

FULL COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Name of Policy, Decision, Strategy, Service or Function, Other: (please indicate)	To consider the introduction of a three year hackney carriage and private hire driver's licence		
Lead Officer Name(s):	Tracy Brzozowski		
Job Title & Location:	Licensing and Enforcement Manager		
Department/Service Area:	Legal and Democratic/Licensing		
Telephone & E-mail Contact:	01706 238602 tracybrozozowski@rossendalebc.gov.uk		
Date Assessment:	Commenced:Completed:25th May25th May 20122012		

We carry out Community Impact Assessments to analyse the effects of our decisions, policies or practices. The CIA should be undertaken/started at the beginning of the policy development process – before any decisions are made.

1. OVERVIEW

The main aims/objectives of this policy¹ are: The aim of this policy decision is to determine whether the Council should continue with the current annual renewal system or to introduce a three year renewal system for hackney carriage and private hire drivers. The Rossendale Taxi Association has requested that the licence be issued for a period of three years. The Licensing Committee of the 28th February, 2012, requested CIA reports for one, two and three year licences. The current practice, since a decision by an Extraordinary Meeting of the Council in 2007, is to issue hackney carriage and private hire driver's licences for a period of one year. On renewal, the applicant produces their DVLA driving licence and a statutory declaration to say they have no further criminal convictions. Every three years a CRB enhanced disclosure is also submitted with the application.

(Refer to "CIA Guidance" for details)

Is the policy or decision under review (please tick)

New/proposed

Modified/adapted

Existing x

The main intended people or groups that will be most affected by this policy are:

¹ Policy refers to any policy, strategy, project, procedure, function, decision or delivery of service.
--

Responsible Section/Team	Licensing and Enforcement Unit	Version	30 May 2012
Responsible Author	Tracy Brzozowski	Due for review	
Date last amended		Page 1 of 7	

- 1. The licensed hackney carriage and private hire drivers
- 2. The members of the public travelling in the vehicles.
- 3. Council staff involved in the processing of applications.

(Refer to "CIA Guidance" for details)

2. FINDINGS / EVIDENCE

FINDINGS/EVIDENCE: The following information/data has been considered in developing this policy/decision (including any consultation or engagement):

policy/decision (including any consultation of engagement).						
Consul	ntion/data obtained and/or tation/engagement carried oເ state who with)		What does this tell us? / What does it say?			
fact that check o offences random current	rent annual licence reflects the a period of three years without n criminal convictions or traffic s is too long. In April 2007, a check of 25 DVLA records for hackney carriage drivers showe ad convictions within the previou	a public at risk.	A three year period without any checks puts the safety of the public at risk.			
Departm 2010 su good pro- renewed that 'thre	actice guidelines issued by the nent of Transport in February oggests that 'it is not necessarily actice to require licences to be d annually' and goes on to say ee years is the legal maximum and is in general the best ch'.	burdens on the lic drivers significantl responsibility and the licensing author	or three years would reduce ensing and Enforcement Un y and would place a large m trust on the shoulders of the ority of motoring and crimina continuing to drive.	it (LEU) and easure of drivers to inform		
new driv would h	It of a licence, currently £120 for ver and £85 annually to renew ave to remain the same if the extended for a three year period	ually to renewrespect of hackney carriage and private hire driver's fees. Wee same if thecurrently have 385 drivers licensed with this Authority.				
three ye	A system whereby drivers obtained a three year licence but had to submit their time consuming than the issue of an annual licences. Drivers					
arivers I	icence and a statutory	who failed to subh	nit the necessary documenta	may be		
	Responsible Section/Team	Licensing and Enforcement Unit				
	Responsible Author	Tracy Brzozowski	Due for review			
	Date last amended		Page 2 of 7			
-						

declaration annually has been considered.	suspended but, in practical terms, may avoid contact with the LEU and allege that they were unaware of the suspension and thus physically retain their driver's badge.
The Licensing Committee wished to	Public safety is the reason that the licensing of drivers is
consider licences issued for a period of	regulated. A period of one year without a check is acceptable
one, two or three years. The significant	but two or three years extends the time in which a driver with
difference amongst those time periods is	criminal or motoring convictions may avoid detection to an
the length of time between physical	unacceptable level, potentially putting a unnecessary risk on
checks of the applicant's driving licence.	public safety,
An alternative to be considered is to have a three year licence but instigate an annual check of driving licences from the DVLA. There would be an additional cost to recover the administrative burden of two checks over the three year period which may be around £20 in total.	Potentially, there would be an increased workload in tracing and contacting drivers who reside outside the Borough and who showed convictions. This would increase the risk that drivers outside of the Borough may not make themselves readily available.
Consultation by the Law Commission to	Although the aim is to simplify the law, there are some far
reform taxi and private hire legislation	reaching proposals in the consultation document and a clear
commenced on the 10 th May 2012 and	aim to impose some national standards on what is now
will run until the 10 th August 2012. The	determined locally within regulatory framework.
Commission expects to produce a draft	Given the time frame involved, any decisions to alter the length
Bill by November 2013.	of licences might be soon superseded by legislation.

