## <u>Draft - Rossendale Borough Council Budget 2012/13 Risk Analysis and Report Under s25 of the Local Government Act 2000</u>

- **1.** This analysis is produced in order to:
  - Support the conclusions as to the robustness of the budget and adequacy of reserves set out in the Chief Finance Officers report under 25 of the Local Government Act 2000.
  - b) Inform members of the financial risks facing the Council for consideration as part of their debates around the setting of the budget and approving the Medium Term Financial Strategy.
- 2. Financial risks are clearly of various sorts but can broadly be characterised as follows:
  - The chance of overspending against budget
  - The chance of underspending against budget
  - The chance of an unforeseen event with a major financial impact (for example a flood or similar event)
  - The chance of a significant reduction in previously available financial resources (eg Revenue Support Grant, Council Tax, etc)
- 3. Clearly such risks might have either a positive or negative effect on the Council's overall financial position and it is the purpose of the financial management process to allow the Council to both identify the risks it faces and the steps required to either mitigate them in the case of negative risks or exploit them in the case of positive risks.
- **4.** The degree to which the Council is exposed to such risks is influenced by a number of factors:
  - The robustness of the budget estimates. In preparing the budget a line by line review of spending and income is carried out by finance staff to ensure that budgets reflect the reality of operations and council policies. This process gives some assurance that underlying budget issues are identified and dealt with.
  - The achievability of major variations to spending plans such as growth or savings items. Where major change is undertaken it is always possible that there will be some delays in delivery, for example due to delays in filling posts or restructuring departments. These issues are dealt with in the costing of the business case for change which should tend to underestimate the achievement of savings and overestimate new costs thus presenting a prudent estimate for inclusion in the budget.
  - External factors such as inflation and the downturn in the property market which have an income on costs and income. These issues and how they can be managed are dealt with in the next section of this report.

Turning to the specific risk areas within the Council's budget for 2012/13 and the medium term the following specific areas of risks have been identified:

| Expenditure/Inc ome Heading | Impact | Likelihood | Comments   |
|-----------------------------|--------|------------|--|
| Employee Costs              |        |            |  |
| Pay awards                  | Medium | Low        | The budget assumes 0% for pay awards for 2012/13 (0% 11/12). Any award continues to be in the context of what has been very tight Local Government finance settlement. The Chancellor announced in the Autumn Statement a cap on Public Sector pay of 1% from 13/14 onwards. Given this there is potentially a risk of service disruption due to strike action. A 1% variance in pay equates to a c.£70k (including employers cost of national insurance and pensions) |
| Vacancies                   | Medium | High       | Vacancies normally occur during the year generating savings. However, given the current market conditions assuming low turn-over of staff the budget does not account for any savings being made.  |
| Pension<br>Contributions    | High   | Low        | Employer contribution rates have been supported by the VAT shelter arrangement with Greenvale Homes. The latest 2010 actuarial valuation published January 2011has indicated a requirement to increase rates over the remaining 2 years by 1.5% pa.  |
| Running Costs               |        |            |  |
| Energy and Fuel             | Medium | High       | Prices in the international fuel and energy markets remain high. The past year has seen a steady increase in fuel costs, one which we have forecast to continue over the medium term. Each additional 1p increase is equivalent to £2.5k   |
|                             |        |            | Energy contracts were last tendered during 2010 and saw a reduction in prices of between 28% and 38% (with prices fixed between 2 & 3 yrs). The next tender is scheduled for Oct 2012.   |

