Rossendale

2020 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)

In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

October 2020

| Local Authority Officer | Lorna Robinson |
|----------------------------|--|
| Department | Public Protection Unit |
| Address | Rossendale Borough Council Futures Park Bacup Lancashire OL130BB |
| Telephone | 01706 252564 |
| E-mail | lornarobinson@rossendalebc.gov.uk |
| Report Reference number | ASR2020 |
| Date | October 2020 |

Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area

Air Quality in Rossendale Borough Council

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas^{1,2}.

The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around ± 16 billion³.

The main issue for air pollution in Rossendale is with nitrogen dioxide which comes from road vehicle emissions. Nitrogen dioxide levels are measured across the borough at 20 non-automatic (passive) diffusion tube locations. There are two air quality management areas in the valley which were declared in 2013.

The government's current limit for the annual mean is 40 μ g/m³. During 2019 the air quality objective was breached at only one location which was outside the air quality management areas. This is tube 20 and the annual average was 46.6 μ g/m³ which has shown a slight decrease from 2018 when it was 47.9 μ g/m³. In 2019 three diffusion tubes were relocated into this area but all three tubes showed levels within the current limit. Tube 12 was 34.9 μ g/m³, tube 18 was 27.9 μ g/m³ and tube 19 was 21.9 μ g/m³. These results show that the air quality exceedance at tube 20 is more localised so because of this for 2020 monitoring purposes two of those tubes have been relocated closer to tube 20. The Council will be looking to employ consultants and declaring an air quality management area hopefully in 2021 if the level remains above 40 μ g/m³.

The air quality in the two air quality management areas was again below the annual mean which is positive news and which will hopefully continue and the Council will be looking to revoke these AQMAs.

¹ Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

² Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

³ Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality, May 2013

Actions to Improve Air Quality

A wide variety of things have happened in Rossendale during 2019 to improve and incorporate air quality which are summarised here.

In January 2019 Transport for the North produced its Strategic Transport Plan

<u>https://transportforthenorth.com/70-b-blueprint-transform-north-economy/</u> <u>https://transportforthenorth.com/onenorth/</u>

In February 2019 the historic town hall building on Bacup Road was re-opened following extensive demolition and restoration of parts. This opens up the previous canyon that trapped air pollution along Bacup Road.

http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/historic-town-hall-officially-re-opened-after-renovation-works/

In February 2019 the Council was successful in its joint bid to the Department for Transport for four new taxi charging points in Rossendale

http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/green-progress-for-taxi-trips-in-rossendale/

In March 2019 the long awaited work on the new bus station started http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/ceremony-marks-progress-on-bus-station/



Photograph of the new bus station under construction in March 2019

In early 2019 the emerging Local Plan for the borough 2019-20134 was submitted to the Secretary of State for examination <u>https://www.rossendale.gov.uk/localplan</u>

The link to the Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment of the Rossendale Borough Council local plan is here https://www.rossendale.gov.uk/downloads/file/14783/sustainability appraisal 2018

In March 2019 a planning group was set up to try and move forward with a rail link to Rossendale

http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/rail-link-on-track-as-planning-group-set-up/

In April 2019 the council had a meeting with Highways England who discussed their new document titled 'Strategy to Improve Air Quality ' which is available here

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file /634933/N160081_Air_Quality_Strategy_Final_V18.pdf

The Council supported National Clean Air day on 20th June 2019 and promoted it on social media channels.

The Council received a call from Highways England in July 2019 asking us to include two extra diffusion tubes at specific locations around the A56 in Haslingden. We agreed but their request was later withdrawn.

Also in July 2019 the old bus station which was located in the centre of the Rawtenstall Air Quality Management Area was demolished which should lead to an improvement in the air quality along Bacup Road

http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/part-demolition-of-old-rawtenstall-bus-station-to-take-placethis-weekend/

In September 2019 the Council declared a Climate Emergency with the aim to become carbon neutral by 2030

http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/4422-2/

Also in September 2019 the latest section of the East Lancashire Cycleway Valley of Stone old railway tunnels at Stacksteads opened up to provide a safe off-road link for walkers and cyclists

http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/council-leader-delight-at-opening-of-newcycleway/

In November 2019 the Council hosted a discovery workshop developing and electric vehicle strategy plan hosted by Electric Blue. The session was open to the public

http://www.rossendalenews.org.uk/council-leading-the-charge-for-electric-cars/

Also in November 2019 the new relocated bus station opened to the public. There are new traffic lights on this section of Bacup Road which will hopefully deter through vehicles from using Bacup Road as using Bocholt Way will now be more efficient and better for the environment. The work on this section of road finished in July 2019 when it was had been one way from April 2019 to July 2019 people commented that a one way system seemed to ease congestion and the decrease in pollution for this period is evidenced in the monthly tube results in Appendix B. It's not been converted long term into one way but at least the addition of traffic lights will hopefully deter through traffic.

https://www.lancs.live/news/lancashire-news/rawtenstalls-much-anticipated-new-bus-17302090



Photograph of the new bus station on Bacup Road Rawtenstall which opened in November 2019

By the end of the year the first public electric charge points where installed and operational in Rossendale funded by Lancashire County Council. There are located at Kay Street Rawtenstall, top of Deardengate Haslingden and King Street Bacup. This year also saw major supermarkets start to install electric charge points in customer car parks in Rossendale.



Photograph of the new electric charge points on Kay Street Rawtenstall

Conclusions and Priorities

Generally, the air quality is improving in the two AQMAs and the council will be looking at revocation of both the AQMAs in the near future, if the level continues to stay below the government limits.

The area we are going to have to focus on again in 2020/21 is the Grane Road area of Haslingden as again tube 20 showed an exceedance in 2019 of 46.6 μ g/m³. It has however slightly reduced from the 2018 figure of 47.8 μ g/m³

Two more tubes have been re-located into this area to give us a better understanding of the air quality levels in 2020 and will be reported on in the 2021 annual status report.

Rossendale Borough Council's priorities for the coming year are regenerating Rossendale, providing responsive and value for money local services and a clean and green Rossendale.

Local Engagement and How to get Involved

Thinking about air pollution on a worldwide or even country scale can be daunting because as individuals we can often feel insignificant. Yet if we all reduce the amount of fuel we use and the number of chemicals used at home, we will improve the quality of the air that we breathe and help the global and local problem. We can all contribute to improving the air quality by:

- Using public transport more
- Reducing car use and doing more car sharing
 <u>https://liftshare.com/uk/community/sharedwheels</u>
- Changing to an electric vehicle see https://www.gov.uk/plug-in-car-van-grants
- Cycling and walking where possible
- Using less chemicals in the home to reduce the toxic load on your internal air quality
- Not having garden bonfires and only burning smokeless fuel on domestic stoves as the whole of Rossendale is a smoke control area (except for a few outlying rural properties) see <u>https://smokecontrol.defra.gov.uk/index.php</u>

There is no local air quality action group to the knowledge of the writer however there is an active Clean Air Parents Network public Facebook group.

