

INITIAL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Community Right to Challenge (CRTC) Policy & Guidance		
Emma Hussain		
Principal Policy Officer		
People & Policy		
01706 252451 emmahussain@rossendalebc.gov.uk		
Commenced:	Completed: 07.12.12	
	oe undertaken/started at the ore any decisions are made.	
his policy¹ are:		
	Principal Policy Office People & Policy 01706 252451 emmahussain@ross Commenced: 28.09.12 act Assessments to les. The EIA should be	

Date of Review²: September 2016 or as required.

Modified/adapted ☐

Responsible Section/Team	People & Policy	Version	1.02
Responsible Author	Principal Policy Officer	Due for review	September 2016
Date last amended	07.12.12	Page 1 of 3	

Date Issued: November 2011

New/proposed⊠

Existing

¹ Policy refers to any policy, strategy, project, procedure, function, decision or delivery of service.

This date will be set on an annual basis as default for review unless otherwise specified by you.

2. Equality Impact

Equality		Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	Reason and any mitigating actions already in place (to reduce any adverse /negative impacts <u>or</u> reasons why it will be of positive benefit or contribution)	No Impact
Age	Older people			This policy and process will be carried out in line with the Council's Equality Policy. No impact on any protected equality groups identified at this stage. Each individual Community Right to Challenge case would need a separate EIA as appropriate.	
	Younger people and children			As above.	\boxtimes
Disability	Physical/learning/mental health			As above.	\boxtimes
Gender Reassignment	Transsexual people			As above.	
Pregnancy and Maternity				As above.	
Race (Ethnicity or	Asian or Asian British people			As above.	\boxtimes
Nationality)	Black or black British people			As above.	\boxtimes
	Irish people			As above.	\square
	White British			As above.	\boxtimes
	Chinese people			As above.	
	Gypsies & Travellers			As above.	\boxtimes
	Other minority communities not listed above (please state)			As above.	
Belief or Religion				As above.	\boxtimes
Gender	Women			As above.	
	Men			As above.	
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian women, gay men and bisexual people			As above.	
	tnership (employment only)			As above.	\boxtimes
Contribution to equali				As above.	\boxtimes
	ing good relations between different g on well together – valuing one another, nding)			As above.	

Responsible Section/Team	People & Policy	Version	1.02
Responsible Author	Principal Policy Officer	Due for review	September 2016
Date last amended	07.12.12	Page 2 of 3	

Equality	Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	Reason and any mitigating actions already in place (to reduce any adverse /negative impacts or reasons why it will be of positive benefit or contribution)	No Impact
Human Rights http://intranet/site/scripts/documents_info.php?categoryID=86&documentID=251			No impact on any protected equality groups identified at this stage. Each individual Community Right to Challenge case would need a separate EIA as appropriate. Any Council decisions will be undertaken in line with the Human Rights Act 1998.	

Responsible Section/Team	People & Policy	Version	1.02
Responsible Author	Principal Policy Officer	Due for review	September 2016
Date last amended	07.12.12	Page 3 of 3	