



Email:	ruth.le	ruth.lowry@lancashire.gov.uk					
	Head	of Internal	Audit				
Contact Officer		Ruth Lowry		Telephone:	01772 534898		
Biodiversity Impact Assessment Require			Required:	No	Attache	ed:	No
Equality Impact Assessment:		Required:	No	Attached:		No	
Key Decision:		Forward F	Plan 🗌	General Exception	Special Urgency		
Report of:	Head of	Internal Au	udit	Portfolio Holder:	Resources and Performance		
Report to:	Committ	d Accounts ee	5	Date:	28 June 2016		
Paragit to:	2016	ear ended		Data	00.1		
Subject:	: Internal audit annual report			Status:	For Publication		

1.	RECOMMENDATION(S)
1.1	The committee are asked to consider the internal audit annual report for the year ended 31 March 2016.

2. PURPOSE OF REPORT

2.1 The Audit and Accounts Committee's terms of reference require it to advise the Council on the planned activity and results of internal audit. The Committee will, on a periodic basis, consider the head of internal audits annual opinion and report.

3. CORPORATE PRIORITIES

- 3.1 The matters discussed in this report impact directly on the following corporate priorities:
 - Regenerating Rossendale: This priority focuses on regeneration in its broadest sense, so it means supporting communities that get on well together, attracting sustainable investment, promoting Rossendale, as well as working as an enabler to promote the physical regeneration of Rossendale.
 - Responsive Value for Money Services: This priority is about the Council working collaboratively, being a provider, procurer and a commissioner of services that are efficient and that meet the needs of local people.
 - Clean Green Rossendale: This priority focuses on clean streets and town centres and well managed open spaces, whilst recognising that the Council has to work with communities and as a partner to deliver this ambition.

4. RISK ASSESSMENT IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 All the issues raised and the recommendation(s) in this report involve risk considerations as set out below:
 - Failure to comply with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards issued by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) would undermine the ability to provide the Council with the necessary level of assurance in relation to their governance, risk management and internal control arrangements;
 - The report enables the Leader and Chief Executive to report in the Annual Governance Statement.

5. BACKGROUND AND OPTIONS

5.1 The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) is the relevant standard

Version Number:	1	Page:	1 of 2
		. 4.90.	. 0. =

setter for internal audit in local government in the United Kingdom. CIPFA has published Public Sector Internal Audit Standards ('PSIAS'), which encompass the mandatory elements of the Global Institute of Internal Auditors' International Professional Practices Framework, including its Definition of Internal Auditing, Code of Ethics, and its International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. These documents are therefore mandatory for internal audit in local government in the United Kingdom, and they are supplemented within PSIAS by additional public sector interpretation and guidance. CIPFA has also published a Local Government Advisory Note setting out sector-specific requirements for local government within the United Kingdom.

- 5.2 The Internal Audit Service therefore operates in accordance with this mandatory definition, code, standards and advice.
- 5.3 The Annual Report of the head of internal audit meets these requirements and is attached in Appendix A.

COMMENTS FROM STATUTORY OFFICERS:

- 6. SECTION 151 OFFICER
- 6.1 Any financial implications arising are noted in the report.
- 7. MONITORING OFFICER
- 7.1 Any legal implications are commented upon in the report.

8. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND CONSULTATION CARRIED OUT

8.1 Any policy implications are commented upon in the report.

9. CONCLUSION

- 9.1 The Annual Report provides an independent and objective opinion on the adequacy of the Council's systems of governance, risk management and internal control.
- 9.2 On the basis of our programme of work for the year, I can provide limited assurance overall over the council's governance, risk management and internal control arrangements for 2015/16.
- 9.3 Whilst there are generally sound systems of internal control over the council's key financial systems, our work on the Empty Homes Programme identified that the council's corporate governance and risk management framework, as well as a number of its internal control procedures were overlooked or overridden, with serious consequences for the organisation.
- 9.4 Management's responses to the issues raised by the failure of the Empty Homes Programme have been positive and, although the Improvement Plan is still being drafted, we understand that some of the actions set out in it have already been taken.

No background papers

Version Number:	1	Page:	2 of 2