Client Earth are activist lawyers committed to securing a healthy planet. Their website is https://www.clientearth.org/

Further information on air quality and air pollution forecasts can be found on the DEFRA website UK Air Quality Information Resource following this link https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area | i |
|--|---------|
| Air Quality in Rossendale Borough Council | i |
| Actions to Improve Air Quality | ii |
| Conclusions and Priorities | vi |
| Local Engagement and How to get Involved | vii |
| 1 Local Air Quality Management | 1 |
| 2 Actions to Improve Air Quality | 2 |
| 2.1 Air Quality Management Areas | 2 |
| 2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Rossendale | |
| Borough Council | 5 |
| 2.3 $PM_{2.5}$ – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or | |
| Concentrations | 11 |
| 3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality | |
| Objectives and National Compliance | 12 |
| 3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken | |
| 3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites | |
| 3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites | 12 |
| 3.2 Individual Pollutants | 122 |
| 3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) | 122 |
| 3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) Error! Bookmark not de | fined.2 |
| 3.2.3 Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) | |
| 3.2.4 Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) | |
| Appendix A: Monitoring Results | |
| Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2019 | 233 |
| Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring | |
| Data QA/QC | 266 |
| Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs | 29 |
| Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England | 387 |
| Glossary of Terms | 398 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1- Declared Air Quality Management Areas | 3 |
|---|----|
| Table 2.2 Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality | |
| Table A.1- Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites. | |
| Table A.2- Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results | 17 |

| Table B.1 - NO ₂ Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 2019 | 232 |
|---|-----|
| Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England | 387 |
| List of Figures | |
| Figure A.1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO2 Concentrations | 19 |

1 Local Air Quality Management

This report provides an overview of air quality in Rossendale Borough Council during 2019. It fulfils the requirements of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995) and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where an exceedance is considered likely the local authority must declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. This Annual Status Report (ASR) is an annual requirement showing the strategies employed by Rossendale Borough Council to improve air quality and any progress that has been made.

The statutory air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in England can be found in Table E.1 in Appendix E.

2 Actions to Improve Air Quality

2.1 Air Quality Management Areas

Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when there is an exceedance or likely exceedance of an air quality objective. After declaration, the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 12-18 months setting out measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of compliance with the objectives.

A summary of the two AQMAs declared by Rossendale Borough Council in 2013 can be found in Table 2.1. Further information related to AQMAs, including maps of AQMA boundaries are available online at

https://www.rossendale.gov.uk/info/210168/environment/10763/air_quality_in_rossen dale or https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/list. Alternatively, see Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs, which provides for a map of air quality monitoring locations in relation to the AQMAs and the locations across the borough.

Table 2.1 – Declared Air Quality Management Areas

| AQMA Name | Date of Declara | Polluta nts and Air Quality | City / Town | One Line Descrip | Is air quality in the AQMA influen ced by roads | mo d co | Level of Exceedance (maximum monitored/modelle d concentration at a location of relevant exposure) | | | Action Plan | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|----------|------------|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| | tion | Objecti ves | | tion | control led by Highw ays Englan d? | lighw At ays Declarat nglan ion | | Now | | Na Date of me Publica tion | | Link | | |
| AQMA 1 Hasling den | 08/01/2 013 | NO2 Annual Mean | Hasling den | An area comprisi ng a number of residenti al properti es on Hasling den Road | Yes | 43 | μg/ m³ | 32 .3 | hð\ hð\ | Air qual ity acti on plan | July 2016 | https://www.rossendale.gov.uk/downloads/file/14091 /air_quality_action_plan | | |
| AQMA 2 Rawten stall | 08/01/2 013 | NO2 Annual Mean | Rawten stall | An area comprisi ng residenti al property on Bacup Road | Yes | 43 | µg/ m³ | 32 .3 | µg/ m³ | Air qual ity acti on Pla n | July 2016 | https://www.rossendale.gov.uk/downloads/file/14091 /air_quality_action_plan | | |

| Rawten stall | | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| | | |

☑ Rossendale Borough Council confirm the information on UK-Air regarding their AQMA(s) is up to date

2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Rossendale Borough Council

Defra's appraisal of last year's ASR concluded that the report was well structured, detailed and provided most of the information specified in the guidance. It commented that an overall map of the AQMAs would be a welcome addition and these have been attached in Appendix D. Also it noted that focus should be on DT20 to investigate the exceedance which has been done by relocating two diffusion tubes closer to it.

Rossendale Borough Council has taken forward a number of direct measures during the current reporting year of 2019 in pursuit of improving local air quality, see below. Details of all measures completed, in progress or planned are set out in Table 2.2.

Rossendale Borough Council expects the following measures to be completed over the course of the next reporting year: Further development of electric vehicle charging points within the borough. Procurement of an electric pool car for use of staff, application of a grant through Connecting East Lancashire for e-bikes for staff use and supporting staff to work from home. Rossendale Borough Council's priorities for the coming year in relation to climate change are efficient energy consumption, sustainable transport, working together in partnership and waste and the wider environment.

The principal challenges and barriers to implementation that Rossendale Borough Council anticipates facing are the continuing reliance of the public on vehicle use for short journeys.

Rossendale Borough Council anticipates that the measures stated above on page I and in Table 2.2 will achieve continued compliance in both AQMAs.

As the County Council Lancashire lead on public health and have the responsibility for Highways here's an update from them about their contribution to local air quality management during 2019:

Lancashire County Council Activity Update for Local Air Quality Management for the Annual Report

In Lancashire, the strongest evidence we have concerning the population health impacts of air pollution comes from Public Health England's Public Health Outcomes Framework. This Framework estimates <u>'the fraction of adult mortality attributable to</u>

<u>particulate air pollution $(PM_{2.5})'$ </u> each year. It shows that, while the overall mortality rate from particulate air pollution in Lancashire-12 (4.0%) is lower than the England average (5.2%), air pollution is still a significant public health issue for the county.