| Expenditure/Inc ome Heading          | Impact     | Likelihood  | Comments  |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-------------|---|
| Repairs and maintenance              | Medium     | Medium/High | The MTFS has assumed a reduction in the maintenance budgets as agreed in 2011. The reduced resources will continue to increasing prioritisation against need.   |
|                                      |            |             | High risk/cost areas remain with the many drainage culverts within RBC land ownership. The Capital budget has set aside an affordable emergency works budget of £40k pa. The recent stock condition survey has also highlighted the need for areas of capital investment.   |
| Insurance                            | Medium     | Medium      | The Council's insurance portfolio was tendered during 08/09. This exercise resulted in savings with annual costs now part of a 5 year long term agreement until March 2014 (this includes an option to extend which has been taken).  |
|                                      |            |             | Increasingly we are seeing a number of health claims in relation to past employment as far back as the 50's. Councils are often seen mistakenly as resource rich by the legal system as liability is deemed to be with the local government public sector even though working life could have been, in part, within the private sector. |
| Contract Costs                       |            |             |   |
| ICT                                  | Low/Medium | Low         | The Council has now brought ICT services back in-house. Recent restructuring has been made. Additional savings continue to be identified.   |
| Leisure                              | High       | Medium/High | The provision of Leisure facilities as been one of the dominant topics during last 3 years. The budget and MTFS take account of past Member decisions in relation to Marl Pits  |
| Revenues<br>Benefits and<br>Customer | Low        | Low         | The price of this contract is linked to CPI (Sept 10). As the contract price is fixed the risk of non-inflationary  |

| Expenditure/Inc ome Heading  | Impact    | Likelihood  | Comments   |
|--|-----------|-------------|--|
| Contracts  |           |             | variations is slight. The contract does contain an incentive mechanism which will generate rewards to the contractor. However, this mechanism is capped and reserves to meet roughly three years maximum payments under this mechanism have already been set aside.  |
|  |           |             | Given the current need to save costs generally the contractual relationship also makes it more difficult to agree savings in this area. We are contracted until Oct' 2016.   |
| Housing Benefits   | Very High | Medium/High | Expenditure in this area is c. £25m and is the largest single item of expenditure in the Council's budget. While this expenditure, is in the main, fully funded by grant there is an extremely complex system of rules that determine what is and what is not eligible for grant. Given that a 1% variance on this budget amounts to £250k and with a previous history of variances in this area, significant caution needs to be exercised. With this in mind the Council has established a Budget Volatility Reserve (BVR) to deal with fluctuations in demand led budgets. The BVR is expected to be £200k at 31/03/12 enough to allow for a negative 0.8% variation. |
| Income   |           |             |  |
| Property Related<br>( Planning Fee,<br>Building Control<br>& Land charges)<br>and other income | Medium    | Medium/High | Land Charges, Building Control and Planning have seen reductions in income over recent years. The budget for 12/13 assumes the reduced levels with no sign of uplift in volume or prices. A prudent view has also been taken of other income streams and does not include any upside from price increases.   |
| Market Rents   | Medium    | High        | Reflects the previous decisions by Members on pricing and policy.  |

| Expenditure/Inc ome Heading         | Impact | Likelihood    | Comments   |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|--|
| Waste Collection / Recycling income | Medium | Medium / High | During 2011 LCC have invoked their contractual right to a share of recycling income. The budget reflects the current value of waste recycling income but the market is subject to volatility. The LCC Cost share agreement ends March 2014.  |
| Capital Financing and Interest      | High   | High          | Our capacity to make interest gains has significantly reduced over the last few years and is set to remain flat during 2012/13. Interest receipts have been based on forecast bank base rates plus 0.3%.  Estimates of future interest rates can be seen in the Councils Treasury Management Strategy.   |
| Current<br>Economic<br>Outlook      | High   | High          | The Council has not been immune to the down turn of an economic fall. At the same time central government resources have been significantly reduced as part of the CSR 2010, as all councils enter into a new period of austerity.  As mentioned above and in the main body of the report, the downturn has impacted negatively on: central gov't grants, property related receipts, benefits, recycling income and interest rates to mention a few. We continue to be wary of one fundamental issue: that of Council Tax collection. As of now we have seen no sign of a negative impact on collection rates, but as Council Tax is our biggest source of income we need to continue to keep a careful watch on collection rates and value, over the forth coming months. |

