

## **INITIAL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

Name of Policy, Decision, Strategy, Service or Function, Other: (please indicate)	The Prevention of Homelessness Strategy and Review 2018-21		
Lead Officer Name(s) & Job Title(s) :	Mick Coogan – Strategic Housing Manager		
Department/Service Area:	Strategic Housing		
Telephone & E-mail Contact:	michaelcoogan@rossendalebc.gov.uk		
Date Assessment:	Commenced: Completed: 24 <sup>th</sup> September 2018		

We carry out Equality Impact Assessments (EIAs) to analyse the effects of our decisions, policies or practices. The EIA should be undertaken/started at the beginning of the policy development process – before any decisions are made.

## 1. Overview

## The main aims/objectives of this policy are:

The Homelessness Act 2002 places a statutory duty on each local housing authority to carry out a review of homelessness, and using the review, develop a strategy to prevent homelessness. Both strategy and review must be formulated at least every 5 years and then published. The draft Prevention of Homelessness Strategy and Review acts as both documents that are legally required. Following the implementation of the Homelessness Reduction act 2017 on 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2018, it is also good practice to publish a new strategy at this time, having regard to additional powers and duties due to the new legislation, and new ways of working needed to deliver a legally compliant Housing Options service.

The new strategy identifies how the Council endeavours to increase the prevention of homelessness in Rossendale and fulfil its statutory duties under part VII of the Housing Act 1996 and amended by the Homelessness Act 2002, the Localism Act 2011 and the Homelessness Reduction Act 2017.

INTERNAL ONLY MANAGEMENT ACTION REQUIRED (to be completed by the relevant Head of Service following review by Management Team / Programme Board)				
New/proposed⊠	Modified/adapted	Existing		
Is the policy or decision	under review (please tick)			
(Refer to EIA Guidance for	(Refer to EIA Guidance for details)			

<sup>1</sup> Policy refers to any policy, strategy, project, procedure, function, decision or delivery of service.

Responsible Section/Team	Version	
Responsible Author	Due for review	
Date last amended	Page 1 of 4	

•	Outcome of EIA agreed/a Yes ⊠ No □	approved by Ma	anagement Team / Pro	gramme Board:
•	Is a full EIA required	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
•	Referred back to Assesso	or for amendme	ent:	(date)
•	Published/made publicly	available on: R	ossendale BC Website	e (date) 05/10/2018
Sig	ned: Sam Plum	(Head	of Service / Director)	Date: 01/10/2018
	te of Review <sup>2</sup> : 1 <sup>st</sup> Septemb 3 years' time.	oer 2021 – to c	oincide with the new st	rategy is planned

<sup>2</sup> This date will be set on an annual basis as default for review unless otherwise specified by you.

Responsible Section/Team	Version	
Responsible Author	Due for review	
Date last amended	Page 2 of 4	

## 2. Equality Impact

Using the table below please indicate whether the policy/strategy/decision has a positive, negative or no impact from an equalities perspective on any of the protected equality groups listed below. Please also give consideration to wider equality of opportunity and community cohesion impacts within and between the groups identified. If you have identified any negative impact and mitigating actions are not sufficient, you will need to complete a Full Equality Impact Assessment.

Equality		Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	<b>Reason</b> and any mitigating actions already in place (to reduce any adverse /negative impacts or reasons why it will be of positive benefit or contribution)	No Impact
Age	Older people			The strategy identifies the need for more housing suitable for older people due to the rise in older people in the district being the second highest in Lancashire, and has an attached key action.	
	Younger people and children			Work with the 'Trailblazer' to assist young people aged 14-25 is actioned.	
Disability	Physical/learning/mental health			There is an action to help provide housing for such cohorts where there is and identified need.	
Gender Reassignment	Transsexual people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to this cohort.	
Pregnancy and Maternity				No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to this cohort.	
Race (Ethnicity or Nationality)	Asian or Asian British people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to population as a whole.	
	Black or black British people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	
	Irish people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	
	White British			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	
	Chinese people			No reason to believe any additional	

Responsible Section/Team	Version	
Responsible Author	Due for review	
Date last amended	Page 3 of 4	

Equality		Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	<b>Reason</b> and any mitigating actions already in place (to reduce any adverse /negative impacts or reasons why it will be of positive benefit or contribution)	No Impact
				positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	
	Gypsies & Travellers			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	
	Other minority communities not listed above (please state)			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	
Belief or Religion				No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any belief or religion compared to the population as a whole.	
Sex	Women			The new domestic abuse services are statistically more likely to have a more positive impact on this cohort.	
	Men			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to this cohort.	
Sexual Orientation	Gay men, gay women / lesbians and bisexual people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to this cohort.	
Marriage and Civil Part Contribution to equalit	nership (employment only) y of opportunity			N/A Positive impact on assisting everyone by preventing or reliving homelessness.	
	ng good relations between different on well together – valuing one another, ding)			No impact expected.	
Human Rights http://intranet/site/scrip documentID=251	ots/documents_info.php?categoryID=86&			The policy has a positive impact in relation to the following article of the European Convention on Human Rights:  Article 8 – Privacy – which covers the right to family life which the strategy promotes by enabling families to stay together in appropriate accommodation.	

Responsible Section/Team	Version	
Responsible Author	Due for review	
Date last amended	Page 4 of 4	