Working with district councils, Lancashire County Council has an important role to play in taking action to reduce these health impacts of air pollution. Responsible for transport planning, network management, highway maintenance, public health and procuring local vehicle fleets, there are a number of ways LCC can support local and county wide efforts to improve air quality. In summary, the following activities are underway or in development:

1. Encouraging the use of sustainable forms of travel

- Lancashire's cycling and walking strategy, <u>Actively Moving Forward</u>, sets out an ambitious plan for increasing the number of people walking and cycling in the county by 2028. Through improving and increasing access to cycling and walking infrastructure, alongside training and promotional activities, it aims to significantly increase the amount of cycling and walking people do across the county.
- As part of Lancashire's cycling and walking strategy, work has now commenced on developing Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans (LCWIPs) for the five Lancashire Highway and Transport Masterplan areas. The Plans will include a network plan for cycling and walking infrastructure and a prioritised list of schemes for delivery over short, medium and long term timeframes. These plans will be used to support future infrastructure decisions and access new funding schemes as they become available.
- <u>Connecting East Lancashire</u> is a 'smarter travel choices' campaign designed to encourage healthier and greener ways of travelling in East Lancashire. A dedicated team of Business Travel Planners work with individuals and organisations across east Lancashire to support a shift towards more sustainable and active forms of travel.
- The Road Safety Team work with schools, workplaces and the community to encourage safe and sustainable modes of travel. Initiatives for schools are promoted though the <u>Safer Travel Moodle</u> and include: a series of cycling and walking safety training programmes; guidance and resources for teachers to encourage safe and active travel; and support for creating travel plans.

2. Supporting the transition to low emission vehicles

- The County Council is working with BP Chargemaster to deliver 150 electric vehicle charge points across the County. <u>The charging network</u> will be accessible to drivers from all over the country, and will support local and national efforts to increase the number of drivers purchasing electric vehicles.
- The County Council is supporting six district councils with a low emission taxi infrastructure scheme. Funded by the Office for Low Emission Vehicles, the scheme will provide taxi drivers with access to 24 new rapid electric vehicle charge points across the six districts. This, alongside a series of promotional activities and suggested regulatory changes, is designed to produce a transition towards more low emission taxi vehicles across Lancashire.

3. Creating cleaner, healthier road networks

- Work to develop the next Local Transport Plan (LTP4) for Lancashire, Blackpool and Blackburn with Darwen is now underway. The Public Health team has submitted an evidence base to the process, highlighting transport related health challenges affecting the population of Lancashire and making recommendations about how local transport planning policy can make a contribution to addressing these. Air quality is one of the key themes of the evidence base and will be an identified priority in LTP4. The local <u>Highways and Transport Masterplans</u> will be refreshed to align with the priorities of LTP4, which will provide an opportunity to identify longer-term network solutions that address issues in AQMAs and have a positive impact on air quality generally.
- The Lancaster City Centre Movement Strategy is looking at how vehicular, public transport and pedestrian walking movements can be improved across the city. A key facet of the study is to examine what improvements can be implemented to prioritise public transport, reduce severance, improve air quality and effectively make the city centre a more welcoming environment for people. The intention is for a similar approach to be adopted as part of future Highways and Transport Masterplans.
- The County Council's vehicle fleet will be fitted with a driver behaviour tracking system to monitor and influence driver behaviour. The aim of the tracking system is to improve driver performance, reducing fuel costs, road accidents and vehicle emissions.

4. Embedding air quality into policy

• The County Council works with district planners to ensure air quality is a key consideration of Local Plans, alongside wider public health issues. It supports district councils in developing policies that seek to ensure new developments do

not contribute to increasing levels of air pollutants and that requirements for appropriate mitigation are in place.

- The County Council, as part of its highways input into planning applications, actively encourages measures that aim to promote sustainable forms of travel. Working under the direction of the National Planning Policy Framework, the Council seeks measures that facilitate cycling and walking, increase the use of public transport and provide access to electric vehicle charge points. The County Council also seeks funding from developers, through section 106 contributions, to support existing bus services or to provide new bus services suitable to serve development sites once their built.
- The County Council is working with Lancaster and Birmingham Universities to develop evidence based guidance for the use of green infrastructure as an approach to mitigating the health impacts of road transport emissions. The guidance will enable organisations to introduce the most effective infrastructure at the most appropriate sites. In time, there may be opportunities for further projects around this work.

5. Raising awareness and increasing engagement

- The Lancashire Insight website provides information on the sources and health impacts of air pollution. Webpages include a <u>Summary of Emissions Data</u>, <u>Monitoring of Air Quality and Health Impacts</u> and an <u>Air Quality and Health Dashboard</u>.
- The County Council is the process of developing a clean air programme for schools. The toolkit will include: guidance and support for schools on developing a clean air strategy; lesson plans, activities and resources for teachers; provision of LCC's cycling and walking programmes; and resources for delivering a Clean Air Day event and creating a clean air banner.

Table 2.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category | EU Classification | Date Measure Introduced | Organisations involved | Funding Source | Key Performance Indicator | Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure | Progress to Date | Estimated / Actual Completion Date | Comments / Barriers to implementation |
|-------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Limit Council fleet use of Bacup Road for non- essential access | Traffic Management | Other | January 2019 | Local Authority Fleet Management | NA | NA | NA | Borough Council fleet now do not use Bacup Road unless servicing the properties | January 2019 | NA |
| 2 | No through access to HGVs or LDVs along Bacup Road unless deliveries | Traffic Management | Other | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | Not deemed enforceable so this option will not be pursued |
| 3 | Road signage amended to reprioritise use of Bocholt Way and deprioritise Bocholt Way | Traffic Management | Other | Completed | Lancashire County Council | NA | NA | Reduced vehicle emissions | Completed September 2018 | Completed September 2018 | Completed September 2018 |
| 4 | School travel plans to encourage alternative modes | Promoting Travel Alternatives | School Travel Plans | NA | Lancashire County Council | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | Not pursued as the air quality in this area is again below actionable levels for another year |
| 5 | No through signage at road entry points to Haslingden | Traffic Management | Other | NA | Lancashire County Council | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | Not pursued as the air quality in this area is again below actionable levels for another year |
| 6 | Apply Public Spaces Protection Orders to restrict idling on Manchester | Traffic Management | Anti-idling enforcement | NA | Lancashire County Council | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | Not pursued as the air quality in this area is again below actionable levels for another year |

Rossendale Borough Council

| | Road | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

2.3 PM_{2.5} – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or Concentrations

As detailed in Policy Guidance LAQM.PG16 (Chapter 7), local authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and/or concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less). There is clear evidence that $PM_{2.5}$ has a significant impact on human health, including premature mortality, allergic reactions, and cardiovascular diseases.

