomes)					

#### Notes:

\* Faith groups cover a wide range of groupings, the most common of which are Muslims, Buddhists, Jews, Christians, Sikhs, Hindus. Consider faith categories individually and collectively when considering positive and negative impacts

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	Version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 5 of 9	



#### 3. Impact – Nature/Type

a) Could you further improve the strategy, project, policy, procedure or decision's <u>positive or neutral</u> impact? If "Yes", this should be detailed in the Action Plan.

YES 🗌	NO	$\boxtimes$
-------	----	-------------

b) You need to think about how you can mitigate any adverse or <u>negative</u> impact(s) of the proposal, or how you might use the policy, strategy, project, procedure or decision to promote a <u>positive</u> impact. You must consider whether you have identified that this proposal has a:

**High Impact:** that the policy, project or decision will have a high, negative impact i.e. that it may be or is unlawfully discriminating against some groups, you will have to take immediate action to mitigate this.

OR:

**Lower Impact:** the policy, project or decision will have a negative or adverse impact (that may not be lawful). You will also need to consider what changes you could make to remove this impact.

If you have identified adverse impact you must determine whether you will recommend that the Council should:

- Change the policy/decision, stating what the changes should be
- Revise the policy/decision, stating the revisions
- Consult further if you feel that you do not have enough information.

Actions arising from the impact assessment should form part of the Service Planning Process.

#### Key Actions:

The Council has a limited resource available to allocate to community groups. Consultation will take place with groups to understand the impact of the grant and allocation

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	Version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 6 of 9	

# Rossendalealize

#### 4. Impact Assessment - Summary

#### **Key Findings**

Please list the major outcomes/results/findings of this assessment in relation to equality which require <u>action</u> by the Council detailing these in the Action Plan at the back at this document. If no specific actions have been identified please detail your key findings below:

Key Findings: Detailed in the action plan

#### 5. Impact Assessment – Further Action

Please give the details of the monitoring/evaluation/review process that has/will be set up to check the successful implementation of the policy, project, strategy or decision including improved outcomes/impact and identify the review date.

Evaluation/ monitoring/ review process:

The Communities Team representatives will be meeting with those organisations to ascertain any impact due to the reduced grant allocation The Communities Team will be reviewing any learning from the grant allocation process.

Review Date: 29.9.2011

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	Version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 7 of 9	



### 6. IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACTION PLAN

Please list below any recommendations for action that you plan to take as a result of this impact assessment (refer to Sections 3 & 4).

Issue	Action	required	Lead office	r	Timescale	Resource implications	Comments
Many organisations have not received the level of funding they requested which could impact on an Equality Group	identify funding • Signpo custon other e organis which service are no For ex Welfar Nation Line • Suppo organis identify can wo efficier costs a minimi impact custon	sations to y alternative g streams. ost ners to existing sations offer similar es so they t affected: ample re Rights, al Debt rt the sations to y how they ork more ntly, reduce and se any t on ners, for	Mike Riley / Alison Wilkir Gemma Roo	ns / oke	Officer time	Within existing	It is acknowledged that many groups will face a similar challenge as the Council, to reduce their overhead costs to minimise the impact to customers.
-	Responsible Section/Team People and F			Versio	n	1	
		Head of Peo	ple and Due for review		29.9.2011		
		Policy			Page 9 of 0		
Date last amended18.6.2		10.0.2011	Page 8 of 9				



example shared management posts.		
Offer other benefits which could build the capacity of the organisation and minimise any impact to customers for example accommodation		

Responsible Section/Team	People and Policy	Version	1
Responsible Author	Head of People and Policy	Due for review	29.9.2011
Date last amended	18.6.2011	Page 9 of 9	