|                                | Worst Case<br>£000 | Best Case<br>£000 | Weighted<br>Average<br>£000 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Pay awards                     | 70                 | 0                 | 35                          |
| Staff Vacancies                | 0                  | -50               | -25                         |
| Pension Contributions          | 0                  | 0                 | 0                           |
| Energy and Fuel                | 0                  | 0                 | 0                           |
| Repairs and Maintenance        | 20                 | 0                 | 10                          |
| Insurance                      | 0                  | 0                 | 0                           |
| ICT Contract                   | 0                  | 0                 | 0                           |
| Leisure Contracts              | 0                  | 0                 | 0                           |
| Revenues, Benefits and         | 20                 | 0                 | 10                          |
| Customer Contract              |                    |                   |                             |
| Housing Benefit Payments       | 250                | -250              | 0                           |
| Concessionary Fares            | 0                  | 0                 | 0                           |
| Planning & other Fees          | 0                  | -30               | -15                         |
| Building Control               | 0                  | 0                 | 0                           |
| Property Rents                 | 40                 | 0                 | 20                          |
| Waste Collection / Recycling   | 100                | 0                 | 50                          |
| Capital Financing and Interest | 35                 | -35               | 0                           |
| General economic Outlook       | 100                | 0                 | 50                          |
| Total                          | 635                | -365              | 135                         |

The implication of this range of possible variations is that on a worst case basis the Council needs to maintain reserves of at least £590k to set against the identified risks.

## **Conclusion and Adequacy of Reserves**

**5.** Having considered the exposure to risk the following shows how this risk relates to the Council's reserves:

| Maximum Financial Risk Exposure<br>Minimum level of General risk                | <b>£000</b> 635 1,000        |
|---|------------------------------|
| Less est' General Reserve @ 31.3.12<br>est' Budget Volatility Reserve @ 31.3.12 | 1,635<br>1,002<br><u>200</u> |
| Notional deficit in available reserves  | <u>433</u>                   |

**6.** However, it is also unlikely that all these risks will materialise at once, and if the worst case possible variation is adjusted for likelihood set out in the risk assessment then the following shows the requirement to maintain reserves

| Weighted Financial Risk Exposure<br>Minimum Level of General Reserve | <b>£000</b> 135 1,000 |
|--|-----------------------|
| Loop   | 1,135                 |
| Less:<br>Est General Reserve at 31.3.11                              | 1,002                 |
| Est Budget Volatility Reserve at 31.3.11                             | <u>200</u>            |
| Notional surplus in reserves   | <u>67</u>             |

- **7.** This notional surplus would indicate that reserves are adequate though they only represent on this basis a one year contingency.
- **8.** It is generally accepted that no budget is without some exposure to risk. However, the position in Rossendale is such that risks have been identified and either provided against or the above considered view taken that the scale of them is manageable. This is reflected in a budget that is both:
  - Prudent, that is maintaining a balance between spending commitments and the resources with which to pay for them, and
  - Sustainable, that is able to maintain that balance consistently over time.
- 9. The degree of risk that remains evident in the budget influences the view which should be taken on the level of reserves which the Council need to maintain, which is the second strand to this statutory advice. The Council's financial strategy suggests that Members continue to plan for general reserves of c.£1.0m. General reserves as at 1st April 2011 were £963k and are expected to be £1,002k as at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2012. The Medium Term Financial Strategy identifies other

pressures on the horizon in the main as a result of the CSR 2010 however within the medium term the Council has other resources, should it be mindful, in which to plan for further anticipated RSG reductions in 2014/15. This therefore means that general reserves should be maintained at the level of c. £1m over the medium term. This level of general reserves, together with other smaller earmarked reserves, will allow a cushion against the sort of risks which have been identified and those unforeseen incidents which may from time to time arise. The monthly financial monitoring report to Members includes a forecast of all reserves over the medium term.

**10.** Therefore in conclusion I am able to give positive assurance to Members as to:

- The adequacy of General and earmarked reserves to address the risks against which they are held and
- The robustness of the budget for 2012/13

PJ Seddon Head of Financial Services February 2012