Rossendale Borough Council do not currently measure for $PM_{2.5}$ however all the actions listed on page i and 2.2, 5 and 6 will be reducing $PM_{2.5}$ as well as $NO_{2.5}$

3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance

3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

This section sets out what monitoring has taken place and how it compares with objectives.

Rossendale Borough Council undertook no automatic (continuous) monitoring at any sites during 2019.

3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Rossendale Borough Council undertook non- automatic (passive) monitoring of NO₂ at 20 sites during 2019. Table A.1 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Appendix D. Further details on Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) for the diffusion tubes, including bias adjustments and any other adjustments applied (e.g. "annualisation" and/or distance correction), are included in Appendix C.

3.2 Individual Pollutants

The air quality monitoring results presented in this section are, where relevant, adjusted for bias⁴, "annualisation" (where the data capture falls below 75%), and distance correction⁵. Further details on adjustments are provided in Appendix C.

3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

Table A.2 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored NO₂ annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of $40 \mu g/m^3$. Note that the concentration data presented in Table A.2 represents the concentration at the location of the monitoring site, following the application of bias adjustment and annualisation, as required (i.e. the values are exclusive of any consideration to fall-off with distance adjustment).

⁴ https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/bias-adjustment-factors/bias-adjustment.html

⁵ Fall-off with distance correction criteria is provided in paragraph 7.77, LAQM.TG(16)

For diffusion tubes, the full 2019 dataset of monthly mean values is provided in Appendix B. Note that the concentration data presented in Table B.1 includes distance corrected values, only where relevant.

The graphs in Figure A.1 show the trends in NO₂ concentrations over the last 5 years of all the tubes. AQMA 1 which has diffusion tubes 3,5,6,7,8 and 9 are consistently below the $40\mu g/m^3$ and the Council will be looking to revoke it in the near future if the trend continues. It has been noted that there was an error in the 2018 data for diffusion tube 7. The annualised figure of 44.1 $\mu g/m^3$ hadn't been bias adjusted so the actual figure for DT7 in 2018 is 33.5 $\mu g/m^3$.

AQMA 2 which has diffusion tubes 1,4,13,14,15,16 and 17 has slightly higher levels of NO₂ but again all the tubes are under the $40\mu g/m^3$ limit and have been for a number of years. It was noted that there was an error in the 2018 data for diffusion tube 17. The annulaised figure of 47.2 $\mu g/m^3$ hadn't been bias adjusted so the actual figure is 35.9 $\mu g/m^3$ so this explains why it was deemed an anomoly in the 2019 report.

The remaining tubes numbered 2, 10, 11, 12, 18, 19 and 20 which are measuring NO_2 at various locations across the borough are all under the $40\mu g/m^3$ with the exception of diffusion tube 20 on Grane Road which has additional tubes located near it during 2020 to get a better understanding of the air quality in that area. If this tube and or others in the area exceed 40 $\mu g/m^3$ the council will be appointing consultants to work on declaring an AQMA

3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

Rossendale Borough Council do not currently measure for particulate matter PM_{2.5}

3.2.3 Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂)

Rossendale Borough Council do not measure for SO₂.

Appendix A: Monitoring Results

Table A.1 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

| Site ID | Site Name | Site Type | X OS Grid Ref (Easting) | Y OS Grid Ref (Northing) | Pollutants Monitored | In AQMA? | Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) ⁽¹⁾ | Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) ⁽²⁾ | Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser? | Height (m) |
|---------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| DT1 | 93-95 Bacup Road Rawtenstall | Roadside | 381394 | 422756 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 2 | 5 | 1 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT2 | 235 Newchurch Road Stacksteads | Roadside | 385579 | 421855 | NO ₂ | No | 0 | 4 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT3 | 349 Manchester Road Haslingden | Roadside | 379153 | 422234 | NO ₂ | Yes AQMA 1 | 0 | 4 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT4 | 83 Bacup Road Rawtenstall | Roadside | 381325 | 422740 | NO ₂ | Yes AQMA 2 | 20 | 3.5 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT5 | 377 Manchester Road Haslingden | Roadside | 379209 | 422171 | NO ₂ | Yes AQMA 1 | 0 | 3 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT6 | 359 Manchester Road Haslingden | Roadside | 379175 | 422213 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 1 | 0 | 4 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT7 | 364-366 Manchester | Roadside | 379193 | 422216 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 1 | 0 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |

| | Road Haslingden | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|---------------|---|-----|----|-----|
| DT8 | Road sign near roundabout | Roadside | 379197 | 422213 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 1 | 4 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT9 | 363 Manchester Road Haslingden | Roadside | 379183 | 422200 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 1 | 0 | 4 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT10 | 2 Market Place Edenfield | Roadside | 379983 | 419219 | NO ₂ | NO | 0 | 3.5 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT11 | 632 Bacup Road Waterfoot | Roadside | 383506 | 421766 | NO ₂ | NO | 0 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT12 | Rose Mount Grane Road Haslingden | Roadside | 377528 | 422529 | NO ₂ | NO | 0 | 3 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT13 | 30/32 Bacup Road Rawtenstall | Roadside | 381377 | 422756 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 2 | 0 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT14 | 24 Bacup Road Rawtenstall | Roadside | 381358 | 422754 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 2 | 0 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT15 | 22 Bacup Road Rawtenstall | Roadside | 381350 | 422754 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 2 | 0 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT16 | 2A Bacup Road Rawtenstall | Roadside | 381161 | 422754 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 2 | 0 | 6 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT17 | 1-3 Bacup Road Rawtenstall | Roadside | 381121 | 422725 | NO ₂ | YES AQMA 2 | 8 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |
| DT18 | 222 Grane Road Haslingden | Roadside | 378094 | 422560 | NO ₂ | NO | 0 | 3 | NO | 1.8 |

| DT19 | 323 Grane Road Haslingden | Roadside | 377761 | 422514 | NO ₂ | NO | 0 | 3 | NO | 1.8 |
|------|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|----|---|---|----|-----|
| DT20 | 264 Grane Road Haslingden | Roadside | 377899 | 422488 | NO ₂ | NO | 0 | 2 | NO | 1.8 |

Notes:

(1) Om if the monitoring site is at a location of exposure (e.g. installed on the façade of a residential property).

