

## INITIAL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

INITIAL EQUA	INITIAL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT					
Name of Policy, Decision, Strategy, Service or Function, Other: (please indicate)	Temporary Accommodation Policy					
Lead Officer Name(s) & Job Title(s) :	Mick Coogan – Strategic Housing Manager					
Department/Service Area:	Strategic Housing					
Telephone & E-mail Contact:	michaelcoogan@rosso	endalebc.gov.uk				
Date Assessment:	Commenced: 14 <sup>th</sup> February 2019	Completed: 18 <sup>th</sup> February 2019				
decisions, policies or practice beginning of the policy develop  1. Overview	oment process – before					
The main aims/objectives of thi	<u> </u>					
The Temporary Accommodation I 2015 judgment by the Supreme C authorities fulfil their duty to home accommodation, it was concluded	Court. The case conside eless households requiring	red the way local housing ng temporary				
•Have and maintain a policy for to accommodation are procured to naccommodation.		nits of temporary				
Have and maintain a policy for all which includes the consideration.		icipated demand for such				

Have the policies adopted by democratically elected members of the Council.
Have the policies publically available, ideally.

The policy demonstrates how the Council meets it duty to homeless households under s.188 and s.193 of the Housing Act 1996 by providing temporary accommodation

accommodation	
(Refer to EIA Guidance for details)	
Is the policy or decision under review (please tick)	

Modified/adapted

Responsible Section/Team	Version	
Responsible Author	Due for review	
Date last amended	Page 1 of 5	

New/proposed⊠

Existing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Policy refers to any policy, strategy, project, procedure, function, decision or delivery of service.

## INTERNAL ONLY MANAGEMENT ACTION REQUIRED (to be completed by the relevant Head of Service following review by Management Team / Programme Board)

•	Outcome of EIA agreed/a Yes ⊠ No □	approved by M	anagement Team / Pro	ogramme Board:
•	Is a full EIA required	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
•	Referred back to Assess	or for amendm	ent:	(date)
•	Published/made publicly	available on: F	Rossendale BC Websit	e (date) 28.02.19
Sig	ned: Sam Plum	(Head	of Service / Director)	Date: 27.02.19
Da	te of Review <sup>2</sup> : July 2020 -	- to coincide w	ith the review of the po	licy.

<sup>2</sup> This date will be set on an annual basis as default for review unless otherwise specified by you.

Responsible Section/Team	Version	
Responsible Author	Due for review	
Date last amended	Page 2 of 5	

Date Issued: August 2013

## 2. Equality Impact

Using the table below please indicate whether the policy/strategy/decision has a positive, negative or no impact from an equalities perspective on any of the protected equality groups listed below. Please also give consideration to wider equality of opportunity and community cohesion impacts within and between the groups identified. If you have identified any negative impact and mitigating actions are not sufficient, you will need to complete a Full Equality Impact Assessment.

Equality		Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	<b>Reason</b> and any mitigating actions already in place (to reduce any adverse /negative impacts or reasons why it will be of positive benefit or contribution)	No Impact
Age	Older people			Whilst older people could be priority need due to vulnerabilities caused by their age, and therefore the Council would be more likely to have a duty to assist with temporary accommodation, this is not changed by the policy.	
	Younger people and children			When considering location, where there is a choice, preference may be given to certain households with children and young people for an in borough placement.	
Disability	Physical/learning/mental health			People with disabilities or conditions may be prioritised for temporary accommodation placements closer specialist education or support,	
Gender Reassignment	Transsexual people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to this cohort.	$\boxtimes$
Pregnancy and Maternity				No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to this cohort.	
Race (Ethnicity or Nationality)	Asian or Asian British people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to population as a whole.	
	Black or black British people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	
	Irish people			No reason to believe any additional positive or negative impact to people of any ethnicity or nationality compared to the population as a whole.	

Responsible Section/Team	Version	
Responsible Author	Due for review	
Date last amended	Page 3 of 5	

Equality			Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	place (to reduc	any mitigating actions already in ce any adverse /negative sons why it will be of positive ribution)	No Impact
	White British				positive or ne	believe any additional egative impact to people of or nationality compared to n as a whole.	
	Chinese peo	ple			No reason to positive or ne	believe any additional egative impact to people of or nationality compared to	
	Gypsies & T	ravellers			positive or ne	believe any additional egative impact to people of or nationality compared to n as a whole.	
	Other minori above (pleas	ty communities not listed ee state)			positive or ne	believe any additional egative impact to people of or nationality compared to n as a whole.	
Belief or Rel	igion				positive or ne	believe any additional egative impact to people of religion compared to the sawhole.	
Sex	Women					believe any additional egative impact to this cohort.	
	Men					believe any additional egative impact to this cohort.	$\boxtimes$
Sexual Orier	ntation Gay men, gabisexual peo	y women / lesbians and ple			No reason to	believe any additional egative impact to this cohort.	$\boxtimes$
Marriage and	d Civil Partnership (emplo	oyment only)			No reason to	believe any additional egative impact to this cohort.	$\boxtimes$
Contribution	n to equality of opportun	ity				nct by assisting everyone into mmodation that meets their	
groups (peo	nto fostering good relati ple getting on well toget understanding)	ons between different her – valuing one another	,		No impact ex	pected.	
Human Righ	ts	s_info.php?categoryID=86	<b>∑</b>			s a positive impact in relation ng article of the European	
	oonsible Section/Team		Version			<u> </u>	II.
	oonsible Author		Due for review				
Date	last amended		Page 4 of 5				

Equality	Positive Impact (It could benefit)	Negative Impact (It could disadvantage)	<b>Reason</b> and any mitigating actions already in place (to reduce any adverse /negative impacts or reasons why it will be of positive benefit or contribution)	No Impact
documentID=251			Convention on Human Rights:  Article 8 – Privacy – which covers the right to family life which the policy promotes by enabling families to stay together in appropriate accommodation.	

Responsible Section/Team	Version
Responsible Author	Due for review
Date last amended	Page 5 of 5