Add more/delete rows as required - See CIA Guidance

Responsible Section/Team	Licensing and Enforcement Unit	Version	30 May 2012
Responsible Author	Tracy Brzozowski	Due for review	
Date last amended		Page 3 of 7	

3. EQUALITY IMPACT

Using the table below please indicate whether the policy/strategy/decision has a positive, negative or no impact from an Equalities perspective on any of the protected equality groups listed below. Please also give consideration to wider equality of opportunity and community cohesion impacts within and between the groups identified. See CIA Guidance

Equality		Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	Reason and any mitigating actions already in place (to reduce any adverse /negative impacts <u>or</u> reasons why it will be of positive benefit or contribution)	No Impact
Age	Older people				\square
-	Younger people and children				
Disability	Physical/learning/mental health				
Gender Reassignment	Transsexual people				
Pregnancy and Maternity					\square
Race (Ethnicity or	Asian or Asian British people				\square
Nationality)	Black or black British people				\square
	Irish people				\square
	White British				\square
	Chinese people				\square
	Gypsies & Travellers				\square
	Other minority communities not listed above (please state)				
Belief or Religion					\square
Gender	Women				\boxtimes
	Men				\square
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian women , gay men and bisexual people				
Marriage and Civil Partner					\boxtimes
Contribution to equality of					
	good relations between different				
	well together - valuing one another,				
respect and understanding	g)				
Human Rights					\square
	documents_info.php?categoryID=86				
&documentID=251					

Responsible Section/Team	Licensing and Enforcement Unit	Version	30 May 2012
Responsible Author	Tracy Brzozowski	Due for review	
Date last amended		Page 4 of 7	

What course of action does this CIA suggest you take? More than one of the following may apply	Please indicate
Outcome 1: No major change required. The CIA has not identified any potential for discrimination or adverse impact and all opportunities to promote equality have been taken.	\boxtimes
Outcome 2: Adjust the policy to remove barriers identified by the CIA or better promote equality. Are you satisfied that the proposed adjustments will remove the barriers identified? If there is a negative impact identified, you must consider (and evidence/record) what mitigating actions you have or will put in place to reduce the negative impact where/if possible, and to enhance the positive impact. This might include any partnership discussions/working that needs to be undertaken. Complete CIA Action Plan as appropriate.	
Outcome 3: Continue the policy despite potential for negative impact or missed opportunities to promote equality identified. You will need to ensure that the CIA clearly sets out the justifications for continuing with it. You should consider whether there are sufficient plans to reduce the negative impact and/or plans to monitor the actual impact. This might include any partnership discussions/working that needs to be undertaken. Complete CIA Action Plan as appropriate.	
Outcome 4: Stop and rethink the policy when the CIA shows actual or potential unlawful discrimination or significant negative impact that can not be justified or mitigated against. You must speak to Liz Sandiford (2452) or Emma Hussain (2451) immediately.	

If a negative impact as been identified and there are no sufficient mitigating actions in place or planned. Please see the guidance and you must speak to/ see advice from your Head of Service or Head of People and Policy.

Responsible Section/Team	Licensing and Enforcement Unit	Version	30 May 2012
Responsible Author	Tracy Brzozowski	Due for review	
Date last amended		Page 5 of 7	

Date Issued: November 2011

Issued by: Head of People and Policy

5. CIA ACTION PLAN & REVIEW

Based on the impact assessment, findings/evidence and outcomes identified above, please complete the Action Plan below – these should be actions arising as a result of undertaking the CIA.

The Action Plan should address (not exhaustively):-

- Any gaps in findings/evidence research including any consultation or engagement regarding the policy and its actual/potential affects.
- How you will address any gaps.
- What practical changes/action will help reduce any negative impacts that you have identified.
- What practical changes/action will help enhance any positive contributions to equality.

Further Actions Required:	Yes 🖂	No 🗌
----------------------------------	-------	------

CIA Action Plan

Issue	Action required	Lead officer	Timescale
Based on the information provided the Licensing Committee should determine the length of the licence.	Licensing Committee 12 th July 2012	ТВ	

Please add more rows if required.

Actions arising from the Impact assessment should form part of the business planning process for service areas and be reflected within the Council's Corporate Equality Action Plan on Covalent.

Monitoring & Reviewing the Effect of the Policy Please state how you will monitor the impact and effect of this policy and where this will be reported:

This policy decision will be reviewed as new information / feedback comes to the Council's attention and/or when legislation requires. Effects of the final policy decision will be recorded and monitored within the Licensing and Enforcement Unit.

Responsible Section/Team	Licensing and Enforcement Unit	Version	30 May 2012
Responsible Author	Tracy Brzozowski	Due for review	
Date last amended		Page 6 of 7	

INTERNAL ONLY

MANAGEMENT ACTION REQUIRED (to be completed by the Head of P&P following Management Team review)

- Outcome of CIA agreed/approved by Management Team : Yes No
- Referred back to Assessor for amendment : (date)
- Published/made publicly available on: (date)

Date of Review²:

[To be completed by the lead officer]

² This date will be set on an annual basis as default for review unless otherwise specified by you.

Responsible Section/Team	Licensing and Enforcement Unit	Version	30 May 2012
Responsible Author	Tracy Brzozowski	Due for review	
Date last amended		Page 7 of 7	