(2) N/A if not applicable.

| | X OS Grid | Y OS Grid | | Manitaring | Valid Data Capture | Valid Data | NO ₂ Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) ^{(3) (4)} | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|---|------|------|----------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Site ID | Ref (Easting) | Ref (Northing) | Site Type | Monitoring Type | for Monitoring Period (%) (1) | Capture 2019 (%) (2) | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | | | |
| DT1 | 381394 | 422756 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 33 | 32.9 | 36.9 | 33.3 | 32.3 | | | |
| DT2 | 385579 | 421855 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 28 | 31.4 | 28.7 | 30.2 | 29.4 | | | |
| DT3 | 379153 | 422234 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 23 | 35.2 | 34.9 | 31.9 | 27.3 | | | |
| DT4 | 381325 | 422740 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | NA | 29.9 | 35.4 | 27.8 | 27.6 | | | |
| DT5 | 379209 | 422171 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 67 | 67 | 35 | 31.8 | 38.6 | 31.8 | 28.7 | | | |
| DT6 | 379175 | 422213 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 36 | 33.5 | 39.2 | 31.2 | 31.1 | | | |
| DT7 | 379193 | 422216 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 83 | 83 | 38 | 33.5 | NA | 33.5 (not 44.1) | 32.3 | | | |
| DT8 | 379197 | 422213 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 29 | 27.1 | 31.4 | 27.6 | 25.6 | | | |
| DT9 | 379183 | 422200 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 36 | 30.2 | 38.7 | 33.7 | 31.6 | | | |
| DT10 | 379178 | 422237 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | NA | NA | 36 | 34.6 | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| DT10 | 379983 | 419219 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | NA | NA | NA | 24.5 new location | 25.6 | | | |
| DT11 | 379192 | 422215 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | NA | NA | 32 | 31.6 | NA | NA | NA | | | |
| DT11 | 383506 | 421766 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | NA | NA | NA | 31.4 new location | 34.9 | | | |
| DT12 | 379161 | 422251 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | NA | NA | 35 | 37.1 | NA | NA | NA | | | |

Table A.2 – Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results

| DT12 | 377528 | 422529 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 35 | 37.1 | NA | 23.9 new location | 26.6 |
|------|--------|--------|----------|-------------------|-----|-----|----|-------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| DT13 | 381377 | 422756 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | NA | NA | 24 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| DT13 | 381377 | 422756 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 92 | 92 | 24 | 44.2 new location | 42.4 | 40.9 | 32.2 |
| DT14 | 381358 | 422754 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 92 | 92 | 36 | 38.9 | 41.5 | 36.8 | 31.9 |
| DT15 | 381350 | 422754 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 37 | 42.6 | 46.2 | 39.6 | 32.2 |
| DT16 | 381161 | 422754 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | 22 | 30.6 | 33.8 | 28.4 | 26.6 |
| DT17 | 381121 | 422725 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 58 | 58 | 33 | 30.6 | NA | 35.9 (not 47.2) | 34.7 |
| DT18 | 381675 | 422745 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | NA | NA | 23 | 22.7 | 23.6 | NA | NA |
| DT18 | 378094 | 422560 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | NA | NA | NA | 18.2 new location | 28.0 |
| DT19 | 381822 | 422751 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | NA | NA | 27 | 30.1 | 30.4 | NA | NA |
| DT19 | 377761 | 422514 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 100 | 100 | NA | NA | NA | 24.6 new location | 21.9 |
| DT20 | 377899 | 422488 | Roadside | Diffusion Tube | 92 | 92 | 22 | 20.4 | 22.7 | 47.8 | 46.6 |

☑ Diffusion tube data has been bias corrected

☑ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

Reported concentrations are those at the location of the monitoring site (bias adjusted and annualised, as required), i.e. prior to any fall-off with distance adjustment

Notes:

Exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective of $40\mu g/m^3$ are shown in **bold**.

NO₂ annual means exceeding 60µg/m³, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO₂ 1-hour mean objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) Means for diffusion tubes have been corrected for bias. All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

(4) Concentrations are those at the location of monitoring and not those following any fall-off with distance adjustment.



Figure A.1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations





Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2019

Table B.1 - NO2 Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 2019

| | | | NO ₂ Mean Concentrations (μg/m ³) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------|-------------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------|----------|----------|---------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Annual Mean | | |
| Sit e ID | X OS Grid Ref (Easting) | Y OS Grid Ref (Northin g) | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Ma y | Jun | Jul | Au g | Sep | Oct | No V | De c | Ra w Dat a | Bias Adjusted (0.75) and Annualise d ⁽¹⁾ | Distance Correcte d to Nearest Exposur e ⁽²⁾ |
| DT 1 | 381394 | 422756 | 54.1 | 51.7 | 42.7 | 41. 5 | 44. 9 | 35.0 | 31.3 | 34. 6 | 42.8 | 44.0 | 45. 3 | 48. 2 | 43.0 | 32.3 | - |
| DT 2 | 385579 | 421855 | 36.0 | 40.3 | 33.6 | 50. 1 | 38. 5 | 38.4 | 32.2 | 29. 0 | 37.5 | 46.2 | 51. 7 | 37. 3 | 39.2 | 29.4 | - |
| DT 3 | 379153 | 422234 | 50.5 | 49.3 | 35.6 | 31. 1 | 28. 5 | 23.1 | 23.7 | 30. 4 | 37 | 43.2 | 44 | 40. 7 | 36.4 | 27.3 | - |
| DT 4 | 381325 | 422740 | 41.6 | 43.1 | 37.8 | 32. 6 | 25. 5 | 27.5 | 25.9 | 41. 6 | 33.9 | 38.2 | 53. 6 | 40. 3 | 36.8 | 27.6 | - |
| DT 5 | 379209 | 422171 | Missin g | 53.8 | missin g | 35. 4 | 31 | 33.1 | missin g | 32. 1 | missin g | 43.3 | 38. 3 | 42. 4 | 38.7 | 28.7 | - |
| DT 6 | 379175 | 422213 | 49.1 | 57.1 | 37.9 | 37. 2 | 31. 6 | 34.8 | 35.1 | 36. 8 | 39.5 | 44.8 | 48. 6 | 44. 3 | 41.4 | 31.1 | - |
| DT 7 | 379193 | 422216 | 57.1 | 50.4 | 30.6 | 42. 6 | 31. 4 | missin g | 32.9 | 29. 9 | missin g | 50 | 60. 7 | 44. 8 | 43.0 | 32.3 | - |
| DT 8 | 379197 | 422213 | 42.2 | 46.1 | 30.4 | 26. 2 | 25. 7 | 26.9 | 25.7 | 26. 5 | 31 | 40.4 | 47. 7 | 41. 3 | 34.2 | 25.6 | - |
| DT 9 | 379183 | 422200 | 50.3 | 54.3 | 40.5 | 36. 8 | 36. 3 | 32.4 | 35.6 | 36. 9 | 42.2 | 42.4 | 49. 5 | 47. 7 | 42.1 | 31.6 | - |
| DT | 379983 | 419219 | 43.2 | 47.5 | 30 | 28. | 26. | 29.3 | 25.8 | 24. | 31.5 | 36.1 | 47. | 38. | 34.1 | 25.6 | - |

