Karen Hawden



Photographs of parking in Loveclough which is already a hazard. Mothers with prams, wheelchair users and children do not always have the room to walk safely on a pavement. In winter the problem is exacerbated by snow and ice. As many current houses are built on hills, vehicles cannot get to their homes and again park on the roadside. Cars have been damaged and again there is the safety aspect of cars parked haphazardly on a road that is very busy and where many cars travel at too high a speed.



We wish to strongly object to the above for the following reasons:

- Development is on prime green belt

- The A682 Burnley Road, particularly towards Rawtenstall, is already heavily congested at peak periods and the proposed developments will make the situation significantly worse.

There is insufficient capacity at existing schools, dentist and doctor practices etc to cope with the increased population. The impact of the on-going development at Broadley MIII has yet to be realised.
Prime consideration should be given to the development of brownfield sites, of which there are plenty, before building on precious open green space.

- It would seem that full consultation by RBC has not taken place.

Mr and Mrs Harden

• From: Date: 22 August 2019 at 20:17:54 BST To: Subject: Loveclough development

Would like to express my concerns about large development of housing in the village .It can at present take between 15&45 minutes to travel to Rawtenstall during school time it takes days to get doctors appointment schools are full ,parks are neglected grassed areas not cut and your council want to build over 200 more houses how can this be right , I have been a resident of Loveclough for over 70 years and never seen it as crowded as at present with parked vehicles through out the area and you want to add another 200=300 more plus many more family's with no improvement to inforstruction or school places or doctors surgeries Dave Terry

In respect of the LDP for Rossendale, please accept our response for collation for presentation to the Inspectors.

We live on Meadows Drive.

We have concerns about **all** of the development that has been proposed and the the overall impact along Burnley Road A682 and the surrounding greenbelt.

Our concerns are as follows:

- Access to H5 (Swinshaw Hall) using Goodshaw Avenue North and Greenfold Drive towards Goodshaw Lane would create a 'rat run'. See MIQ p14, H5i
- Goodshaw Lane is single lane and would not be suitable access to H5.
- We have noticed that traffic on Burnley Road A682 has increased particularly at peak times. This will increase further as a result of the significant number of new homes proposed in the LDP. How will this be managed? Will traffic management through Rawtenstall be altered to take this into account?
- How will increased pressures on the doctors, schools and dentists be managed? These services may not have the resources or capacity to meet this extra demand in time for the developments becoming inhabited, or going forward.
- How many of the proposed homes are affordable homes? The valley needs lower cost homes to suit young families, families on low incomes or single people.
- How will the disruption be minimised for residents and to traffic?
- There are public right of way /footpaths in our local area and the whole valley. These must remain open.

Deborah Brown and Andrew Morris.

----- Forwarded Message ------

In response to the Local Development Plans for Rossendale and in particular for Loveclough and Goodshaw

below are our main concerns:

- 1) The number of properties outlined are not reasonable or manageable for the area.
- 2) The traffic on Burnley Road A682 through to Rawtenstall would be even more congested

than it is now!

- 3) Parking for school drop off and pickup would be nigh impossible.
- 4) For years not much mention is made of increased infrastructure to cope with the additional adults /children to be catered for -Schools, Doctors Dentists andCouncil Services.

They cannot cope now!

5) More specifically we find it hard to believe that 124 decent sized houses with gardens could be built behind and beside Swinshaw Hall. Any entrance/exit on to Goodshaw Lane would be very dangerous! It is a very narrow lane which is never cleared of weeds/nettles and certainly never cleared of snow in Winter!

This major planned development for the area needs to be reduced to a manageable size!

Pat and Mike Booth

17 Aug at 15:17 Good afternoon,

Further to the documentation posted to us and receipt of The Limey Leader, we should like to raise the following concerns :

1. Certain proposed housing sites have only been publicised at a very late date - deliberate tactic by RBC to prevent objections from residents ? Has RBC complied with its requirement to notify and consult local residents ?

2. Additional traffic on Burnley Road A682 in between Loveclough and Rawtenstall will be excessive and unreasonable. The route will be inefficient and operate even more slowly than it already does.

3. Primary and secondary schools in this area are full, some with waiting lists.

4. Doctors and dentists are also full.

5. The sites proposed in Goodshaw and Loveclough are removing green areas, local traffic will be massively affected in a detrimental way, how will flood concerns be addressed and how can acceptable living conditions for local residents be maintained during and after any development ?

I should be grateful if you would add these concerns to any others for forwarding to the relevant people.

Thank you. Regards,

18 Aug at 22:08

DEAR SIR/MADAM

My name is Mr p taylor. I live on Hameldon road ,backing on to the Swinshaw hall proposed development .I would like to vocie my concerns about the proposals .These being not only the increase in traffic ,and the lack of scools and doctors .As regards the traffic it can take me seven minuits to cross the road because of the increase in traffic ,but this impacts in Rawtenstall were it is almost grid locked now at various times of day.I have also been told that some of the land is an old quaker burial ground i dont know how true this is .But might b worth looking in to.Also the infrastructure bothers me .As in the the drainage in the fields which is really bad and would the building work alter the way the water drains ,as in would more end up in peoples gardens .And the fields at the back contain services feeding the bungalows and barn conversion on the lane at the back.these will also alter our views and our privacy.Thank you for your work and hope things can be altered for the better.