| 10 | | | | | | 7 | 5 | | | 4 | | | 3 | 7 | | | |
|----------|--------|--------|------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|------|------|---|
| DT 11 | 383506 | 421766 | 60.6 | 48.7 | 47.3 | 50. 8 | 47. 3 | 45.6 | 41.6 | 29. 7 | 40.6 | 48.7 | 60. 8 | 36. 3 | 46.5 | 34.9 | - |
| DT 12 | 377528 | 422529 | 52.7 | 44.2 | 35.2 | 31. 2 | 26. 6 | 29.4 | 27.4 | 28 | 33.5 | 38.9 | 41. 8 | 36. 9 | 34.7 | 26.6 | - |
| DT 13 | 381377 | 422756 | 38.7 | missin g | 54.1 | 36. 7 | 26. 9 | 33.4 | 35.9 | 35. 9 | 46.9 | 51.6 | 61. 3 | 51 | 42.9 | 32.2 | - |
| DT 14 | 381358 | 422754 | 61.9 | 51.2 | 44.4 | 30 | 28. 4 | 32.5 | missin g | 30. 9 | 42 | 47.2 | 58 | 41. 9 | 42.6 | 31.9 | - |
| DT 15 | 381350 | 422754 | 39.9 | 52.7 | 47.9 | 35. 4 | 27. 8 | 34.8 | 34.6 | 29. 8 | 45.7 | 50 | 64. 1 | 51. 7 | 42.9 | 32.2 | - |
| DT 16 | 381161 | 422747 | 27.8 | 50.3 | 40.7 | 26. 5 | 22 | 28.4 | 27.8 | 33 | 36 | 40.4 | 43. 3 | 48. 6 | 35.4 | 26.6 | - |
| DT 17 | 381121 | 422725 | 53.6 | missin g | missin g | 39. 2 | 33. 7 | 37.9 | missin g | 43 | missin g | missin g | 63. 6 | 52. 9 | 46.3 | 34.7 | - |
| DT 18 | 378094 | 422560 | 40.9 | 40.4 | 28.6 | 48. 7 | 29. 7 | 34.9 | 26.1 | 28. 9 | 30.8 | 44.8 | 49 | 44. 8 | 37.3 | 28.0 | - |
| DT 19 | 377761 | 422514 | 37.8 | 32 | 25.4 | 35. 4 | 23. 4 | 26 | 20.1 | 18. 3 | 27.3 | 34.2 | 44. 2 | 26. 5 | 29.2 | 21.9 | - |
| DT 20 | 377899 | 422488 | 68 | 75 | missin g | 66. 9 | 56. 2 | 58.1 | 29.4 | 51. 5 | 63.4 | 71.9 | 80. 2 | 62. 8 | 62.1 | 46.6 | - |

☑ Local bias adjustment factor used

☑ National bias adjustment factor used

Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

If Where applicable, data has been distance corrected for relevant exposure in the final column

Notes:

Exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective of $40\mu g/m^3$ are shown in **bold**.

NO₂ annual means exceeding 60µg/m³, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO₂ 1-hour mean objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

(1) See Appendix C for details on bias adjustment and annualisation.

(2) Distance corrected to nearest relevant public exposure.
Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

The diffusion tubes are supplied and analysed by Socotec Didcot. The preparation method used is 50% TEA in Acetone. ESG follows the procedures set out in the Harmonisation Practical Guidance and participates in both AEA solution and The Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP) tube analysis trials. In the last round of WASP the laboratory was rated 'good'.

The bias adjustment factor being applied to the annual mean for the diffusion tubes is 0.75. This came from the Review and Assessment Helpdesk website V03/20 spreadsheet.

| | Arial - 10 - | A A = = | = >- | G | Wrap Text | | | | | ÷ | | Σ·Α | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|----------------|
| e | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | 🛷 B I U - 🔛 - 🆄 | • A • 🖹 🗏 | | 1 | Merge & Center 🗸 🛒 🐐 🐇 | *.0 .0 .≪ 00. | | nal Format 1g * as Table * | Cell Styles * | Insert * | Delete Forma | at Sort & Find & Q ▼ Filter ▼ Select ▼ | |
| oa | ard 🗔 Font | G | Aligr | nment | S Numb | er | G. | Styles | | | Cells | Editing | |
| | B11 • (<i>f_x</i> | Analysed By1 | | | | | | | | | | ^ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | * | |
| A | В | С | D | E | F | Н | 1 | J | K | L | М | N 🔺 | |
| | National Diffusion Tub | o Diac Adiu | ictmont | | ator Sproadshoot | | | Spreadsh | oet Ver | eion Num | ber: 03/20 | | |
| | olow the steps below in the correct or | | | | | | | spreausin | | | | | |
| | ata only apply to tubes exposed monthly a | | | _ | | | | | | spreadshe ted at the e | | | |
| | whenever presenting adjusted data, you sh | | | | | | | | | 2020 | | | |
| | his spreadhseet will be updated every fev | | - | | | | | | LAO | M Helpdes | k Website | | |
| | he LAQM Helpdesk is operated on behalf of D ontract partners AECOM and the National Ph | | ed Administratio | ns by t | Bureau Veritas, in conjunction with | | eet maintained I vy Air Quality C | | | Laborator | y. Original | | |
| | Step 1: | Step 2: | Step 3: Delect a | | | | step 4: | | | | | | |
| | Select the Laboratory that Analyses Your | Preparation | Year from the | | re there is only one study for a ch caution. Where there is more tha | | | | | | | | |
| | Tubes from the Drop-Down List | Method from the Drop=Down List | Drop-Down | | cauton. where there is more that | | nal column. | | 5110111 | n blue at | ule loot of | | |
| | f alabaratary ir natzhaun, uo have na data far thir labaratary. | lf aproparation methodic netchoun, we have no data | lf ayaarirnat rhaun, ua havana | F | you have your own co-location study the | | | | | | Air Quality | | |
| " | f alabaratary ir natirkaun, we have na data far thir labaratary. | iar thir mothad at thir lebaratary. | data ² | | Management Helpdesk at I | _AQMHelpd | esk@uk.bureau | | 0800 032 | 7953 | | | |
| | Analysed By ¹ | Method | Year ^s | Site | | Length | Diffusion | Automatic Monitor | Bias | Tube | Dias Adjustme | | |
| | | Will from the payons that | | Тур | Local Authority | of Study (months | Tube Mean Conc. (Dm) | Mean | (B) | Precisio | nt Factor | | |
| | τ. | ě – | Τ, | e | | | (µg/m³) | Conc. (Cm) | | n | (A) (Cm/Dm) | | |
| | ocotec Didcot ocotec Didcot | 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 | R | Vale of Glamorgan Watford Borough Council | 11 12 | 40 35 | 24 30 | 68.0% 16.8% | G | 0.60 | | |
|) <u>s</u> | ocotec Didcot | 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 | R | Dumfries & Galloway Council | 13 | 35 | 31 | 11.9% | G | 0.89 | | |
| | ocotec Didcot ocotec Didcot | 50% TEA in acetone 20% TEA in water | 2019 2019 | KS KS | Marylebone Road Intercomparison Marylebone Road Intercomparison | 12 12 | 92 94 | 65 65 | 40.5% 43.1% | G | 0.71 | | |
| | ocotec Didcot | 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 | UB | City of York Council | 12 | 22 | 16 | 35.6% | G | 0.74 | | |
| | ocotec Didoot | 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 | R | City of York Council | 12 9 | 33 | 26 | 26.8% | G | 0.79 | | |
| | ocotec Didcot ocotec Didcot | 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 | R | City of York Council City of York Council | 11 | 32 40 | 23 28 | 37.2% | G | 0.73 | | |
| S | | | | | Fife Council | | | 23 | 31.6% | G | 0.76 | | |
| S | ocotec Didcot | 20% TEA in water | 2019 | KS | | 12 | 30 | | | | | | |
| Si Si | acotec Didcot | 20% TEA in water | 2019 | R | Fife Council | 12 | 27 | 21 | 31.3% 52.3% | G | 0.76 | | |
| 0000 | | | | | | | | | 31.3% 52.3% 18.8% | G G G | | | |
| 0 0 0 0 0 | ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R | Fife Council Fife Council Fife Council Ipswich Boorough council | 12 12 12 11 | 27 25 26 34 | 21 16 22 26 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% | G G G | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 | | |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 | ocotec Didcot ocotec Didcot ocotec Didcot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water | 2019 2019 2019 | R R R | Fife Council Fife Council Fife Council | 12 12 12 | 27 25 26 | 21 16 22 | 52.3% 18.8% | G G | 0.76 0.66 0.84 | | |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R | File Council File Council File Council Ipswich Boorough council Swale BC Swale BC Swale BC | 12 12 12 11 12 12 12 12 | 27 25 26 34 51 33 40 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% | G G G G G | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.79 | | |
| S S S S S S S | ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot ocotec Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R | Fife Council Fife Council Fife Council Jaywich Boorough council Swale BC Swale BC Swale BC Vireiham County Borough Council | 12 12 12 11 12 12 12 12 10 | 27 25 26 34 51 33 40 20 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% | 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.79 0.82 | | |
| 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R | Fér Council Fér Council Fér Council Jsavier Boorough council Jsavier BC Savier BC Savier BC Virelman County Borough Council City of Volvehampton Council City of Volvehampton Council North Herts DC | 12 12 11 12 12 12 12 12 10 12 12 12 | 27 25 26 34 51 33 40 20 39 59 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.79 0.82 0.67 0.78 | | |
| S S S S S S S S S S S S | ocotec Didoct | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 20% TEA in acetone 20% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fife Council Fife Council Fife Council Savale BC Savale BC Savale BC Vitetham County Borough Council City of Volverhampton Council North Herts DC Rhondta Cipno Taf CBC | 12 12 11 12 12 12 12 10 12 12 12 12 11 | 27 25 26 34 51 33 40 20 39 59 31 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.79 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.83 | | horo |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fée Council Fée Council Fée Council Jswieb Boorough council Jswieb BC Swale BC Swale BC Vireitan County Borough Council City of Volverhampton Council North Herts DC Rhondda Cymon Tá' CBC Horstan District Council Horstan District Council | 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 11 11 | 27 25 26 34 51 33 40 20 39 59 31 30 31 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 24 22 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.73 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.83 0.80 0.63 | | here |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | ocotec Didoct oc | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 20% TEA in acetone 20% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fife Council Fife Council Fife Council [swich Boorough council [swich Boorough council [swich BC Swich BC Swi | 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1 | 27 25 26 34 51 33 40 20 39 59 31 30 31 32 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 26 24 22 24 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% 34.4% | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.79 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.83 0.83 0.80 0.69 0.74 | | |
| 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fée Council Fée Council Fée Council Jswieb Boorough council Jswieb BC Swale BC Swale BC Vireitan County Borough Council City of Volverhampton Council North Herts DC Rhondda Cymon Tá' CBC Horstan District Council Horstan District Council | 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 11 11 | 27 25 26 34 51 33 40 20 39 59 31 30 31 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 24 22 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.73 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.83 0.80 0.63 | | |
| 0 | ocotec Didoot contec Didoot | 20%; TEA in water 20%; TEA in water 20%; TEA in water 50%; TEA in acetone 50%; TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fée Council Fée Council Fée Council Jesvich Boorough council Jesvich Boorough council Swale BC Swale BC Vretham Council Council North Hers DC Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Medwag Council Medwag Council Medwag Council | 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1 | 27 25 28 34 51 33 40 39 39 31 30 31 30 31 32 21 33 33 38 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 27 46 26 24 22 24 13 24 30 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% 34.4% 59.5% 35.1% 27.5% | G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G | 0.76 0.66 0.75 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.73 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.80 0.69 0.74 0.63 0.74 0.63 0.74 | | here rk and |
| 5 | ocoteo Didoot conteo Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fée Council Fée Council Fée Council Jesuich Boorough council Jesuich Boorough council Savale BC Savale B | 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 11 11 | 27 25 26 34 33 40 20 39 39 31 30 31 30 31 32 21 33 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 24 22 24 13 24 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% 34.4% 59.5% 35.1% 27.5% 44.7% | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.79 0.79 0.82 0.67 0.79 0.82 0.67 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.80 0.69 0.74 0.53 0.74 0.65 0.69 | | |
| 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | ocotec Didoot contec Didoot | 20%; TEA in water 20%; TEA in water 20%; TEA in water 50%; TEA in acetone 50%; TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fée Council Fée Council Fée Council Jesvich Boorough council Jesvich Boorough council Swale BC Swale BC Vretham Council Council North Hers DC Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Medwag Council Medwag Council Medwag Council | 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1 | 27 25 28 34 51 33 40 39 39 31 30 31 30 31 32 21 33 33 38 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 27 46 26 24 22 24 13 24 30 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% 34.4% 59.5% 35.1% 27.5% 44.7% | G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G | 0.76 0.66 0.75 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.73 0.82 0.67 0.78 0.80 0.69 0.74 0.63 0.74 0.63 0.74 | | |
| 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | ocoteo Didoot OCOTEC Didoot DCOTEC Didoot OCOTEC Didoot OC | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 20% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fée Council Fée Council Fée Council pavich Boorough council pavich Boorough council Savale BC Savale BC | 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1 | 27 25 28 34 51 33 40 39 39 31 30 31 30 31 32 21 33 33 38 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 27 46 26 24 22 24 13 24 30 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% 34.4% 59.5% 35.1% 27.5% 44.7% | G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.72 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.80 0.65 0.74 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 | | |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | ocoteo Didoot ocoteo Didoot | 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 20% TEA in water 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone | 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R | Fée Council Fée Council Fée Council pavich Boorough council pavich Boorough council Savale BC Savale BC | 12 12 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 11 12 11 11 | 27 25 28 34 51 33 40 39 39 31 30 31 30 31 32 21 33 33 38 | 21 16 22 26 39 27 31 16 27 46 26 27 46 26 24 22 24 13 24 30 | 52.3% 18.8% 34.1% 31.7% 23.9% 26.7% 22.2% 48.4% 28.5% 20.0% 24.5% 44.5% 34.4% 59.5% 35.1% 27.5% 44.7% | G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G | 0.76 0.66 0.84 0.75 0.76 0.81 0.72 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.80 0.65 0.74 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 | | |