16 Aug at 13:50

From Richard and Helen Catlow at

We share your concern regarding the number of new homes and the effect this would have on Burnley Road.

This would be particularly acute at morning rush hour when there is already a considerable tailback. The nature of our valley with only two outlets; towards Burnley or Rawtenstall, would make life much more difficult for workers unless major road improvements were carried out in Rawtenstall and it is difficult to envisage what these could be.

Extra pressure on school places, particularly from the new houses at Loveclough, would exacerbate a situation where Crawshawbooth residents are already finding that their children cannot get into Crawshawbooth School. This is surely unacceptable.

On visual grounds the Swinshaw development would gravely affect a very scenic area around one of Rossendale's few historic homes.

The Turton Hollow development is on land already affected by land slips and could well end up mirroring what has happened at Ewood Bridge where the development was abandoned.

Sent from my iPad

14 Aug at 09:55

For the attention of the LVRA Chair:

Our family live at a property on Burnley Road and we have grave concerns of the proposed developments in our area.

1. Our GP already has in excess of 10,000 patients and it is virtually impossible to get a consultation to get a routine appointment within a week / fortnight of requesting it. How will extra families impact the already struggling local health services?

2. The impact on the local roads by the increased traffic, any additional traffic around the Hall would have a devastating effect on the local environment.

3. Increased pressure for local schools to increase numbers - what plans does the LCC Education Department have in place to accommodate the increase in families that will be living in the area.

So concerning to see the proposed plan and though I appreciate extra housing is needed, surely planning can see that our area can not possibly take this large amount of extra housing without having a diverse effect on families already living in this area.

Thank you for keeping us so well informed through the Limey Reader

Kind regards Katrina Meager

Sent from my iPhone

28 Aug at 09:07

I wish to make aware my objection to the proposed building of yet another 260+ houses in the loveclough and Goodshaw areas. More housing will no doubt cause more and add to the already hideous traffic problems, already ridiculous shortage of pupil spaces at crawshawbooth primary school(even for residents already living here!), dentist and doctor appointment spaces which we can't get already.

Not only that but taking away more of our green space. Regards, Craig Bell. Sent from my iPhone Eric Walton

Dear Sir/madam

Re your plan for extra housing in Loveclough, the developers will only be interested in profits building four bedroom detached houses for city people who want to live in the country and when they get here they don't like it so try to urbanise the area, another point is nearly all the big detached houses don't want gardens so the turn them into car parks and cover the rest in decking

The need is for affordable housing so the villages can stay where their families and friends are, if you are serious about affordable housing to save space and cheaper houses build terraces, they will blend into the landscape, you haven't noted where you will build the new school, Doctors and Dental surgery's and room to expand or replace the sewerage works, all essential, no vital to expansion. Another problem could be the sewer from Clowbridge to, I think Commercial Street is only six inch diameter, put in around 1960 ish to remove the sewage tanks under the back yards of the houses at Clowbridge.

Another point is how many houses will be demolished on Burnley Road to make it a dual - carriageway, there are enough problems now so with all the extra vehicles planned it will be gridlocked and a lot of people late or missed work. While trying to get to work all the vehicles will not be helping the air pollution in the valley plus the entire extra sulphur dioxide from the central heating

A nice thought would be a communal room for youth clubs etc. for the children as there is nothing interesting for them to do after school, evenings and weekends.

Mrs Karen Hawden

Tony Blackburn &

To whom it may concern,

I would like to express my views and opinions on the current plan for Loveclough in regards to new building.

- We are in a valley, over a period of 50 years I have seen building of new houses which have already impacted on our community. Houses have been flooded due to the nature of the natural springs that have been built on. Our wildlife and farm land has been affected, meaning farming therefore has been affected with valuable land been taken from farmers.
- 2) The A682 currently has cars parked on both sides of this road, this causes hazards to other road users and to pedestrians, particularly, children, older people and people who use wheelchairs and mobility scooters. In winter as current housing is built on hills, (as would the new housing) cars are unable to get to homes and park haphazardly on the A682. There are accidents happening more than could be expected. Getting to and from work is already a nightmare as there is only one road out towards Rawtenstall to the South and Burnley to the North.
- 3) There is no capacity in the plan to provide new residents with Doctors, Dentists or any other services, of which there is already a shortage. School places which are said to be provided by builders will be nowhere near the amount of places that would be needed if the number of houses stated, go ahead.
- 4) Loveclough and Crawshawbooth has already contributed a significant amount of housing over the 50 years. There are no facilities for children and young people, play spaces have disappeared due to housing and now the little space we have left is under threat. Our children and young people are being let down by a Government agenda. Individuals from Government have no realisation, indeed, are not interested in the impact their policies have on small communities like Loveclough and Crawshawbooth. There are residents who live on

the west side of the A682 who have settled in this area because of the rural aspects. They now have the prospect of having this taken away from them. These people do not even have a refuge service, is there a discount on Council Tax for this? NO.

5) Our Community has chosen to live in a rural area, we are entitled to have a say about the place we live, socialise, use the open countryside for our emotional and mental health and bring up our children where they can play in relative safety. Our Community has given its land to building, we have done our bit. I acknowledge that some building will go ahead but this should be on a much smaller scale, where it would not impact negatively on our Community, play spaces, our trees and farmland. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH.

Regards,

Karen Hawden

Born and lived in Loveclough for 58 years.