Annualisation

Tube 5 only had 67% data capture so needed to be annualised and here is the working out using Preston and Salford Eccles data from the national network for background urban figures

| Start date | End date | B1 Preston | DT5 | B1 when D1 available |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------|--------|-------------------------|
| 10 January 2019 | 6 February 2019 | 36.9 | | |
| 6 February 2019 | 6 March 2019 | 29.0 | 53.8 | 29.0 |
| 6 March 2019 | 3 April 2019 | 20.3 | | |
| 3 April 2019 | 1 May 2019 | 20.0 | 35.4 | 20.0 |
| 1 May 2019 | 5 June 2019 | 18.3 | 31 | 18.3 |
| 5 June 2019 | 2 July 2019 | 14.9 | 33.1 | 14.9 |
| 2 July 2019 | 7 August 2019 | 12.6 | | |
| 7 August 2019 | 3 September 2019 | 14.0 | 32.1 | 14 |
| 3 September 2019 | 2 October 2019 | 20.4 | | |
| 2 October 2019 | 6 November 2019 | 25.1 | 43.3 | 25.1 |
| 6 November 2019 | 4 December 2019 | 34.5 | 38.3 | 34.5 |
| 4 December 2019 | 8 January 2020 | 26 | 42.4 | 26.0 |
| Ave | rage | 22.67Am | 36.68M | 22.73Pm |

Am/Pm 22.67/22.73= 0.997 Average annualisation factor 0.99

| Start date | End date | B1 Salford Eccles | DT5 | B1 when D1 is available |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| 10 January 2019 | 6 February 2019 | 38.8 | | |
| 6 February 2019 | 6 March 2019 | 31.7 | 53.8 | 31.7 |
| 6 March 2019 | 3 April 2019 | 22.9 | | |
| 3 April 2019 | 1 May 2019 | 24.0 | 35.4 | 24 |
| 1 May 2019 | 5 June 2019 | 18.2 | 31.0 | 18.2 |
| 5 June 2019 | 2 July 2019 | 17.9 | 33.1 | 17.9 |
| 2 July 2020 | 7 August 2020 | 14.9 | | |
| 7 August 2020 | 3 September 2020 | 16.4 | 32.1 | 16.4 |
| 3 September 2019 | 2 October 2019 | 22.4 | | |
| 2 October 2019 | 6 November 2019 | 28.5 | 43.3 | 28.5 |
| 6 November 2019 | 4 December 2019 | 39.2 | 38.3 | 39.2 |
| 4 December 2019 | 8 January 2019 | 28.7 | 42.4 | 28.7 |
| Ave | rage | 25.30Am | 36.68M | 25.58Pm |

Am/Pm 25.30/25.58 =0.989

The average of 0.997 and 0.989 = Ra 0.99 Bias adjusted DT 5 36.68 x0.75= 29.01M

Annualised average of D1 =M x Ra= 29.01 x 0.99 = 28.7

Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs

AQMA 1 and diffusion tubes 3,5,6,7, 8 and 9



AQMA 2 and location of diffusion tubes 1,4,13,14,15,16 and 17



Tubes 2 and 11 (outside the AQMAs)



Diffusion Tube 10 (outside the AQMAs)



Diffusion Tubes 12, 18, 19 and 20 in Haslingden (outside the AQMAs)



Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England

| Pollutant | Air Quality Objective ⁶ | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Follutant | Concentration | Measured as | | | |
| Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) | 200 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year | 1-hour mean | | | |
| (\mathbb{NO}_2) | 40 μg/m ³ | Annual mean | | | |
| Particulate Matter | 50 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year | 24-hour mean | | | |
| (PM ₁₀) | 40 μg/m ³ | Annual mean | | | |
| | 350 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year | 1-hour mean | | | |
| Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) | 125 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year | 24-hour mean | | | |
| | 266 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year | 15-minute mean | | | |

 $^{^{6}}$ The units are in microgrammes of pollutant per cubic metre of air (µg/m³).

Glossary of Terms

| Abbreviation | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| AQAP | Air Quality Action Plan - A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values' |
| AQMA | Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives |
| ASR | Air quality Annual Status Report |
| Defra | Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs |
| DMRB | Design Manual for Roads and Bridges – Air quality screening tool produced by Highways England |
| EU | European Union |
| FDMS | Filter Dynamics Measurement System |
| LAQM | Local Air Quality Management |
| NO ₂ | Nitrogen Dioxide |
| NO _x | Nitrogen Oxides |
| PM ₁₀ | Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10µm (micrometres or microns) or less |
| PM _{2.5} | Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less |
| QA/QC | Quality Assurance and Quality Control |
| SO ₂ | Sulphur Dioxide |